

# Onsite Wastewater Dispersal Feasibility Analysis Duckhorn Vineyards Winery Major Modification P19-00097-MOD

Duckhorn Vineyards Winery Use Permit Major Modification P19-00097-MOD Planning Commission Hearing – May 3, 2023



## ONSITE WASTEWATER DISPERSAL FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR DUCKHORN VINEYARDS WINERY 1000 & 1098 LODI LANE, ST. HELENA, CA 94574 APN 022-130-010 (SFAP) & APN 022-100-033 (SFAP)

As required by Napa County Planning, Building and Environmental Services (PBES), this report outlines the design of an onsite wastewater treatment system (OWTS) for an existing winery with proposed improvements located at 1000 and 1098 Lodi Lane, St. Helena, CA 94574.

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The 30.34± acre parcel is currently developed with an existing winery (fermentation buildings, barrel buildings, a hospitality building, and covered outdoor work areas), vineyards, access roads, parking lots, wells, agricultural buildings, and a residence. The existing winery is located on APN 022-130-010 (SFAP)<sup>1</sup>, is 10.67± acres, and is planted with 4.06± acres of vineyard; the existing residence is on APN 022-100-033 (SFAP)<sup>1</sup>, is 19.67± acres, and is planted with 10.15± acres of vineyard. The project proposes the demolition of four (4) winery buildings and the residence, the construction of a new production facility and an addition to the existing winery on APN 022-130-010 (SFAP) will remain and continue producing wine, the construction of a new production facility from 160,000 to 300,000 gallons of wine per year. A reduction in vineyard area is anticipated as a result of the project. Refer to the Use Permit Drawings prepared by Bartelt Engineering for the details of the existing and proposed development conditions.

The approved number of 56 employees, which includes 45 full-time employees, five (5) part-time employees, and six (6) harvest/seasonal employees is proposed to remain constant; however, along with the proposed physical improvements and production increase described previously, the project proposes a modification to the winery's current visitation plan. The project proposes to increase both private tour and tasting without food and private tour and tasting with food appointments to a maximum number of 110 and 109 guests per day, respectively, with an average of 770 guests per week. The project also proposes to adjust the current marketing plan to offer 40 small private wine and food pairings each year for parties up to 25 guests with two (2) additional event staff and 200 small private tastings per year for groups of up to 20 guests. In addition, the marketing plan will be adjusted to accommodate 40 medium events per year for groups of up to 250 guests, and three (3) large events per year for groups of up to 400 guests with additional staff for each event type of up to two (2), five (5), and eight (8), respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Separated for Assessment Purposes (SFAP).



Table 1 summarizes the approved and proposed staffing plan:

TABLE 1: STAFFING PLAN SUMMARY					
Description	Number of Employees	Frequency			
Full-time Employees	45	Daily			
Part-time Employees	5	Daily			
Seasonal Employees	6	Daily			

Table 2 summarizes the proposed visitation and marketing plans:

TABLE 2: VISITATION AND MARKETING PLAN SUMMARY						
Description	Number of Guests	Event Staff	Frequency			
Private Tours & Tastings w/o Food	110 per day	n/a	Daily			
Private Tours & Tastings w/ Food	109 per day	n/a	Daily			
Private Wine & Food Pairings - Small Event	25 per event	2 per event	40 per year			
Small Private Tasting	20 per event	0 per event	200 per year			
Medium Event	60 per event	2 per event	40 per year			
Auction Event	250 per event	5 per event	1 per year			
Large Event	400 per event	8 per event	3 per year			

As part of our services, representatives from Bartelt Engineering have reviewed the planned operational methods for the proposed winery expansion, reviewed the parcel files available by Napa County PBES, held conversations with Napa County PBES staff, and performed a reconnaissance of the site to view existing conditions. A site evaluation was conducted on APN 022-100-033 (SFAP) in November 2019 by Bartelt Engineering to evaluate the feasibility of providing a new onsite wastewater dispersal system to serve the proposed winery production and marketing modifications and is the basis of our preliminary wastewater design presented herein.

This study and the Use Permit Drawings are provided to demonstrate that the proposed production and marketing plan increases can feasibly be developed and that all wastewater can be adequately treated and dispersed onsite.

## WASTEWATER ANALYSIS

The proposed OWTS will be sized based on the proposed wine production level, the employees, staff, and marketing plan of the winery. All plumbing fixtures in the proposed production facility and hospitality addition will be water saving fixtures per the California Plumbing Code (CPC) as adopted by the Napa County Building Division. Furthermore, any fixtures in the existing hospitality or winery buildings will be replaced with those that also incorporate water saving features.



## Process Wastewater Flow

The winery production process wastewater (PW) flow rates for harvest and non-harvest seasons can be calculated as follows:

Harvest Peak Winery PW Flow =

Harvest Peak PW Flow = 7,500 gallons per day (gpd)

Non-Harvest Peak PW Flow =

gallons of gallons of 4.5 300,000 1 year wine water - = 4,427 gallon ofdays of nonyear 305 1 wine harvest

Non-Harvest Peak PW Flow = 4,427 gpd

## Sanitary Wastewater Flow

The sanitary wastewater (SW) generated from winery production and hospitality full-time employees, part-time employees, seasonal/harvest employees, guests, additional event staff, and food preparation can be itemized as follows:

Employees<sup>2</sup>:

•	45 Full-Time Employees x 15 gpd per employee =	675 gpd
---	--	---------

- 5 Part-Time Employees x 15 gpd per employee = 75 gpd
- 6 Seasonal/Harvest Employees x 15 gpd per employee = 90 gpd

Guests:

- Private Tours and Tasting without Food:

   (110 guests per day) x (3 gpd per guest)<sup>3</sup> =
   330 gpd
- Private Tours and Tasting with Food:
  - $\circ$  (109 guests per day) x (3 gpd per guest) <sup>3</sup> = 327 gpd
  - $\circ$  (109 guests per day) x (2 gpd per guest) <sup>4</sup> = 218 gpd

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the purpose of calculating SW, it is estimated that 24 of the winery employees will be working at the new production facility on APN 022-100-033 on & off throughout the year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Wastewater generation rate per Napa County PBES regulations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Kitchen wastewater generation rate is 2 gpd per guest for all events except for the Medium Event and Auction Event which is 5 and 8 gpd per guest, respectively.



•	Private Wine & Food Pairings - Small Event:	
	<ul> <li>(25 guests per event) x (3 gpd per guest) <sup>3</sup> =</li> <li>(25 guests per event) x (2 gpd per guest) <sup>4</sup> =</li> <li>(2 event staff) x (15 gpd per event staff) =</li> </ul>	75 gpd 50 gpd 30 gpd
•	Small Private Tasting:	
	<ul> <li>(20 guests per event) x (3 gpd per guest) <sup>3</sup> =</li> <li>(20 guests per event) x (2 gpd per guest) <sup>4</sup> =</li> </ul>	60 gpd 40 gpd
•	Medium Event:	
	<ul> <li>(60 guests per event) x (3 gpd per guest) <sup>3</sup> =</li> <li>(60 guests per event) x (5 gpd per guest) <sup>4</sup> =</li> <li>(2 event staff) x (15 gpd per event staff) =</li> </ul>	180 gpd 300 gpd 30 gpd
•	Auction Event:	
	<ul> <li>(250 guests per event) x (3 gpd per guest) x (75%) <sup>3,5</sup> =</li> <li>(250 guests per event) x (8 gpd per guest) <sup>4</sup> =</li> <li>(5 event staff) x (15 gpd per event staff) =</li> </ul>	563 gpd 2,000 gpd 75 gpd
•	Large Event:	
	<ul> <li>(400 guests per event) x (3 gpd per guest) x (50%) <sup>3,5</sup> =</li> <li>(8 event staff) x (15 gpd per event staff) =</li> </ul>	600 gpd 120 gpd
	Note: This feasibility study assumes that Estate House restrooms are us	ed by 75% and 50%

ote: This feasibility study assumes that Estate House restrooms are used by 75% and 50% of guests during an auction event and large event, respectively. It also assumes that offsite meal preparation and catering services are utilized during all large events. Finally, it is assumed that all event staff utilize the Estate House restrooms during an event.

Total Harvest Season and Non-Harvest Season Peak Sanitary Wastewater Flow

The total proposed harvest season peak SW flow is the combination of both production facilities and hospitality SW flows, during the months of August through November (harvest). The total proposed non-harvest season peak SW flow is the combination of both of the production facilities and hospitality SW flows, during the months of December through July (non-harvest).

Table 3 uses the marketing schedule to calculate the SW flows generated by employees and guests during daily event sequences in harvest and non-harvest seasons. Wastewater flows in the same column indicate which appointments and events may occur on the same day.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Percentage of restroom utilization by guests



TABLE 3: HARVEST AND NON-HARVEST SEASONS' DAILY SANITARY WASTEWATER FLOWS									
	Daily Occurrence								
	Harvest			Non-Harvest					
Employees	840	840	840	750	750	750	750	750	750
Tours & Tastings w/out Food	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	0	0
Tours and Tastings w/ Food	545	545	545	545	545	545	545	0	0
Private Wine & Food Pairings - Small Event	155	155	155	155	155	155	0	0	0
Medium Event	0	510	510	0	510	510	510	0	0
Large Event	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	720	0
Small Private Tasting	0	0	100	0	0	100	100	0	0
Auction Event	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,638
Total Flow (gpd)	1,870	2,380	2,480	1,780	2,290	2,390	2,235	1,470	3,388

Table 3 shows that the greatest SW flow in the harvest season is generated during a typical staffing day with Tours & Tasting, Private Wine & Food Pairings, a Medium Event, and Small Private Tasting event and in the non-harvest season during a typical staffing day and an Auction Event.

## **Design Wastewater Flows**

The greatest practical harvest and non-harvest season peak process and sanitary wastewater flows are summarized in the table below:

TABLE 4: HARVEST AND NON-HARVEST SEASONS' PEAK DAILY FLOW SUMMARY				
Wastewater Source Harvest Non-Ha				
	(gpd)	(gpd)		
Process Wastewater	7,500	4,427		
Sanitary Wastewater	2,480	3,388		

The greatest PW daily flow occurs during the harvest season while the greatest SW daily flow occurs during the non-harvest season. Under the proposed wastewater treatment and dispersal methods, each wastewater stream is addressed independently since the goal for



the proposed condition is to disperse treated PW as irrigation to the vineyard and to disperse the SW using a subsurface drip system.

## WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPERSAL METHODS

## **Existing Wastewater Systems**

The existing winery dispersal system is located on APN 022-130-010 (SFAP) and consists of a combined process and sanitary wastewater underground conventional sewage treatment system designed by Summit Engineering in September 1994. The existing system uses a combination of process and sanitary wastewater septic tanks, a grease interceptor tank, and a lift station to collect wastewater from the production facility and hospitality building and deliver it to the leach field for treatment and dispersal. This existing system is proposed to be removed in its entirety.

## **Proposed Wastewater Treatment and Dispersal Methods**

Separate wastewater conveyance, treatment, and dispersal systems are proposed. Process wastewater would be pretreated then surface applied as vineyard irrigation water. Sanitary wastewater would also be pretreated then dispersed via a subsurface drip field.

## Process Wastewater Pretreatment System

As summarized in Table 4 above, the separate process and sanitary wastewater systems will need to disperse a peak daily flow of 7,500 and 3,388 gpd, respectively. Both the existing and proposed production facilities' process wastewater conveyance systems will be designed and/or modified to consist of several steps. The floors of both the proposed and the existing production facility (fermentation building, barrel buildings, and covered work areas) will be constructed and/or modified so that they slope to allow PW to be collected in trench drains and floor drains. The drains will be installed so that screened baskets can be inserted to collect a majority of the larger debris. PW in the trench drains and floor drains will gravity flow to new fiberglass reinforced plastic (FRP) septic tanks equipped with effluent filters for solids removal.

A pump station will be necessary to transfer collected PW from the each of the production facilities (existing and proposed) to the pretreatment system which will be located just north of the proposed production facility on APN 022-100-033 (SFAP). This includes a proposed lift station at the existing production facility which will need to pump the PW under the Napa River and to the proposed production facility on APN 022-100-033 (SFAP). Construction of this forcemain will be a directional bore under the Napa River and along vineyard avenues. Examples of the pretreatment system to be constructed at the proposed production facility on APN 022-100-033 (SFAP). Construction facility on APN 022-100-033 (SFAP) include (but are not limited to) Bio-Microbics, Cloacina, or Lyve Systems.

The pretreatment system selected for installation at the proposed production facility is anticipated to include an equalization (EQ) tank, screening equipment, pH adjustment system, a primary treatment tank equipped with an aeration system, and a membrane or media filtration system. The proposed PW pretreatment system must be capable of treating PW to an acceptable level for surface drip irrigation in vineyard areas per jurisdictional requirements. From the pretreatment system, PW effluent is proposed to be pumped to storage tanks prior to vineyard irrigation.

August 2022 - Revised Job No. 13-14



#### Process Wastewater Surface Drip Irrigation

A PW flow balance was determined by estimating the monthly PW produced (see attached Table I), the average irrigation flow based on estimated vineyard irrigation demands (see attached Table II), and sizing a storage tank(s) to be able to store excess treated PW effluent until it can be properly dispersed via surface drip irrigation throughout the vineyard on APN 022-100-033 (SFAP) (see attached Table III).

Based on the PW flow balance, the storage tank(s) should have a minimum combined volume of 300,000 gallons (see attached Table III) to provide temporary storage of treated effluent through winter months when surface drip land application is minimal and to equalize differences between the wastewater generation rate and the irrigation application rate. It is assumed that available groundwater in the root zone is depleted by April and that irrigation is primarily applied to the vines for the months of April through November. A small irrigation event is assumed to occur in March to help manage storage volumes. In the months where the irrigation demand exceeds the amount of treated effluent that is available for irrigation, it is assumed that the remaining irrigation requirement for the vines is not met or that another water source (one of the existing onsite wells on APN 022-100-033 (SFAP)) is used to supply additional irrigation water.

Vineyard areas where treated PW is dispersed through surface drip irrigation is based on 6.78± acres or approximately 7,385± grape vines located on the same parcel as the proposed production facility (APN 022-100-033 (SFAP)). The area for surface drip irrigation will be verified once all dispersal field setbacks are determined and a final vineyard irrigation plan has been developed. Furthermore, all surface drip dispersal field areas will be labeled with signage indicating the use of treated effluent for irrigation in accordance with PBES standards.

## Sanitary Wastewater Subsurface Drip Dispersal Field with Pretreatment

As summarized in Table 4, the SW dispersal field is proposed to have a peak daily flow of 3,388 gpd. Both the existing and proposed production facilities and the hospitality buildings SW will follow a similar wastewater collection and transfer scheme as the afore discussed PW systems. SW at each facility would gravity flow to septic tanks fitted with filters for solids removal. As for the hospitality building on APN 022-130-010 (SFAP), kitchen waste would gravity flow into a grease interceptor prior to entering a septic tank. Septic tank effluent would then gravity flow to a lift station on APN 022-130-010 (SFAP) where it is pumped under the Napa River via a directional bore to a recirculation/dose tank located at the proposed production facility on APN 022-100-033 (SFAP). The proposed production facility's SW would gravity flow to a nearby septic tank (on APN 022-100-033 (SFAP)), and then this SW effluent would gravity flow to the same recirculation/dose tank that SW from the existing winery and hospitality building are pumped to. The combined SW from the existing and proposed wineries would be pretreated through an Orenco AdvanTex AX Treatment System (or approved equal). Pretreated effluent is proposed to be dispersed through a subsurface drip field by means of a timed-dose pumping system.

Based on the site evaluation performed by Bartelt Engineering on November 19, 2019, in an area to the east of the proposed production facility on APN 022-100-033 (SFAP) the results of Test Pits #1 thru #12 are acceptable for subsurface drip primary and replacement dispersal fields. The site evaluation determined the soil in the area of the test pits to be Sandy clay loam with an acceptable depth of 62 to 84 inches. For Sandy clay loam type soil, Napa



County and GeoFlow Incorporated recommend a soil hydraulic loading rate<sup>6,7</sup> 0.60 gal/sf/day. Napa County Standards require a minimum of 24 inches of acceptable soil below the bottom of the drip lines with a minimum of six (6) inches of acceptable soil cover material placed over the drip lines.

The minimum required primary area for the subsurface drip field is calculated below:

Subsurface Drip Field Area = 
$$\left(\frac{3,388 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{day}}}{0.6 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{day/ft}^2}}\right)$$
 = 5,647 ft<sup>2</sup> ; use 6,050 ft<sup>2</sup>

The recommended subsurface drip field contains 48 driplines each 63 feet long. Based on site slopes less than 5% in the primary and replacement areas, two (2) foot spacing is recommended between driplines per Napa County Standards. The total recommended SW primary area is 6,050 square feet and the 200% SW replacement area is 12,100 square feet.

## WASTEWATER TREATMENT TANK SIZING

## Grease Interceptor

Meal preparation is proposed to occur in the existing hospitality building's proposed commercial kitchen during all events except during a large event. Kitchen Waste (KW) consisting primarily of fats, oils, and grease (FOG) in addition to organic material would be generated during these events and require collection, retention, and onsite disposal. PBES regulations require commercial kitchen fixtures be plumbed to a grease interceptor (GI) when an onsite wastewater treatment system is implemented.

During an Auction Event, the kitchen is assumed to prepare at most one (1) meal per guest per hour with multi-service utensils. Hours of operation for the kitchen are also assumed to be less than eight (8) hours per day. The grease interceptor tank would be sized per the following formula<sup>8</sup>:

Grease Interceptor (KW fl	ows only) = (Peak number of meals per hour) x (Wastewater
	flowrate) x (Retention time) x (Storage factor)
GI (KW flows only)	= (250 guests x 1 meals/hour) x (8 gpd per meal) x (2.5) x (1) = 5,000 gallons; 5,000 gallons recommended

Septic Tank(s)

The proposed septic tank(s) are sized to provide a minimum of three (3) days of hydraulic retention time during peak wastewater flows. The septic tank(s) would be equipped with an effluent filter to aid in the reduction of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) and Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) in the wastewater effluent stream. Below is a breakdown of the minimum recommended septic tank volumes for the proposed project:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Hydraulic loading rate is based on *Table III-2 Soil Hydraulic Loading Rates* from Napa County Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems (OWTS) Technical Standards, Final Draft.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Referenced from *Table 1 Drip Loading Rates Considering Soils Structure* of The Subsurface Drip Dispersal and Reuse Design, Installation and Maintenance Guidelines prepared by GeoFlow Incorporated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The grease interceptor sizing formula, retention time, and storage factor are based on Napa County's Regulations for Design, Construction, and Installation of Alternative Sewage Treatment Systems

August 2022 - Revised Job No. 13-14



Production Facility ar	d Hospitality on APN 022-130-010
SW flows	= 3 days x 3,388 gpd
	= 10,164 gallons; 12,000 gallons recommended
Production Facility or	APN 022-100-033 (24 employees x 15 gpd/employee = 360 gpd)
SW flows	= 3 days x 360 gpd
	= 1,080 gallons; 1,500 gallons recommended

## Recirculation Tank

The proposed recirculation tank is sized to provide a minimum of one (1) day of hydraulic retention time during peak wastewater flows. Below is a summary of the recommended tank volume:

SW flows	= 1 day x 3,388 gpd
	= 3,388 gallons; 4,000 gallons recommended

## Subsurface Drip Dosing Tank

The proposed dosing tank is sized to provide a minimum of one and a half (1.5) days of hydraulic retention time during peak wastewater flows. Below is a summary of the recommended tank volume:

SW flows	= 1.5 days x 3,388 gpd
	= 5,082 gallons, 6,000 gallons recommended

## Process Wastewater Equalization Tank

The winery PW pretreatment system is proposed to be preceded by an EQ tank for buffering of peak flows. The proposed EQ tank is sized to provide a minimum of three (3) days of hydraulic retention time. A fine bubble diffused air system may be provided to keep PW adequately mixed prior to entering the primary treatment tank.

PW flows	= 3 days x 7,500 gpd
	= 22,500 gallons, 30,000 gallons recommended



#### **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

Per Napa County requirements, all Alternative Sewage Treatment Systems (ASTS), including winery wastewater systems with pretreatment, are required to have a Service Provider. Duckhorn Vineyards Winery currently has a Service Provider assigned to the existing system who will continue to provide services prior to operation and final approval of the proposed wastewater treatment and dispersal system.

#### GENERAL WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS FOR WINERY PROCESS WATER

Per the State of California Regional Water Quality Control Board – General Waste Discharge Requirements for Winery Process Water (General Order) and Napa County adoption of the General Order, Duckhorn Vineyards Winery will be required to enroll under the General Order and comply with the requirements administered by the Regional Water Quality Control Board and/or Napa County for the treatment and dispersal of winery process water.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Process and sanitary wastewater generated as a result of the proposed winery expansion can feasibly be treated and dispersed onsite in accordance with Napa County PBES standards.

Full design calculations and construction plans will be completed after approval of the Use Permit Modification under consideration.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

Proposed Wastewater Treatment Diagram

Table I – Process Wastewater Flow

Table II – Process Wastewater Irrigation

Table III – Process Wastewater Irrigation Storage Tank Balance

Site Evaluation



## REFERENCES

- California Onsite Wastewater Association (COWA). "Pumping and Pressure Distribution Systems." May 1998.
- Napa County Department of Environmental Management. "Design, Construction and Installation of Alternative Sewage Treatment Systems." April 12, 2010.

Telsco Industries. "Turf Irrigation Manual." By James A. Watkins. 1987.

- U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service Publication. Manual of Septic-Tank Practice. 1967.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Manual." February 2002.
- Napa County Planning, Building and Environmental Services, "Napa County Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems (OWTS) Technical Standards." Final Draft.





## Process Wastewater Flow Table I

Total annual wine production (gallons):	300,000
Annual water usage per gallon of wine (gallons):	6
Annual process wastewater flow (gallons):	1,800,000
Annual Average process wastewater flow (gpd):	4,932
Harvest water usage per gallon of wine (gallons):	1.5
Length of Harvest (days):	60
Average Harvest process wastewater flow (gallons per day):	7,500
Non-harvest water usage per gallon of wine (gallons):	4.5
Length of Non-Harvest (days):	305
Average Non-harvest process wastewater flow (gallons per day):	4,427

## MONTHLY PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW (gallons/month):

ESTIMATED PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW									
Month	Percent	Wastewater Flow							
September	14.00%	252,000							
October	14.00%	252,000							
November (End of Harvest Season)	14.00%	252,000							
December	5.50%	99 <i>,</i> 000							
January	5.50%	99 <i>,</i> 000							
February	5.50%	99 <i>,</i> 000							
March	5.50%	99,000							
April	5.50%	99,000							
May	5.50%	99 <i>,</i> 000							
June	5.50%	99 <i>,</i> 000							
July	5.50%	99,000							
August (Start of Harvest Season)	14.00%	252,000							
TOTALS	100.0%	1,800,000							

Notes:

> Wastewater monthly proportioning is based on general winery operations and a 60 day harvest period

> The annual water usage per gallon of wine is assumed to be 6 gallons



# Vineyard Process Wastewater Irrigation Table II

Vineyard area (acres)	6.78
Row width (feet):	8.0
Vine spacing (feet):	5.0
Total number of irrigated vines:	7,385

Seasonal irrigation (June - September) Seasonal irrigation per vine (gallons/season):

150

ESTIMATE	D VINEYARD PI	ROCESS WAST	IEWATER IRRIGA	TION
		Es	stimated	
	Seasonal	Seasonal	Non-Seasonal	Total
Month	Percent (%)	Irrigation (gal/vine)	Irrigation <sup>1</sup> (gal/vine)	Irrigation (gallons)
September	10.0%	15.0		110,775
October	23.0%	34.5		254,783
November <sup>1</sup>	0.0%		30	221,550
December <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	·   ·	10	73,850
January <sup>1</sup>	0.0%	·	0	0
February <sup>1</sup>	0.0%		0	0
March <sup>1</sup>	0.0%		15	110,775
April	2.0%	3.0		22,155
May	15.0%	22.5	1	166,163
June	15.0%	22.5		166,163
July	20.0%	30.0	1	221,550
August	15.0%	22.5		166,163
TOTAL	100.0%	150.0	55.0	1,513,925
				4.65 acre-feet

<sup>1</sup> Total non-seasonal irrigation =

= (vineyard area) \* (43,560 sq.-ft./acre) \* (depth of irrigation/12 in./ft.) \* (7.48 gal./cu.-ft.) Note:

> Available vineyard area subject to proposed treated process wastewater irrigation limited to APN 022-100-033.

> Existing vineyard area and corresponding vine number reduction is a result of proposed production facility, wastewater dispersal field, and driveway improvements on APN 022-100-033.



# Process Wastewater Irrigation Storage Tank Balance Table III

ESTIMAT	ED PROCES	S WASTEWATER	IRRIGATION TAM	NK BALANCE	
	Beginning	Wastewater	Vineyard	Tank	
Month	Balance	Flow	Irrigation	Volume	
	(gallons)	(gallons)	(gallons)	(gallons)	
September	117,183	252,000	110,775	258,408	
October	258,408	252,000	254,783	255,625	
November	255,625	252,000	221,550	286,075	
December	0	99,000	73,850	25,150	
January	25,150	99,000	0	124,150	
February	124,150	99,000	0	223,150	
March	223,150	99,000	110,775	211,375	
April	211,375	99,000	22,155	288,220	
May	288,220	99,000	166,163	221,058	
June	221,058	99,000	166,163	153,895	
July	153,895	99,000	221,550	31,345	
August	August 31,345 252,000		166,163	117,183	
	TOTAL	1,800,000	1,513,925		
	Average	150,000	126,160	182,969	

Recommended Tank Storage (gallons): Recommended Tank Storage (acre-feet):

300,000 0.92

Note:

> Water balance calculations assume storage tank is empty at the beginning of December due to post-harvest irrigation.

> In months when the irrigation demand exceeds the beginning balance plus the wastewater flow it is assumed that the full irrigation demand is not met or that the additional irrigation water is supplied from an alternate source (ie. onsite well).

#### Napa County Department of Environmental Management

## SITE EVALUATION REPORT

Please attach an 8.5" x 11" plot map showing the locations of all test pits triangulated from permanent landmarks or known property corners. The map must be drawn to scale and include a North arrow, surrounding geographic and topographic features, direction and % slope, distance to drainages, water bodies, potential areas for flooding, unstable landforms, existing or proposed roads, structures, utilities, domestic water supplies, wells, ponds, existing wastewater treatment systems and facilities.

#### Permit #: E19-00535

APN: 022-100-033 (SFAP)

Date:

(County Use Only) Reviewed by:

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL INFORMATION

☑ New Construction  □ Addition  □ Remodel  □ Relocation
□ Residential - # of Bedrooms: Design Flow : gpd
<ul> <li>Commercial – Type: Winery</li> <li>Sanitary Waste: 2,500 gpd</li> <li>Process Waste: 7,500 gpd</li> </ul>
Other: Sanitary Waste: gpd Process Waste: gpd
-

#### Evaluation Conducted By:

Company Name	Evaluator's Name		Signature (Civil Engineer, R.F.H.S., Geologist, Soil Scientist)
Bartelt Engineering	Michael Grimes, P.E.		Alland . / kimes
Mailing Address:			Telephone Number
3			
1303 Jefferson Street, 200 B			(707) 258-1301
City	State	Zip	Date Evaluation Conducted
Napa	CA	94559	November 19, 2019

Primary Area See below				Expansion Area See below					
Acceptable Soil Depth: 63 in. Test p	oits #: 1-	6		Acceptable Soil Depth: 64 in. Test pits #: 7-12					
Soil Application Rate (gal. /sq. ft. /day	/): 0.6			Soil Application Rate (gal. /sq. ft. /day): 0.6					
System Type(s) Recommended: Sub	surface	Drip		System Type(s) Recommended: Subsurface Drip					
Slope: <5 %. Distance to nearest w	vater sou	urce: 100	0+ feet	Slope: <5 %. Distance to nearest water source: 100+ feet					
Hydrometer test performed?	No 🗆	Yes 🗵	(attach results)	Hydrometer test performed? No □ Yes ⊠ (attach results)					
Bulk Density test performed?	No 🗵	Yes 🗆	(attach results)	Bulk Density test performed? No ⊠ Yes □ (attach results)					
Groundwater Monitoring Performed?	No 🗵	Yes □	(attach results)	Groundwater Monitoring Performed? No ⊠ Yes □ (attach results)					

Site constraints/Recommendations:

A site evaluation was conducted on November 19, 2019 by Paul Bartelt, Michael Grimes, and Nick Warnock of Bartelt Engineering. Test pits were excavated by Tony Tregeza of FBC Construction using a John Deere 35G mini-excavator with a 24 inch bucket. Darell Choate of Napa County Environmental Health visited the site to inspect soil conditions. Test pits #1 - #12 showed suitable soil for the installation of an Alternative Sewage Treatment System (ASTS) Subsurface Drip dispersal field within the area tested with required reserve area. All ASTSs currently allowed by the Napa County Department of Environmental Management could be installed based on the soil type, Bouyoucos Hydrometry test results, and test pit depths and locations; however, Bartelt Engineering recommends the installation of a subsurface drip system after considering the proposed project's wastewater volume rate.

1

2

Horizon	orizon Boundany %Pock				Consistence			_	<b>.</b> .		
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling	
0-63*	N/A	0-15	SCL	SAB	H, VH	FRB, F	SS	CVF, FF	FVF, FF, FM	None	
Slope =	Slope = 1-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 63 inches.										
Assigne	d soil applica	tion rate =	STE 0.33 g	al/sf/day for a	a Conventi	onal – Stan	dard Syste	em			
			STE 0.8 ga	ll/sf/day for A	STS						
			PTE 1.0 ga	ll/sf/day for A	STS						
			Subsurface	e Drip = 0.6 g	al/sf/day (p	er Napa Co	ounty Soil	Application R	lates)		
	Subsurface Drip = 0.6 gal/sf/day (per recommended Geoflow Drip Loading Rates)										
No refusal at 63 inches deep.											
No groundwater observed. *See attached Soil Texture Analysis by Bouyoucos Hydrometry Method prepared by RGH											
Consulta	Consultants Inc. dated December 6, 2019										

Test Pit #

\* Hydrometer Test Performed

Horizon	Horizon			<b>O</b> L 1	(	Consistenc	е	_				
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	%Rock Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling		
0-48*		0-15	SCL	SAB	Н	F	SS	CVF, CF, FM	FVF, FF			
48-70*	G	0-15	SCL	SAB	SH	FRB	Not Sieved	CVF, CF, FM	None	None		
Slope =	Slope = 1-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 70 inches.											
Assigned	d soil applica	tion rate =	STE 0.33 g	al/sf/day for	a Convent	ional – Sta	andard Sys	tem				
			STE 0.8 ga	al/sf/day for <i>i</i>	ASTS							
			PTE 1.0 ga	al/sf/day for <i>i</i>	ASTS							
			Subsurface	e Drip = 0.6	gal/sf/day (	per Napa (	County Soi	Application F	lates)			
			Subsurface	e Drip = 0.6	gal/sf/day (	per recom	mended Ge	eoflow Drip Lo	ading Rates	5)		
No refus	No refusal at 70 inches deep.											
No grou	No groundwater observed. *See attached Soil Texture Analysis by Bouyoucos Hydrometry Method prepared by RGH											
Consulta	Consultants, Inc. dated December 6, 2019.											
L												

Test Pit #

3

Horizon					C	onsistence	9	_		
Depth	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling
(incries)					vvan					
0-48		0-15	SCL	SAB	н	F	SS	EVF, CF, FM	FVF, FF	
48-68	G	0-15	SCL	SAB	SH	FRB	Not Sieved	CVF, CF, FM	None	None
Slope = 1-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 68 inches. Assigned soil application rate = STE 0.33 gal/sf/day for a Conventional – Standard System STE 0.8 gal/sf/day for ASTS PTE 1.0 gal/sf/day for ASTS										
	Subsurface Drip = 0.6 gal/sf/day (per Napa County Soil Application Rates) Subsurface Drip = 0.6 gal/sf/day (per recommended Geoflow Drip Loading Rates)									
No refus No Grou	No refusal at 68 inches deep. No Groundwater observed.									

Test Pit #

Harizon					(	Consisten	се	_			
Depth	Boundary	%Rock	lexture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling	
0-64		0-15	SCL	SAB	H, VH	FRB	SS	CVF, CF, CM	FVF	None	
Slope = 1	Slope = 1-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 64 inches.										
Assigned	soil application	on rate = S	STE 0.33 ga	l/sf/day for a 0	Conventior	nal – Stan	dard Syster	n			
		5	STE 0.8 gal/	/sf/day for AS⊺	rs						
		F	PTE 1.0 gal/	/sf/day for AST	rs						
		5	Subsurface	Drip = 0.6 gal/	/sf/day (pe	r Napa Co	ounty Soil A	pplication R	ates)		
		Ş	Subsurface	Drip = 0.6 gal/	/sf/day (pe	r recomm	ended Geof	low Drip Lo	ading Rates)		
No refusa No groun	Il at 64 inches dwater obser	s deep. ved.									

Test Pit #

Harizon				_	(	Consistence	Э			
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling
0-64		0-15	SCL	SAB	Н	F, FRB	SS	CVF, CF	FVF, FF	None
Slope = Assigned	Slope = 1-2%. Acceptable soil depth observed: 64 inches. Assigned soil application rate = STE 0.33 gal/sf/day for a Conventional – Standard System STE 0.8 gal/sf/day for ASTS PTE 1.0 gal/sf/day for ASTS Subsurface Drip = 0.6 gal/sf/day (per Napa County Soil Application Rates) Subsurface Drip = 0.6 gal/sf/day (per recommended Geoflow Drip Loading Rates)									
No refus No grour	No refusal at 64 inches deep. No groundwater observed.									

6

5

Test Pit #

\* Hydrometer Test Performed

Llaviman	_			C	Consistence		_	_		
Depth	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	Side	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling
(Inches)					Wall					
0-67*		0-15	SCL	SAB	SH	VFRB, FRB	SS		FVF, FF, FC	None
Slope = 1-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 67 inches.										
Assigne	Assigned soil application rate = STE 0.33 gal/sf/day for a Conventional – Standard System									
_			STE 0.8 gal	/sf/day for AS	TS		-			
			PTE 1.0 gal	/sf/day for AS	TS					
			Subsurface	Drip = 0.6 ga	l/sf/day (pe	r Napa Co	unty Soil A	pplication F	Rates)	
			Subsurface	Drip = 0.6 ga	l/sf/day (pe	r recomme	ended Geo	flow Drip Lo	ading Rates)	
No refusal at 67 inches deep. No groundwater observed. *See attached Soil Texture Analysis by Bouyoucos Hydrometry Method prepared by RGH Consultants, Inc. dated December 6, 2019.										

Test Pit #

7

Harizon			_		(	Consistend	e	_	_	
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	rexture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling
0-64		0-15	SCL	SSB	SH	VFRB	SS	CVF, FF	FVF, FF, FC	None
Slope = Assigned	Slope = 0-1 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 64 inches. Assigned soil application rate = STE 0.33 gal/sf/day for a Conventional – Standard System STE 0.8 gal/sf/day for ASTS PTE 1.0 gal/sf/day for ASTS Subsurface Drip = 0.6 gal/sf/day (per Napa County Soil Application Rates) Subsurface Drip = 0.6 gal/sf/day (per recommended Geoflow Drip Loading Rates)									
No refusal at 64 inches deep. No groundwater observed.										

Test Pit #

8

\* Hydrometer Test Performed

Horizon					(	Consistence	Э	_	_	
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling
0-64*		0-15	SCL	SSB	SH	F, FRB	SS	CVF, CF	FVF, FF	None
Slope =	Slope = 0-1 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 64 inches.									
Assigne	Assigned soil application rate = STE 0.33 gal/sf/day for a Conventional – Standard System									
			STE 0.8 ga	al/sf/day for /	ASTS					
			PTE 1.0 ga	al/sf/day for <i>i</i>	ASTS					
			Subsurface	e Drip = 0.6 g	gal/sf/day (	(per Napa (	County Soi	I Application	Rates)	
			Subsurface	e Drip = 0.6 g	gal/sf/day (	per recomr	mended Ge	eoflow Drip	Loading Rat	es)
No refus No grou Consulta	No refusal at 64 inches deep. No groundwater observed. *See attached Soil Texture Analysis by Bouyoucos Hydrometry Method prepared by RGH Consultants, Inc. dated December 6, 2019.									

Test Pit #

9

					C	consistenc	е			
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling
0-62		0-15	SCL	SSB	SH	VFRB	SS	CVF, CF	FVF, FF	None
Slope = Assigne	Slope = 1-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 62 inches. Assigned soil application rate = STE 0.33 gal/sf/day for a Conventional – Standard System STE 0.8 gal/sf/day for ASTS PTE 1.0 gal/sf/day for ASTS Subsurface Drip = 0.6 gal/sf/day (per Napa County Soil Application Rates) Subsurface Drip = 0.6 gal/sf/day (per recommended Geoflow Drip Loading Rates)									
No refus No grou	No refusal at 62 inches deep. No groundwater observed.									

10

Horizon		~~ <b>.</b>	_	<b>a</b>	C	onsistence	9	_	_	
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	lexture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling
0-64*		0-15	SCL	SAB	н	FRB	SS	MVF, CF	FVF, FF	None
Slope = 1-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 64 inches. Assigned soil application rate = STE 0.33 gal/sf/day for a Conventional – Standard System STE 0.8 gal/sf/day for ASTS PTE 1.0 gal/sf/day for ASTS Subsurface Drip = 0.6 gal/sf/day (per Napa County Soil Application Rates) Subsurface Drip = 0.6 gal/sf/day (per recommended Geoflow Drip Loading Rates)										
No refusal at 64 inches deep. No groundwater observed. *See attached Soil Texture Analysis by Bouyoucos Hydrometry Method prepared by RGH Consultants, Inc. dated December 6, 2019.										

Test Pit #

# 11

Horizon	Horizon Boundary		%Rock Texture		C	consistenc	e	Pores Roots	Mottling	
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling
0-64		0-15	SCL	SAB	SH	VFRB	SS	MVF, CF	FF, FVF	None
Slope = 1-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 64 inches.										
Assigne	d soil applica	tion rate =	STE 0.33 g	al/sf/day for a	a Conventi	onal – Sta	ndard Sys	tem		
			STE 0.8 ga	ll/sf/day for A	STS					
			PTE 1.0 ga	ll/sf/day for A	STS					
			Subsurface	e Drip = 0.6 g	al/sf/day (p	oer Napa (	County Soi	I Application	Rates)	
	Subsurface Drip = 0.6 gal/sf/day (per recommended Geoflow Drip Loading Rates)									
No refus No grou	No refusal at 64 inches deep. No groundwater observed.									

Test Pit #

Horizon Boundary				_	Co	onsistence	e	_	_	
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling
0-84		0-15	SCL	SAB	SH	FRB	SS	FVF, FF	FF, FVF	None
Slope =	Slope = 1-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 84 inches.									
Assigned	d soil applicat	tion rate =	STE 0.33 g	al/sf/day for	a Convention	onal – Sta	ndard Syst	tem		
			STE 0.8 ga	l/sf/day for <i>i</i>	ASTS					
			PTE 1.0 ga	l/sf/day for <i>i</i>	ASTS					
			Subsurface	e Drip = 0.6 g	gal/sf/day (p	er Napa (	County Soil	Application	Rates)	
			Subsurface	e Drip = 0.6 g	gal/sf/day (p	er recomr	mended Ge	eoflow Drip Lo	oading Rates	,)
No refus No grour	No refusal at 84 inches deep. No groundwater observed.									

#### **Table of Abbreviations**

	Texture			Consistence				
Boundary	Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling
<b>A</b> =Abrupt <1" <b>C</b> =Clear 1"-2.5" <b>G</b> =Gradual 2.5"- 5" <b>D</b> =Difuse >5"	S=Sand LS=Loamy Sand SL=Sandy Loam SC=Sandy Clay Clay Loam SC=Sandy Clay CL=Clay Loam C=Clay SiC=Silty Clay SiC=Silty Clay Loam SiL=Silt Loam	W=Weak M=Moderate S=Strong G=Granular PL=Platy Pr=Prismatic C=Columnar AB=Angular Blocky SB=Subangular Blocky M=Massive C=Cemented	L=Loose S=Soft SH=Slighty Hard H=Hard VH=Very Hard ExH=Extremely Hard	L=Loose VFRB=Very Friable FRB=Friable F=Firm VF=Very Firm ExF=Extremely Firm	NS=NonSticky SS=Slightly Sticky S=Sticky VS=Very Sticky NP=NonPlastic SP=Slightly Plastic P=Plastic VP=Very Plastic	Quantity: F=Few C=Common M=Many Size: VF=Very Fine F=Fine M=Medium C=Coarse	Quantity: F=Few C=Common M=Many Size: VF=Very Fine F=Fine M=Medium C=Coarse VC=Very Course	Quantity: F=Few C=Common M=Many Size: F=Fine M=Medium C=Coarse VC=Very Course ExC=Extremely Coarse Contrast: Ft=Faint D=Distinct P=Prominent

Attach additional sheets as needed

#### Alternative Sewage Treatment System Soil Application Rates

TEXTURE	S	TRUCTURE	APPLICA (Gal/f	TION RATE <sup>/2</sup> /day)
	Shape	Grade	STE <sup>1</sup>	PTE <sup>1,2</sup>
Coarse Sand, Sand, Loamy Coarse Sand	Single grain	Structureless	1.0	1.2
Fine Sand, Loamy Fine Sand	d Single grain Structureless		0.6	1.0
	Massive	Structureless	0.35	0.5
	Platy	Weak	0.35	0.5
Sandy Loam, Loamy Sand	Prismatic blocky	Weak	0.5	0.75
	granular	Moderate, Strong	0.8	1.0
	Massive	Structureless		
Loam, Silt Loam,	Platy	Weak, moderate, strong		
Fine Sandy Loam	Prismatic, blocky,	Weak, moderate	0.5	0.75
	granular	Strong	0.8	1.0
	Massive	Structureless		
Sandy Clay, Silty Clay Loam,	Platy	Weak, moderate, strong		
Clay Loam	Prismatic, blocky,	Weak, moderate	0.35	0.5
	granular	Strong	0.6	0.75
	Massive	Structureless		
Clay, Silty Clay	Platy	Weak, moderate, strong		
Oldy, Olly Oldy	Prismatic, blocky,	Weak		
	granular	Moderate, strong	0.2	0.25

1. See Table 1 in the Design, Construction and Installation of Alternative Sewage Treatment Systems.

2. A higher application rate for pretreated effluent may only be used when pretreatment is not used for one foot of vertical separation credit.

MINIMU	MINIMUM SURFACE AREA GUIDELINES TO DISPOSE OF 100 GPD OF SECONDARY TREATED EFFLUENT FOR SUBSURFACE DRIP DISPERSAL SYSTEMS										
		Soil Absor	ption Rates	Design Application	Tatal Area Demoired						
Soil Class	Soil Type	Est. Soil Perc. Rate minutes/inch	Hydraulic Conductivity inches/hour	Rate (Gal/ft²/day)	Sq. ft./100 gallons per day						
Ι	Coarse sand	1 – 5	>2	1.400	71.5						
I	Fine sand	5 – 10	1.5 – 2	1.200	83.3						
II	Sandy loam	10 – 20	1.0 – 1.5	1.000	100.0						
II	Loam	20 – 30	0.75 – 1.0	0.700	143.0						
III	Clay loam	30 – 45	0.5 – 0.75	0.600	167.0						
III	Silt - clay loam	45 - 60	0.3 – 0.5	0.400	250.0						
IV	Clay non-swell	60 - 90	0.2 – 0.3	0.200	500.0						
IV	Clay - swell	90 – 120	0.1 – 0.2	0.100	1000.0						

1. For design purpose, the "Soil Type" category to be used in the above table shall be based on the most restrictive soil type encountered within two feet below the bottom of the drip line.

2. Dispersal field area calculation: Total square feet area of dispersal field = Design flow divided by loading rate.

# Conventional Sewage Treatment System Soil Application Rates

TEXTURE	STRUCTURE		APPLICATION RATE (Gal/ft²/day)
	Shape	Grade	STE
Coarse Sand, Sand, Loamy Coarse Sand	Single grain	Structureless	Prohibited
Sandy Loam, Loamy Sand	Massive	Structureless	Prohibited
	Platy	Weak, mod, strong	Prohibited
	Prismatic, blocky, granular	Weak	0.33
		Moderate, strong	0.5
Loam, Silt Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Fine	Massive	Structureless	Prohibited
	Platy	Weak, mod, strong	Prohibited
Sandy Loam	Prismatic, blocky, granular	Weak	0.25
		Moderate, Strong	0.33
Clay Loam	Massive	Structureless	Prohibited
	Platy	Weak, moderate, strong	Prohibited
	Prismatic, blocky, granular	Weak, moderate	0.25
		Strong	0.33
Sandy Clay, Silty Clay Loam	Massive	Structureless	Prohibited
	Platy	Weak, moderate, strong	Prohibited
	Prismatic, blocky, granular	Weak, moderate	Prohibited
		Strong	0.25
Clay, Silty Clay	Massive	Structureless	Prohibited
	Platy	Weak, moderate, strong	Prohibited
	Prismatic, blocky, granular	Weak	Prohibited
		Moderate, strong	Prohibited

CONVENTIONAL SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM SOIL APPLICATION RATES BASED ON PERCOLATION RATES				
Percolation Rate (mpi) Application Rate (STE)				
< 5 MPI	Prohibited			
5 to 10 MPI	0.5			
10-20 MPI	0.33			
20-60 MPI	0.25			
> 60 MPI	Prohibited			

٦

Г

### TABLE 1

#### DRIP LOADING RATES CONSIDERING SOIL STRUCTURE.

Table 1 is taken from the State of Wisconsin code and was prepared by Jerry Tyler. Provided for guidelines and budgeting purposes. Refer to your local regulations and qualified soil scientists to determine best loading rates.

Image: Constraint of the second se	Soil Textures	Soil Structure	Maximum Monthly Average BOD <sub>5</sub> <30mg/L	Maximum Monthly Average BOD <sub>5</sub> >30mg/L
Course sand or coarserN/A1.60.4Loamy coarse sandN/A1.40.3SandN/A1.20.3Loamy sandWeak to strong1.20.3Loamy sandMassive0.70.2Fine sandModerate to strong0.90.3Fine sandModerate to strong0.90.3Loamy fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Loamy fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Loamy fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Loamy time sandN/A0.60.2Loamy time sandN/A0.60.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.80.2Loamy loamMassive0.50.1LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamMassive0.50.1LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamMassive0.20.0Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModer			1SS<30mg/L (gallons/ft <sup>2</sup> /day)	TSS>30mg/L (gallons/ft <sup>2</sup> /day)
Loamy coarse sandN/A1.40.3SandN/A1.20.3Loamy sandWeak to strong1.20.3Loamy sandMassive0.70.2Fine sandModerate to strong0.90.3Fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Loamy fine sandModerate to strong0.90.3Loamy fine sandModerate to strong0.90.3Loamy fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Very fine sandN/A0.60.2Loamy very fine sandN/A0.60.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamMassive0.50.1Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.	Course sand or coarser	N/A	1.6	0.4
SandN/A1.20.3Loamy sandWeak to strong1.20.3Loamy sandMassive0.70.2Fine sandModerate to strong0.90.3Fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Loamy fine sandModerate to strong0.90.3Loamy fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Very fine sandN/A0.60.2Loamy very fine sandN/A0.60.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.60.2Loamy loamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to stron	Loamy coarse sand	N/A	1.4	0.3
Loamy sandWeak to strong1.20.3Loamy sandMassive0.70.2Fine sandModerate to strong0.90.3Fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Loamy fine sandModerate to strong0.90.3Loamy fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Very fine sandN/A0.60.2Loamy tifte sandN/A0.60.2Loamy very fine sandN/A0.60.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamWeak, weak platy0.60.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong	Sand	N/A	1.2	0.3
Loamy sandMassive0.70.2Fine sandModerate to strong0.90.3Fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Loamy fine sandModerate to strong0.90.3Loamy fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Very fine sandN/A0.60.2Loamy very fine sandN/A0.60.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamWeak, weak platy0.60.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2S	Loamy sand	Weak to strong	1.2	0.3
Fine sandModerate to strong0.90.3Fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Loamy fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Very fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Loamy fine sandN/A0.60.2Loamy very fine sandN/A0.60.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamWeak, weak platy0.60.2Loamy very fine sandModerate to strong0.80.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.6 <td< td=""><td>Loamy sand</td><td>Massive</td><td>0.7</td><td>0.2</td></td<>	Loamy sand	Massive	0.7	0.2
Fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Loamy fine sandModerate to strong0.90.3Loamy fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Very fine sandN/A0.60.2Loamy very fine sandN/A0.60.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamWeak, weak platy0.60.2Sandy loamWeak, weak platy0.60.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamMassive0.00.0Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamMa	Fine sand	Moderate to strong	0.9	0.3
Loamy fine sandModerate to strong0.90.3Loamy fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Very fine sandN/A0.60.2Loamy very fine sandN/A0.60.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamWeak, weak platy0.60.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamMassive0.00.0Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loam	Fine sand	Massive or weak	0.6	0.2
Loamy fine sandMassive or weak0.60.2Very fine sandN/A0.60.2Loamy very fine sandN/A0.60.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamWeak, weak platy0.60.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2	Loamy fine sand	Moderate to strong	0.9	0.3
Very fine sandN/A0.60.2Loamy very fine sandN/A0.60.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamWeak, weak platy0.60.2Sandy loamMassive0.50.1LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamWeak, weak platy0.60.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamWeak, weak platy0.60.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2	Loamy fine sand	Massive or weak	0.6	0.2
Loamy very fine sandN/A0.60.2Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamWeak, weak platy0.60.2Sandy loamMassive0.50.1LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamWeak, weak platy0.60.2LoamWeak, weak platy0.60.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamMeak, weak platy0.30.1Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay lo	Very fine sand	N/A	0.6	0.2
Sandy loamModerate to strong0.90.2Sandy loamWeak, weak platy0.60.2Sandy loamMassive0.50.1LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamWeak, weak platy0.60.2LoamMassive0.50.1Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamMeak, weak platy0.30.1Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamMeak, weak platy0.30.1Silty clay loamMeak, weak platy0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clay </td <td>Loamy very fine sand</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>0.2</td>	Loamy very fine sand	N/A	0.6	0.2
Sandy loamWeak, weak platy0.60.2Sandy loamMassive0.50.1LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamWeak, weak platy0.60.2LoamMassive0.50.1Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silt loamMeak, weak platy0.30.1Silt loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamMeak, weak platy0.30.1Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamMeak, weak platy0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamMeak, weak platy0.30.1Silty clay loamMeak, weak platy0.30.1 <td>Sandy loam</td> <td>Moderate to strong</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>0.2</td>	Sandy loam	Moderate to strong	0.9	0.2
Sandy loamMassive0.50.1LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamWeak, weak platy0.60.2LoamMassive0.50.1Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silt loamMassive0.20.0Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Sandy loam	Weak, weak platy	0.6	0.2
LoamModerate to strong0.80.2LoamWeak, weak platy0.60.2LoamMassive0.50.1Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silt loamMassive0.20.0Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamMeak, weak platy0.30.1Sandy clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Sandy loam	Massive	0.5	0.1
LoamWeak, weak platy0.60.2LoamMassive0.50.1Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silt loamMassive0.20.0Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Sandy clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Loam	Moderate to strong	0.8	0.2
LoamMassive0.50.1Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silt loamMassive0.20.0Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamMassive0.00.0Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Loam	Weak, weak platy	0.6	0.2
Silt loamModerate to strong0.80.2Silt loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silt loamMassive0.20.0Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Sandy clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Loam	Massive	0.5	0.1
Silt loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silt loamMassive0.20.0Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Sandy clay loamMassive0.00.0Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Silt loam	Moderate to strong	0.8	0.2
Silt loamMassive0.20.0Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Sandy clay loamMassive0.00.0Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Silt loam	Weak, weak platy	0.3	0.1
Sandy clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Sandy clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Sandy clay loamMassive0.00.0Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silty clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Silt loam	Massive	0.2	0.0
Sandy clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Sandy clay loamMassive0.00.0Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Sandy clay loam	Moderate to strong	0.6	0.2
Sandy clay loamMassive0.00.0Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Sandy clay loam	Weak, weak platy	0.3	0.1
Clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Sandy clay loam	Massive	0.0	0.0
Clay loamWeak, weak platy0.50.1Clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Clay loam	Moderate to strong	0.6	0.2
Clay loamMassive0.00.0Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Clay Ioam Clay Ioam	Weak, weak platy	0.3	0.1
Silty clay loamModerate to strong0.60.2Silty clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Clay Ioam	Massive	0.0	0.0
Silty clay loamWeak, weak platy0.30.1Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Silty clay loam	Moderate to strong	0.6	0.2
Silty clay loamMassive0.00.0Sandy clayModerate to strong0.30.1	Silty clay loam	Weak, weak platy	0.3	0.1
Sandy clay Moderate to strong 0.3 0.1	Silty clay loam	Massive	0.0	0.0
Sandy alay Massive to weak 0.0	Sandy clay	Moderate to strong	0.3	0.1
Clay Moderate to strong 0.3 0.1	Clay	Moderate to strong	0.0	0.0
Clay Massive to weak 0.0 0.0	Clay	Massive to weak	0.5	0.0
Silty clay Moderate to strong 0.3 0.1	Silty clay	Moderate to strong	0.0	0.0
Silty clay Massive to weak 0.0 00	Silty clay	Massive to weak	0.0	0.0



## INSTRUCTIONS:

- I. PLOT TEXTURE ON TRIANGLE BASED ON PERCENT SAND, SILT AND CLAY AS DETERMINED BY HYDROMETER ANALYSIS.
- 2. ADJUST FOR COARSE FRAGMENTS BY MOVING THE PLOTTED POINT IN THE SAND DIRECTION AN ADDITIONAL 2% FOR EACH 10% (BY VOLUME) OF FRAGMENTS GREATER THAN 2mm IN DIAMETER.
- 3. ADJUST FOR COMPACTNESS OF SOIL BY MOVING THE PLOTTED POINT IN THE CLAY DIRECTION AN ADDITIONAL 15% FOR SOILS HAVING A BULK-DENSITY GREATER THAN 1.7gm/cc.

#### NOTE:

FOR SOILS FALLING IN SAND, LOAMY SAND OR SANDY LOAM CLASSIFICATION, A BULK DENSITY ANALYSIS WILL GENERALLY NOT AFFECT SUITABILITY AND ANALYSIS IS NOT NECESSARY.



Duckhorn Vineyards Winery 1098 Lodi Lane Saint Helena, CA 94574 APN 022-100-033 (SFAP)

Job No. 13-14

November 2019



## INSTRUCTIONS:

- I. PLOT TEXTURE ON TRIANGLE BASED ON PERCENT SAND, SILT AND CLAY AS DETERMINED BY HYDROMETER ANALYSIS.
- 2. ADJUST FOR COARSE FRAGMENTS BY MOVING THE PLOTTED POINT IN THE SAND DIRECTION AN ADDITIONAL 2% FOR EACH 10% (BY VOLUME) OF FRAGMENTS GREATER THAN 2mm IN DIAMETER.
- 3. ADJUST FOR COMPACTNESS OF SOIL BY MOVING THE PLOTTED POINT IN THE CLAY DIRECTION AN ADDITIONAL 15% FOR SOILS HAVING A BULK-DENSITY GREATER THAN 1.7gm/cc.

#### NOTE:

FOR SOILS FALLING IN SAND, LOAMY SAND OR SANDY LOAM CLASSIFICATION, A BULK DENSITY ANALYSIS WILL GENERALLY NOT AFFECT SUITABILITY AND ANALYSIS IS NOT NECESSARY.



Duckhorn Vineyards Winery 1098 Lodi Lane Saint Helena, CA 94574 APN 022-100-033 (SFAP)

Job No. 13-14

November 2019



MYDEREYM

227722

(コマテノアンな ム・ンテント しょうちょう しょう

© COPYRIGHT 2020. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.



Duckhorn Vineyards Winery 1000 & 1098 Lodi Lane St. Helena, CA 94574 APNs 022-130-010 (SFAP) & 022-100-033 (SFAP) Job No. 13-14 November 2019 Sheet 1 of 2



<sup>·</sup> Telephone: 707-258-1301 · NIPPOTAL AND STATISTIC AND © COPYRIGHT 2020. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.



Sheet 2 of 2