

Wastewater Feasibility Study

Ladera Vineyards Winery Minor Modification P21-00294-MOD and Viewshed P22-00109 Planning Commission Hearing June 7, 2023

Wastewater Feasibility Study

for

Ladera Vineyards

3942 Silverado Trail N Calistoga, Napa County, CA



Winery Use Permit Minor Modification #P21-00294

Revised July 2022





TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	1
ATTACHMENTS	1
1.0 PROJECT SUMMARY	2
1.1 SITE DESCRIPTION	2
1.1.1 Land Use	2
1.1.2 Water Use	2
2.0 WASTEWATER DEMAND	2
2.1 EXISTING DEMANDS	2
2.2 PROPOSED DEMANDS	3
2.2.1 Domestic Wastewater	3
2.2.2 Winery Process Wastewater	3
3.0 TREATMENT & DISPERSAL SYSTEM	4
3.1 EXISTING WASTEWATER SYSTEM	4
3.2 DOMESTIC WASTEWATER SYSTEM	5
SW Replacement Area	7
3.3 WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER SYSTEM	7
PW Replacement Area	8
4.0 CAVE SETBACKS	8
5.0 CONCLUSION	9

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Wastewater Calculations
- 2. Vicinity Map, Septic Site Evaluation Report
- 3. Neighboring Septic System Research



1.0 PROJECT SUMMARY

The project is proposing a minor modification to an existing winery Use Permit under the Napa County Streamlining Ordinance (Ordinance No. 1455). The existing winery is located at 3942 Silverado Trail North in Calistoga, CA. The project is proposing a moderate staff and event increase and is maintaining the existing wine production limit of 20,000 gallons annually. The project proposes removal of existing structures, a new Type III cave for barrel aging, fermentation, and production offices, as well as a remodel of the existing tasting room building. The existing driveway will remain and be improved to meet current jurisdictional requirements as well as additional parking areas added.

The project also proposes three full time and two part time staff members. Proposed visitation to the winery includes 30 maximum visitors for winery tours and tastings daily and 210 maximum visitors per week. Two semi-annual wine club events for up to 50 guests will be held approximately every six months. Food service at marketing events will include food and wine pairing dinners. All food service is to be catered and consistent with the definitions of "Tours and Tastings" and "Marketing of Wine" per the Napa County Code.

This study and the associated Use Permit Drawings will demonstrate that wastewater generated from the proposed projects can be treated and dispersed onsite per jurisdictional requirements.

1.1 SITE DESCRIPTION

The 7.44-acre subject parcel is located off Silverado Trail between Calistoga and St. Helena in the unincorporated area of Napa County. The south westerly portion of the subject parcel that borders the Silverado Trail is relatively flat with slopes less than five percent. The parcel then slopes upward away from Silverado Trail and consists primarily of dense woodland cover.

1.1.1 Land Use

The property sits at the border of the Napa Valley region which is predominantly Agricultural Preserve and Watershed (AP and AW) zoned parcels. These parcels consist of existing vineyards, wineries, and residences. The subject parcel is currently developed with an existing residence as well as an older winery and barn structures. An existing vineyard, landscaped areas, and driveway are also located within the flatter portion of the subject parcel. The current land use of the subject parcel is consistent with the proposed improvements summarized above.

1.1.2 Water Use

The site is serviced by two existing onsite wells. The existing wells are located within the Napa Valley Floor-Calistoga groundwater zone.

2.0 WASTEWATER DEMAND

2.1 EXISTING DEMANDS

Existing wastewater records were not available for the subject parcel and have not been quantified as part of this analysis.



2.2 PROPOSED DEMANDS

The proposed project will produce two (2) separate wastewater streams. Domestic wastewater is generated by the residence and employee break room and lavatory use as well as tasting/event visitor lavatory use. All catered meals will be prepared offsite and therefore kitchen wastewater will not be generated onsite. Process wastewater is generated by winemaking activities including but not limited to grape crushing, fermentation, and equipment cleaning/sanitization.

2.2.1 *Domestic Wastewater*

Domestic wastewater from the proposed project will be generated by employees and visitors as well as the existing residence. The existing residence is not occupied year-round; however, wastewater generation rates will be calculated based on the number of potential bedrooms. A bedroom generation rate of 120 gallons per bedroom is utilized to estimated domestic wastewater flows. Th existing residence will be retrofitted with low flow fixtures to reduce wastewater and water usage on the site. The existing residence contains three potential bedrooms. The domestic wastewater flow generated by the residence is estimated below:

3 bedrooms x 120 gallons per bedroom per day = 360 gallons per day (GPD)

Winery domestic wastewater flows are estimated based on the maximum day scenario to capture peak flows. A peak wastewater flow scenario would occur on a day with maximum visitation and an event. The tasting room is closed during days where an event is held.

0 daily visitors	x	3 gallons per visitor per day	= 0 gpd
5 staff members	х	15 gallons per employee per day	= 75 gpd
50 event guests	х	3 gallons per guest per day	= 150 gpd

Total = 225 gpd

The generation rates used in the calculations above are based on current Napa County Regulations¹.

The total domestic wastewater flow is the sum of the residential and winery domestic wastewater flows. Based on the itemized calculations above, the resulting **total domestic wastewater flow is calculated to be 585 gpd.** Refer to the attached wastewater calculations for both event and non-event day wastewater calculations.

2.2.2 Winery Process Wastewater

Winery process wastewater consists of non-domestic wastewater generated by winemaking activities. This primarily results from cleaning and sanitizing equipment, crushing grapes, and fermenting wine. The peak day scenario for winery process wastewater occurs during the harvest winemaking season. This typically spans from the end of August to the end of October. During this period, approximately 30 to 40 percent of annual wastewater flows are generated.

¹ Refer to Table II-1 Commercial Design Flowrates from the Napa County Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems (OWTS) Technical Standards, Final Draft



Winery process wastewater is calculated based on the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) General Waste Discharge Requirements for Winery Process Water. This study assumes a winemaking generation rate of six gallons of water used per gallon of wine produced. This generation rate is within the industry standard for sizing winery wastewater (WW) treatment systems. At a peak production level of 20,000 gallons per year (gpy), the total annual flow is calculated below:

20,000 gallons of wine per year x 6 gallons of WW/wine = 120,000 gpy

Assuming 35% of the total annual flow is produced over the harvest period, the average harvest flow is calculated below:

35% x 120,000 gallons per year

45 days

= 933 gpd

A peaking factor of 1.5 is applied to the calculated flow to provide a conservative estimate of flows during high peak usage. The peak process wastewater design flow is calculated to be 1,400 gpd.

Based on the permit production limit and the annual wastewater flow, the winery falls under Tier 2 requirements. The following table is from the Unofficial Adoption Copy which is the most recently published version of the forthcoming General Waste Discharge Requirements for Winery Process Water.

 Table 1 RWQCB General Order Table 1

Tier	Facility process water flow ⁽¹⁾ (gal/yr)
Exempt	<10,000
Tier 1	10,000 - 30,000
Tier 2	30,001 – 300,000
Tier 3	300,001 – 1,000,000
Tier 4	1,000,001 – 15,000,000

Table 1. Tier Determination

3.0 TREATMENT & DISPERSAL SYSTEM

3.1 EXISTING WASTEWATER SYSTEM

The project site includes an existing septic system that consists of a septic tank and conventional gravity leachfield. The existing septic system is proposed to be removed per Napa County Planning, Building, and Environmental Services (PBES) requirements as part of the proposed improvements.



3.2 DOMESTIC WASTEWATER SYSTEM

The domestic wastewater system for the winery and residence is proposed to include a subsurface drip dispersal system. A site evaluation was performed on November 17, 2021, by Christina Nicholson and Vincent Hart-Tinsley of Sherwood Design Engineers. Test pits were excavated by McCollum General Engineering and Maureen Shields-Bown of Napa County Environmental Health visited the site to inspect soil conditions. Test pits 1-8 showed suitable soil for the installation of a subsurface drip field and required replacement area within the areas observed during the site evaluation. The location of the test pits are shown on the Use Permit Minor Modification Drawings (see sheet C3.0). A copy of the Site Evaluation Report is included in Attachment 2.

Based on findings from the site evaluation, a subsurface drip dispersal field is proposed for the SW system. The drip field will be located near test pit 4 which has Sandy Loam soils and a suitable depth greater than 24 inches. A pretreatment system will be utilized to meet secondary effluent requirements prior to entering the drip field. The pretreatment system will include a septic tank, a recirculation/dosing tank and an Orenco Systems AdvanTex AX20 filter pod. This method of treatment and dispersal provides a small footprint. Design of the subsurface drip field will include landscaping to help with evapotranspiration of wastewater and will include beneficial plants to promote a diversified insect habitat. Below is a list of beneficial plants that could be incorporated into the insectary / subsurface drip field area.

Na	ime	Promotes Species	Туре
Creek Dogwood	Cornus sericea	Bird, Butterfly	Winter Deciduous
California Wildrose Rosa californica		Bird, Butterfly, Bee	Winter Deciduous
Cream Bush	Holodiscus discolor	Butterfly	Winter Deciduous
Dark Star Ceanothus	Ceanothus 'Dark Star'	Bee, Bird	Evergreen

					_
Figuro	1	Disnarsal	Fiold	Dlant	Tunac
iguic	1	Dispersar	I ICIU	riani	IYDES



Import soil fill will be utilized to cover the drip field and provide a cover depth of eight inches per Napa County PBES and Geoflow requirements to minimize leachfield trenching. The application rate for the drip field is based on GeoFlow's Application Rates for Sandy Loam Soil that includes 0.9 gallons per square foot per day (see table below).

Table 2 GeoFlow Application Rate Table

TABLE 1

DRIP LOADING RATES CONSIDERING SOIL STRUCTURE.

Table 1 is taken from the State of Wisconsin code and was prepared by Jerry Tyler. Provided for guidelines and budgeting purposes. Refer to your local regulations and qualified soil scientists to determine best loading rates.

Soil Textures	Soil Structure	Maximum Monthly Average BOD ₅ <30mg/L TSS<30mg/L (gallons/ft ² /day)	Maximum Monthly Average BOD ₅ >30mg/L TSS>30mg/L (gallons/ft ² /day)
Course sand or coarser	N/A	1.6	0.4
Loamy coarse sand	N/A	1.4	0.3
Sand	N/A	1.2	0.3
Loamy sand	Weak to strong	1.2	0.3
Loamy sand	Massive	0.7	0.2
Fine sand	Moderate to strong	0.9	0.3
Fine sand	Massive or weak	0.6	0.2
Loamy fine sand	Moderate to strong	0.9	0.3
Loamy fine sand	Massive or weak	0.6	0.2
Very fine sand	N/A	0.6	0.2
Loamy very fine sand	N/A	0.6	0.2
Sandy loam	Moderate to strong	0.9	0.2

Based on the peak daily flow and soil application rate, the required minimum required area for the drip field is calculated to be 650 sf. A summary of the configuration of the drip field is summarized below.

Table 3 Drip Field Design

Test Pit Location (primary area)	TP #4		
Soil Type	Sandy Loam		
Soil Application Rate	0.9	gal/sf/day	Per GeoFlow
Soil Depth	24 inches Subsurface		Fill added
System Type	Drip		
Field Size	650	sf	
Lateral Length	35	lf	
Lateral Spacing	2	ft	
Number of Laterals	10		
Total Area Provided	700	sf	
Number of Zones	1		

Ladera Vineyards | Wastewater Feasibility Study Revised June 2022



Area per Zone	700	sf	
Test Pit Location (replacement area)	TP #7 & 8		
Soil Type	Sandy Loam		
Soil Application Rate	0.9	gal/sf/day	Per GeoFlow
Soil Depth	24 inches Subsurface		
System Type	Drip		
200% Area	1,400	sf	

Adequate area is available for the primary area as well as the required 200% replacement area as shown on the associated Plans within the vicinity of suitable soil observed during the above referenced site evaluation. The subsurface drip field contains one zone that includes 10 lines each 35 lineal feet (If) long. This provides a total area 700 sf.

SW Replacement Area

The replacement area is proposed near test pits 7 and 8 which also have a soil texture of Sandy Loam. The application rate is the same as the primary field. The 200% required area is determined to be 1,400 sf. Both primary and replacement areas will be located outside all Napa County PBES setback requirements as shown on the attached plans. Notes for minimizing trenching and earth disturbing activities are also shown on sheet C3.0.

3.3 WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER SYSTEM

The winery process wastewater system is proposed to include a pretreatment system followed by surface dispersal on vineyard and landscape irrigation areas and will be designed per RWQCB and PBES requirements. A pressure distribution leachfield is proposed as the 100% replacement area.

The process wastewater treatment system will consist of an equalization tank (sized for three days storage minimum during harvest flows), a packaged treatment system (similar to Lyve Systems or Cloacina), a controls system, and a storage tank. This type of treatment system is the industry standard for winery wastewater treatment.

The storage tank will be sized to account for rainy days when treated wastewater is not permitted for surface application. Treated process wastewater will be used for surface drip irrigation on the 0.75 acres of vineyard and landscaped areas shown on sheet C3.0. Once a final utility plan has been developed and all setbacks have been determined, additional areas for landscape irrigation may be available.

A wastewater and irrigation balance will be performed following approval of the Use Permit Modification to establish the storage tank size and irrigation programming required. Underground treatment tanks are preferred and will be located outside an areas of cultural resource concern as identified by the project archaeologist.



PW Replacement Area

The replacement area is proposed to be PW leachfield located near the existing leachfield to minimize new trenching and near test pit 6 which is consistent with the soil profile described above under the Sanitary Wastewater Design. Below is a summary of the proposed PD leachfield for the PW system:

Table 2 PW PD Leachfield Design

TEST PIT INFORMATION					
Design Test Pits	6 & 7				
Soil Type	Sandy Loam				
Soil Application Rate	0.8	gal/sf/day			
Min Soil Depth	60	in			
System Type	Pressure Distribution				
TRENCH DESIGN					
Fill Over Leachfield	12	in			
Backfill/native Soil Depth (12-18 in)	0	in			
Depth above pipe (2 in)	2	in			
Depth to groundwater (36 in min STE, 24 in max PTE)	36	in			
	18	in			
Trench width	18	in			
Calculated sidewall	3.00	sf/lf			
LEACHFIELD DESIGN					
Field Size	583	lf			
Lateral Length	50	lf			
Trench Spacing	5	ft			
Lateral Spacing	5.8				
Number of Laterals	12				
Total LF provided	600	lf			

A 600 If PD Leachfield located within fill and is proposed for the replacement area.

4.0 CAVE SETBACKS

Per Napa County Code Section 13.28.040, the cave setbacks are achieved on this site and shown on sheet C0.0 for the subject and neighboring parcels. Based on the setbacks from the proposed cave location, only APN 021-030-002 falls within the setback location. Parcel research was conducted to determine the location of the septic system on this parcel via the Napa County Planning, Building and Environmental Services Electronic Document Retrieval website at countyofnapa.org. The septic system for this parcel is located outside the cave setback and is shown on sheet C0.0. The existing septic system for parcel 021-030-002 is shown in attachment 3.



5.0 CONCLUSION

The Use Permit Minor Modification is proposing a moderate increase to the staff and marketing plan. Winery production is not proposed to increase. The proposed wastewater improvements presented in this feasibility study will enhance the method of wastewater that is currently used by the facility which includes a combined conventional leachfield type system without pretreatment. The proposed wastewater improvements are shown on C3.0 of the Use Permit Minor Modification Drawings. The proposed wastewater treatment and dispersal methods will be constructed in areas outside cultural resource concern and above grade to the greatest extent possible.



Attachment 1:

Wastewater Calculations



Residence Domestic Wastewater

No.	Description	Generation Rate (gpd/bedroom)	Total (gpd)	Notes
3 Bedroom	is in the main residence	120	360	Residence will be retrofitted to be low flows
Total Peak Daily	Flow (Residence)		360	

Winery Domestic Wastewater

No		Description	Generation Rate	Total	
			(gpd/person)	(gpd)	
C	Daily Visitors		3	0	
50	Event Guests		3	150	During an event the tasting room will be closed
5	5 Staff Members		15	75	
Total P	eak Daily Flow (Winery)		225	
			Peak	Daily Flow	
Residen	nce Domestic Wastewate	er	360	gpd	
Winery I	Domestic Wastewater		225	gpd	
Total P	eak Daily Flow (all sou	rces)	585	gpd	



Residence Domestic Wastewater

No.	Description	Generation Rate (gpd/bedroom)	Total (gpd)	Notes
3 Bedroom	ns in the main residence	120	360	Residence will be retrofitted to be low flows
Total Peak Daily	Flow (Residence)		360	

Winery Domestic Wastewater

No.	.	Description	Generation Rate	Total	
			(gpd/person)	(gpd)	
30	Daily Visitors		3	90	
0	Event Guests		3	0	Represents a non-event day at the winery
5	Staff Members		15	75	
Total Pe	eak Daily Flow (Winery)			165	
Residen	Residence Domestic Wastewater 360 gpd				
Winery [Domestic Wastewater				
Total Pe	eak Daily Flow (all sour				



Soil Conditions								
TP #4								
Sandy Loam								
0.9	gal/sf/day	Per GeoFlow						
24 inches		Fill added						
Subsurface Drip								
650	sf							
35	lf							
2	ft							
10								
700	sf							
1								
700	sf							
TP #7 & 8								
Sandy Loam								
0.9	gal/sf/day	Per GeoFlow						
24 inches		Fill added						
Subsurface Drip								
1,400								
	Conditions TP #4 Sandy Loam 0.9 24 inches Subsurface Drip 650 35 2 10 700 10 700 1 700 1 700 1 700 24 inches Sandy Loam 0.9 24 inches Subsurface Drip 1,400	TP #4 Sandy Loam 0.9 24 inches Subsurface Drip 650 50 650 10 700 10 700 1 700 10 10 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 9 10 9 10 9 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 13 14 14 14 14 14						

Treatment Tank Sizing

Proposed Septic Tank Volume	1,500	gallons	
Total Septic Tank Volume	1,500	gallons	
Provided HRT	2.6	days	2.5 days minimum per Orenco/County
Recirculation Tank Volume	1,000	gallons	
Provided HRT	1.7	days	1 day minimum per Orenco
Dosing Tank Volume	1,000	gallons	
Provided HRT	1.7	days	1.5 days minimum per Orenco/County

Orenco AdvanTex Pretreatment System Sizing

Application Rate	20	gpd/sf	per Orenco for Commercial Design
Minimum Required Area	29.25	sf	
Number of AX20's Proposed	2		
Area per AX20	20	sf	
Total Area Provided	40	sf	



WINERY WASTEWATER FLOWS							
Description	No.	Notes					
Wine Production	20,000	gallons/year					
Wine Generation Rate	6	gallons of ww/ gallon of wine/year					
Annual Wastewater Production	120,000	gallons ww/year					
Length of Harvest	45	days					
Wastewater Produced During Harvest	35%						
Process Wastewater Flow	933	gallons/day					
Peaking Factor	1.5						
Peak Process Wastewater Flow	1,400	gallons/day					



TEST PIT INFORMATION								
Design Test Pits	6 & 7							
Soil Type	Sandy Loam							
Soil Application Rate	0.8	gal/sf/day						
Min Soil Depth	60	in						
System Type	Pressure Distribution							
TRENCH DESIG	N							
Fill Over Leachfield	12	in						
Backfill/native Soil Depth (12-18 in)	0	in						
Depth above pipe (2 in)	2	in						
Depth to groundwater (36 in min STE, 24 in max PTE)	36	in						
	18	in						
Trench width	18	in						
Calculated sidewall	3.00	sf/lf						
LEACHFIELD DES	IGN							
Field Size	583	lf						
Lateral Length	50	lf						
Trench Spacing	5	ft						
Lateral Spacing	5.8							
Number of Laterals	12							
Total LF provided	600	lf						



Attachment 2:

Vicinity Map, Site Evaluation Report



NOT TO SCALE



Napa County Division of Environmental Health

SITE EVALUATION REPORT

Please attach an 8.5" x 11" plot map showing the locations of all test pits triangulated from permanent landmarks or known property corners. The map must be drawn to scale and include a North arrow, surrounding geographic and topographic features, direction and % slope, distance to drainages, water bodies, potential areas for flooding, unstable landforms, existing or proposed roads, structures, utilities, domestic water supplies, wells, ponds, existing wastewater treatment systems and facilities.

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL INFORMATION

Permit #:

APN: 021-030-043-000

(County Use Only) Reviewed by:

Date:

Property Owner	ERY			New Construction	Addition	Remodel 🔲 Relocation	١
Property Owner Mailing Address 3942 SILVERADO TR	AIL N		r	Residential - # of Bedro	oms: 3	Design Flow : 450	gpd
^{City} CALISTOGA	State CA	^{Zip} 94515	~	Commercial – Type:			
Site Address/Location			1	Sanitary Waste: 315	gpd	Process Waste:	gpd
3942 SILVERADO TR			~	Other:			
CALISTOGA, CA 945	15			Sanitary Waste:	gpd	Process Waste: 1,000	gpd

Evaluation Conducted By:

Company Name	Evaluator's Name	Signature (Civil Engineer, R.E.H.S., Geologist, Soil Scientist)		
Sherwood Design Engineers	Christina Nicholson, PE	Christina Nicholson, PE		
Mailing Address:		Telephone Number		
625 2nd ST STE 202		415-677-7300		
City	State Zip	Date Evaluation Conducted		
Petaluma	CA 94952	11/17/2021		

Primary Area	Expansion Area
Acceptable Soil Depth: ^{67 & 70} in. Test pit #'s: ^{4 & 5}	Acceptable Soil Depth: ^{52 & 48} in. Test pit #'s: ^{1 & 2}
Soil Application Rate (gal. /sq. ft. /day): 0.8	Soil Application Rate (gal. /sq. ft. /day): 0.8
System Type(s) Recommended: PRESSURIZED LEACH FIELD	System Type(s) Recommended: PRESSURIZED LEACH FIELD
Slope: 0-2 %. Distance to nearest water source: 100+ ft.	Slope: ⁰⁻² %. Distance to nearest water source: ¹⁰⁰⁺ ft.
Hydrometer test performed? No Yes 🗹 (attach results)	Hydrometer test performed? No 🔲 Yes 🗹 (attach results)
Bulk Density test performed? No 🗹 Yes 🔲 (attach results)	Bulk Density test performed? No 🗹 Yes 🗖 (attach results)
Percolation test performed? No 🗹 Yes 🔲 (attach results)	Percolation test performed? No Ves (attach results)
Groundwater Monitoring Performed? No 🗹 Yes 🔲 (attach results)	Groundwater Monitoring Performed? No 🗹 Yes 🔲 (attach results)

Site constraints/Recommendations:

A site evaluation was performed on November 17, 2021 by Christina Nicholson and Vincent Hart-Tinsley of Sherwood Design Engineers. Test pits were excavated by McCollum General Engineering using an excavator with a 24" bucket. Maureen Shields-Bown of Napa County Environmental Health visited the site to inspect soil conditions. Test pits 1-8 showed suitable soil for the installation of a Pressurized Leachfield within area tested with required replacement area.



*Hydrometer Test Performed

Horizon	Boundary	%Rock		_		Consistence					
Depth (Inches)			Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling	
0-44*		0-10	SCL	M, AB	SH	FRB	SS	M:VF M:F	C:F M:VF F:C	None	
44-66	D	10-20	SCL	M, G	SH	FRB	SS	M:VF M:F	F:C	None	

Slope = 0-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 66 inches.

No refusal at 66 inches deep.

No Groundwater observed. *See attached Soil Texture Analysis by Bouyoucos Hydrometer Method prepared by RGH Consultants, Inc dated Nov 27, 2021.

Test Pit #	2 *⊦	lydromet	er Test Pe	erformed						
Horizon			_		C	consistenc	е	_		
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling
0-25		0-10	SL	M, AB	SH	FRB	SS	M:VF M:F	C:F M:VF F:C	None
25-65*	D	10-20	SL	M, G	SH	FRB	SS	M:VF M:F	F:C	None
Slope = 0-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 65 inches.										
No refusal No Ground Consultan	No refusal at 65 inches deep. No Groundwater observed. *See attached Soil Texture Analysis by Bouyoucos Hydrometer Method prepared by RGH Consultants, Inc dated Nov 27, 2021.									

Test Pit # 3

					C	onsistenc	е			
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling
0-24		0-10	SCL	M, AB	SH	FRB	SS	M:VF M:F	C:F M:VF F:C	None
24-68	D	10-20	SCL	M, G	SH	FRB	SS	M:VF M:F	C:F M:VF F:C	None
Slope = 0-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 68 inches.										
No refusal at 68 inches deep. No Groundwater observed.										



*Hydrometer Test Performed

Horizon					Consistence					Mottling
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling
0-27		0-10	SL	M, AB	SH	FRB	SS	M:VF M:F	F:M M:F M:VF	None
27-67*	D	10-20	SL	M, G	SH	FRB	SS	M:VF M:F	C:C	None
Slope = 0-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 67 inches.										

No refusal at 67 inches deep.

No Groundwater observed. *See attached Soil Texture Analysis by Bouyoucos Hydrometer Method prepared by RGH Consultants, Inc dated Nov 27, 2021.

Test Pit #	5 *H	lydromet	er Test Pe	rformed						
Horizon		~~ · ·		0	C	onsistenc	е	_	.	Mottling
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	lexture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	
0-28		0-10	SL	M, AB	SH	FRB	SS	M:VF M:F	F:EXC M:F F:C M:VF	None
28-70*	D	10-20	SL	M, G	SH	FRB	SS	M:VF M:F	M:F C:C	None
Slope = 0-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 70 inches.										
No refusal No Ground Consultan	at 70 inches d dwater observe ts, Inc dated N	leep. ∋d. *See a ov 27, 202	ttached Soil	Texture Ana	alysis by B	ouyoucos	Hydromet	er Method	prepared by	y RGH

Test Pit # 6

Haniman	_				C	Consistenc	e	_	_	Mottling	
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots		
0-60		0-15	SL	M, AB	SH	FRB	SS	M:VF M:F	C:F M:VF F:C	None	
Slope = 0-	Slope = 0-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 60 inches.										
No refusal No Ground	at 60 inches d dwater observe	leep. ed.									



*Hydrometer Test Performed

Herizon					Consistence			_			
Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling	
0-62*		0-10	SL	M, AB	SH	FRB	SS	M:VF M:F	C:F M:VF F:C	None	
Slope = 0-	Slope = 0-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 62 inches.										
No refusal at 62 inches deep. No Groundwater observed. *See attached Soil Texture Analysis by Bouyoucos Hydrometer Method prepared by RGH Consultants, Inc dated Nov 27, 2021.											

Test Pit #	8	*F	lydromet	er Test Pe	erformed						
Haniman						C	onsistenc	е	_		Mottling
Depth (Inches)	Bou	Indary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	
0-54			0-10	SL	M, AB	SH	FRB	SS	M:VF M:F	C:F M:VF F:C	None
Slope = 0-	Slope = 0-2 %. Acceptable soil depth observed: 54 inches.										
No refusal No Ground Consultan	No refusal at 54 inches deep. No Groundwater observed. *See attached Soil Texture Analysis by Bouyoucos Hydrometer Method prepared by RGH Consultants, Inc dated Nov 27, 2021.										

Test Pit #

Haniman	orizon Depth nches) Boundary %Rock Texture St				C	onsistenc	е	_	_	
Depth (Inches)			Structure	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores	Roots	Mottling	

ABBREVIATIONS

Boundary	Texture	Structure		Consistence	9	Pores	Roots	Mottling
A=Abrupt	S=Sand	W=Weak	Side	Ped	Wet	Quantity:	Quantity:	Quantity:
<1"	LS=Loamy	M=Moderate	Wall					
C=Clear 1"-	Sand	S=Strong	L=Loose	L=Loose	NS=NonSticky	F =Few	F =Few	F =Few
2.5"	SL=Sandy	G=Granular	S=Soft	VFRB=Very	SS=Slightly Sticky	C=Common	C=Common	C=Common
G=Gradual	Loam	PI =Platy	SH=Slightly	Friable	S =Sticky	M=Many	M=Many	M=Many
2.5"-5"	SCL=Sandy	Pr=Prismatic	Hard	FRB=Friable	VS=Verv	Size:	-	-
D =Difuse	Clay Loam	C=Columnar	H=Hard	F =Firm	Sticky		Size:	Size:
>5"	SC=Sandy	AB=Angular	VH=Very Hard	VF=Very Firm				F=Fine
	Clay	Blocky	ExH=Extremely	ExF=Extremely	NP=NonPlastic	VF=Very	F =Fine	M=Medium
	CL=Clay	SB=Subangular	Hard	Firm	SP =Slightly	Fine	M=Medium	C=Coarse
	Loam	Blocky			Plastic	F =Fine	C=Coarse	
	L=Loam	M=Massive			P =Plastic	M=Medium	VC=Very	Contrast:
	C=Clay	SG=Single			VP=Very Plastic	C=Coarse	Coarse	Ft=Faint
	SiC=Silty	Grain				VC=Very	ExC=Extremely	D=Distinct
	Clay	C=Cemented				Coarse	Coarse	P=Prominent
	SiCL=Silty							
	Clay Loam							
	SiL=Silt							
	Loam							
	Si=Silt							

U.S.D.A. SOIL CLASSIFICATION TRIANGLE



ALTERN	ATIVE SEWAGE TREATMEN	NT SYSTEM SOIL APPLICA	TION RATES		
TEXTURE	STRUC	CTURE	Application Rate (Gal/ft ² /day)		
	Shape	Grade	STE ¹	PTE ^{,1,2}	
Coarse sand, sand, loamy coarse sand	Single grain	Structureless	1.0	1.2	
	Single grain	Structureless	0.6	1.0	
Fine Sand, loamy fine sand			0.35	0.5	
Sandy Loam, Loamy Sand	Massive	Structureless			
	Platy	Weak	0.35	0.5	
	Prismatic,	Weak	0.5	0.75	
	blocky, granular	Moderate, strong	0.8	1.0	
	Massive	Structureless			
Loam, Silt Loam, Sandy Clay	Platy	Weak, mod, strong			
Loam, Fine Sandy Loam	Prismatic,	Weak, Moderate	0.5	0.75	
	blocky, granular	Strong	0.8	1.0	
	Massive	Structureless			
Sandy clay, Silty clay loam, Clay	Platy	Weak, moderate, strong			
Loam	Prismatic, blocky, granular	Weak, Moderate	0.35	0.5	
		Strong	0.6	0.75	
	Massive	Structureless			
Clay, Silty clay	Platy	Weak, mod, strong			
	Prismatic, blocky, granular	Weak			
		Moderate, strong	0.2	0.25	

 See Table 1 in the Design, Construction, and Installation of Alternative Sewage Treatment Systems.
 A higher application rate for pretreated effluent may only be used when pretreatment is not used for one foot of vertical separation credit.

Μινιμ	MINIMUM SURFACE AREA GUIDELINES TO DISPOSE OF 100 GPD OF SECONDARY TREATED									
	EFFLUENT FOR SUBSURFACE DRIP DISPERSAL SYSTEMS									
		Soil Abso	rption Rates	Design	Total					
Soil	Soil Type	Est. Soil Hydraulic		Application Rate	Area Required					
Class		Perc. Rate	Conductivity	(Gal/ft²/day)	Sq. ft. /100					
		minutes/in.	inches/hr.		gallons per day					
	Coarse sand	1-5	>2	1.400	71.5					
	Fine sand	5 - 10	1.5 - 2	1.200	83.3					
	Sandy loam	10 - 20	1.0 - 1.5	1.000	100.0					
	loam	20 - 30	0.75 - 1.0	0.700	143.0					
	Clay loam	30 – 45	0.5 - 0.75	0.600	167.0					
	Silt - clay loam	45 - 60	0.3 - 0.5	0.400	250.0					
IV	Clay non-swell	60 - 90	0.2 - 0.3	0.200	500.0					
IV	Clay - swell	90 - 120	0.1 - 0.2	0.100	1000.0					

For design purpose, the "Soil Type" category to be used in the above table shall be based on the most restrictive soil type encountered within two feet below the bottom of the drip line. Dispersal field area calculation: Total square feet area of dispersal field = Design flow divided by loading rate. 1.

2.

CONVENTIONAL SEW	AGE TREATMENT SY	STEM SOIL APPLICAT	ION RATES
TEXTURE	STRU	CTURE	Application Rate (Gal/ft ² /day)
	Shape	Grade	STE
Coarse Sand, Sand, Loamy Coarse Sand	Single grain	Structureless	
	Massive	Structureless	
Sandy Loam, Loamy Sand	Platy	Weak, mod, strong	
	Prismatic,	Weak	0.33
	blocky, granular	Moderate, strong	0.5
Loam, Silt Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Fine	Massive	Structureless	
Sandy Loam	Platy	Weak, mod, strong	
	Prismatic,	Weak	0.25
	blocky, granular	Moderate, strong	0.33
	Massive	Structureless	
Clay loam	Platy	Weak, mod,	
	Prismatic.	Weak, moderate	0.25
	blocky, granular	Strong	0.33
	Massive	Structureless	0.35
	Platy	Weak moderate	
Sandy Clay, Silty Clay Loam	Thaty	strong	
	Prismatic, blocky,	Weak, moderate	
	granular	Strong	0.25
	Massive	Structureless	
Clay, Silty Clay	Platy	Weak, mod, strong	
	Prismatic, blocky,	Weak	
	granular	Moderate, strong	

= Conventional system prohibited

CONVENTIONAL SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM SOIL APPLICATION RATES BASED ON PERCOLATION RATES							
Percolation Rate (mpi) Application Rate (STE)							
<5 MPI	Prohibited						
5 to 10 MPI	0.5						
10-20 MPI	0.33						
20-60 MPI	0.25						
> 60 MPI	Prohibited						

TABLE 1

DRIP LOADING RATES CONSIDERING SOIL STRUCTURE.

Table 1 is taken from the State of Wisconsin code and was prepared by Jerry Tyler. Provided for guidelines and budgeting purposes. Refer to your local regulations and qualified soil scientists to determine best loading rates.

		Maximum Monthly	Maximum
Soil Textures	Soil Structure	Average BOD5<30mg/L TSS<30mg/L	Monthly Average BOD ₅ >30mg/L TSS>30mg/L
		(gallons/ft²/day)	(gallons/ft²/day)
Course sand or coarser	N/A	1.6	0.4
Loamy coarse sand	N/A	1.4	0.3
Sand	N/A	1.2	0.3
Loamy sand	Weak to strong	1.2	0.3
Loamy sand	Massive	0.7	0.2
Fine sand	Moderate to strong	0.9	0.3
Fine sand	Massive or weak	0.6	0.2
Loamy fine sand	Moderate to strong	0.9	0.3
Loamy fine sand	Massive or weak	0.6	0.2
Very fine sand	N/A	0.6	0.2
Loamy very fine sand	N/A	0.6	0.2
Sandy loam	Moderate to strong	0.9	0.2
Sandy loam	Weak, weak platy	0.6	0.2
Sandy loam	Massive	0.5	0.1
Loam	Moderate to strong	0.8	0.2
Loam	Weak, weak platy	0.6	0.2
Loam	Massive	0.5	0.1
Silt loam	Moderate to strong	0.8	0.2
Silt loam	Weak, weak platy	0.3	0.1
Silt loam	Massive	0.2	0.0
Sandy clay loam	Moderate to strong	0.6	0.2
Sandy clay loam	Weak, weak platy	0.3	0.1
Sandy clay loam	Massive	0.0	0.0
Clay loam	Moderate to strong	0.6	0.2
Clay loam	Weak, weak platy	0.3	0.1
Clay loam	Massive	0.0	0.0
Silty clay loam	Moderate to strong	0.6	0.2
Silty clay loam	Weak, weak platy	0.3	0.1
Silty clay loam	Massive	0.0	0.0
Sandy clay	Moderate to strong	0.3	0.1
Sandy clay	Massive to weak	0.0	0.0
Clay	Moderate to strong	0.3	0.1
Clay	Massive to weak	0.0	0.0
Silty clay	Moderate to strong	0.3	0.1
Silty clay	Massive to weak	0.0	0.0



Santa Rosa Office 1305 North Dutton Ave. 1041 Jefferson St. Santa Rosa, CA 95401 P: 707-544-1072

Napa Office Napa, CA 94559 P: 707-252-8105 F: 707-544-1082 Middletown Office P.O. Box 652 Middletown, CA 95461 P: 707-987-4602 F: 707-987-4603

Bouyoucos Hydrometer

Client:	Sherwood Design Engineers	Sampled:	11/17/2021
Project:	Ladera	Received:	11/17/2021
Project #:	9360.3	Reported:	11/27/2021
Client Project #:	Not Stated		

Sample Number	TP-1	TP-2	TP-4			
Depth	H-1	H-2	H-2			
A. Oven Dry Wt.	50.0	50.0	50.0			
B. Starting Time (hr:min)	12:23	12:21	12:19			
C. Temp. @ 40 sec. (F)	65.1	65.1	65.1			
D. Hydro Reading @ 40 sec.	28.5	26.5	24.0			
E. Composite Correction	-5.2	-5.2	-5.2			
F. True Density @ 40 sec. (D-E)	23.3	21.3	18.8			
G. Temp. @ 2 hrs. (F)	64.0	63.9	64.0			
H. Hydro Reading @ 2 hrs.	16.0	15.5	14.0			
I. Comp. Correction	-5.3	-5.3	-5.3			
J. True Density @ 2 hrs. (H-I)	10.7	10.2	8.7			
K. % Sand=100-((F/A) x 100)	53.4	57.4	62.4			
L. % Clay= ((J/A) x 100)	21.4	20.4	17.4			
M. % Silt= 100-(K+L)	25.2	22.2	20.2			
N. % Retained #10=	22.3	20.3	25.4			
Dry Wt. Before Wash + Tare	338.3	424.4	450.7			
Dry Wt. After Wash + Tare	139.5	173.8	196.3			
Dry Wt. Passing #10	198.8	250.6	254.4			
Tare Weight	82.5	110.0	109.6			
Dry Wt. Before Wash	255.8	314.4	341.1			
% Passing #10	77.7	79.7	74.6			
% #10	22.3	20.3	25.4			



Santa Rosa Office 1305 North Dutton Ave. 1041 Jefferson St. Santa Rosa, CA 95401 P: 707-544-1072

Napa Office Napa, CA 94559 P: 707-252-8105 F: 707-544-1082 Middletown Office P.O. Box 652 Middletown, CA 95461 P: 707-987-4602 F: 707-987-4603

Bouyoucos Hydrometer

Client:	Sherwood Design Engineers	Sampled:	11/17/2021
Project:	Ladera	Received:	11/17/2021
Project #:	9360.3	Reported:	11/27/2021
Client Project #:	Not Stated		

Sample Number	TP-5	TP-7			
Depth	H-2	H-1			
A. Oven Dry Wt.	50.0	50.0			
B. Starting Time (hr:min)	12:17	12:15			
C. Temp. @ 40 sec. (F)	65.1	65.5			
D. Hydro Reading @ 40 sec.	23.5	25.0			
E. Composite Correction	-5.2	-5.1			
F. True Density @ 40 sec. (D-E)	18.3	19.9			
G. Temp. @ 2 hrs. (F)	63.9	63.9			
H. Hydro Reading @ 2 hrs.	14.0	15.0			
I. Comp. Correction	-5.3	-5.3			
J. True Density @ 2 hrs. (H-I)	8.7	9.7			
K. % Sand=100-((F/A) x 100)	63.4	60.2			
L. % Clay= ((J/A) x 100)	17.4	19.4			
M. % Silt= 100-(K+L)	19.2	20.4			
N. % Retained #10=	21.2	23.3			
Dry Wt. Before Wash + Tare	434.3	376.9			
Dry Wt. After Wash + Tare	178.7	153.4			
Dry Wt. Passing #10	255.6	223.5			
Tare Weight	110.0	85.4			
Dry Wt. Before Wash	324.3	291.5			
% Passing #10	78.8	76.7			
% #10	21.2	23.3			



Santa Rosa Office 1305 North Dutton Ave. 1041 Jefferson St. Santa Rosa, CA 95401 P: 707-544-1072 F: 707-544-1082

Napa Office Napa, CA 94559 P: 707-252-8105 F: 707-544-1082

Middletown Office P.O. Box 652 Middletown, CA 95461 P: 707-987-4602 F: 707-987-4603

Bouyoucos Hydrometer

Client:	Sherwood Design Engineers	Sampled:	11/17/2021
Project:	Ladera	Received:	11/17/2021
Project #:	9360.3	Reported:	11/27/2021
Client Project #:	Not Stated		
ZONE 1 = COARSE ZONE 2 = ACCEPTABLE ZONE 3 = MARGINAL ZONE 4 = UNACCEPTAB	LE 9360.3 Not Stated 100 90 90 100 90 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 90 100 10	Reported: Reported:	6°
	SANDY LOAM		00
0° 0°	0 0 0	0 0 0	0
	4		

PERCENT SAND

Legend							
TP-1 @ H-1	TP-2 @ H-2						
TP-4 @ H-2							



Santa Rosa Office 1305 North Dutton Ave. 1041 Jefferson St. Santa Rosa, CA 95401 P: 707-544-1072 F: 707-544-1082

Napa Office Napa, CA 94559 P: 707-252-8105 F: 707-544-1082

Middletown Office P.O. Box 652 Middletown, CA 95461 P: 707-987-4602 F: 707-987-4603

Bouyoucos Hydrometer

Client:	Sherwood Design Engineers	Sampled:	11/17/2021
Project:	Ladera	Received:	11/17/2021
Project #:	9360.3	Reported:	11/27/2021
Client Project #:	Not Stated		
• • • •			
	100		
ZONE $1 = COARSE$			
ZONE $2 = ACCEPTABLE$	~		
ZONE $3 = MARGINAL$	90		
ZONE 4 = UNACCEPTABLE		~	
	80	2	
	70	10	
	$\wedge \wedge 4 \wedge$	\sim	
. 1		No ,	
C.	60	PER	
ENT	CLAY		
50		SILTY SILTY	
CAN		CLAY	
40 CLA		· % `	
A	3	SILTY CLAY	
70	LOAM	LOAM	
SANDY CI	AY LOAN	-A - A - A	
Shirb di			0
20			\rightarrow
$\langle \cdot \rangle / \langle \cdot \rangle$		SILT	
10			
LOAMY	LOAM		
O SAND SAIND	VVV		X 100
00 00	10 60 50	40 30 20	6 0

PERCENT SAND

<u>Legend</u>							
TP-5 @ H-2	TP-7 @ H-1						





County of Napa



Printed On: 11/18/2021





Attachment 3:

Neighboring Parcel Research

State of California Well Completion Report Form DWR 188 Submitted 7/17/2018 WCR2018-005573

Owner's Well Nu	mber Date Work Began	04/13/2018 Date Work Ended 04/23/2018
Local Permit Age	ency Napa County Planning Building and Environmental Servic	es
Secondary Perm	it Agency Permit Numbe	r E18-00145 Permit Date 03/20/2018
Well Owne	r (must remain confidential pursuant to Wate	Planned Use and Activity
Name ALPHA	OMEGA, ROBIN BAGGETT	Activity New Well
Mailing Address	PO BOX 814	Planned Use Water Supply Domestic
City RUTHER	FORD State CA	Zip 94573
	Well Loc	ation
Address 395	0 SILVERADO TR	APN 021-030-002-000
City CALIST	OGA Zip 94515 County Napa	a Township 08 N
Latitude	N Longitude	W Range 06 W
Deg	Min Sec. Deg. Min	Sec. Section 10
Dec Lat 38.5	630970 Dec Long -122 5110846	Baseline Meridian Mount Diablo
Vertical Datum	Horizontal Datum WGS84	Ground Surface Elevation
		Elevation Accuracy
Location Accura		
	Borehole Information	Water Level and Yield of Completed Well
Orientation Ve	ertical Specify	Depth to first water 7 (Feet below surface)
Drilling Method	Direct Rotary Drilling Fluid Bentonite	Depth to Static
		Water Level 12 (Feet) Date Measured 04/23/2018
Total Depth of B	oring 335 Feet	Estimated Yield* 50 (GPM) Test Type Air Lift
Total Depth of C	completed Well 335 Feet	*May not be representative of a well's long term yield
		way not be representative of a weirs long term yield.
	Geologic Log	- Free Form
Depth from Surface Feet to Feet		Description
0 10	Topsoil	
10 40	40% GRAVEL, 30% SAND, 30% CLAY	
40 80	40% LARGE GRAVEL, 40% SAND, 20% CLAY	
80 120	50% GRAVEL, 40% SAND, 10% CLAY	
120 260	80% SMALL & LARGE GRAVEL, 20% SAND	
260 310	50% SHALE, 30% SAND, 20% SMALL GRAVEL	
260 310 310 315	50% SHALE, 30% SAND, 20% SMALL GRAVEL 50% WHITE ROCK, 50% RED ROCK	

							Casing	S		and the state								
Casing #	Depth from Feet to	n Surface o Feet	Casi	ng Type	Material	Casings S	pecificatons	Wall Thickno (inche	ess es)	Outside Diameter (inches)	Screen Type	Slot Size if any (inches)	Description					
1	0	60	Blan	k	PVC	PVC OD: 6.625 21 Thick in.		PVC OD: 6.625 21 Thick in.		PVC OD: 6.625 ir 21 Thickne in.		DR: 0.316		6.625				
1	60	180	Scre	en	PVC	OD: 6.62 21 Thick in.	OD: 6.625 in. SDR: 21 Thickness: 0.316 in.		6	6.625	Milled Slots	0						
1	180	200	Blan	k	PVC	OD: 6.62 21 Thick in.	5 in. SDR: mess: 0.316	0.31	6	6.625								
1	200	300	Scre	en	PVC	OD: 6.62 21 Thick in.	5 in. SDR: mess: 0.316	0.31	6	6.625	Milled Slots	0						
1	300	320	Blan	k	PVC	OD: 6.62 21 Thick in.	5 in. SDR: mess: 0.316	0.31	6	6.625								
1	320	335	Scre	en	PVC	OD: 6.62 21 Thick in.	5 in. SDR: mess: 0.316	0.31	6	6.625	Milled Slots	0						
						An	nular Ma	terial										
Depth from Surface Fill Fill Type Details Feet to Feet				s Filter Pack Size				Description										
0	51	Ceme	ent	Other C	ement				6 SACK CEMENT									
51	335	Filter P	ack	Other G	Fravel Pack				3/8	" Pea Grave								
Other	r Observa	ations:																
	E	Boreho	le S	pecific	ations		fille.			Certific	ation S	Statemen	t parties and					
Dept Su Feet	th from frace to Feet		Bor	ehole Dia	ameter (inches)	I, the undersig	ned, certify	that th	his report is com MC	plete and acc	WILLIAMS IN	of my knowledge and belief	_				
0	51	14					878 EL CENTRO AVENUE NAPA CA 94558											
51	335	11					Address City State Zip					State Zip	-					
						Signed	electron	ic si	gnature re Water Well C	ceived	07/17/201 Date Signe	8 396352 d C-57 License Number	-					
		۵	ttac	nmente			DWR Use Only											
3950 S	ilverado T	rail Well L	ocatio	n Map.pd	f - Location Mar)	CSG #	State	Well	Number	S	ite Code	Local Well Number	Ŧ				
]				
											N		w					
							Lati	itude D)eg/	/Min/Sec		Longitu	de Deg/Min/Sec	_				
							TRS:											
							APN:											



Well Drilling & Pump Service 878 El Centro Ave. Napa Ca, 94558 Office 707-255-6450 Fax 707-255-6489

Lic. #396352

3950 Silverado Trail Calistoga Ap # 021-030-002





PLANS APPROVED

Division of Environmental Health

COUNTY OF NAPA





3950 Silverado Trail Calistoga Ap # 021-030-002

page 2 of 2

