

CLAIM AGAINST THE COUNTY OF NAPA NO.

For Clerk's Use Only

California Government Code Section 910 describes the information which must be contained in a claim against a government entity. Section 911.2 provides that claims arising from a death, or personal injury, or damage to personal property or growing crops must be presented not later than six (6) months after the occurrence on which the claim is based. This form or any other form containing the same information may be used to present such a claim against the County of Napa. The County reserves the right to reject any claim presented to it.

	of Napa. The County re	serves the n	igni io rejec	t any ciaim presented to it.	Page 1 of 2		
	1. Name and address of Claimant	SEE ATTA	CHED FOI	R ADDITIONAL CLAIMANTS	Telephone Numbers		
	First Name MI	Last Name		RECEIVED	Home		
	Thomas	Falcon		TEOLIVED			
	Street Address			JUL 14 2025	Business		
	307 Circle Oaks Drive			NAPA COUNTY			
	City	State	Zip Code	CLERK OF THE BOARD	Cellular		
	Napa	CA	94558	(B 2:21pm			
	e-mail						
		-					
	2. Mailing address to which notice	es from the	County ar	e to be directed			
			Street A	ddress			
	c/o Katharine Falace		-	ter, 1230 Pine Street			
			City		State Zip Code		
			St. Held	ena 	CA 94574		
	3. Incident Information						
	Date of Incident: Time of Inc	ident:	Location	of Incident:			
	2/4/2025 Evening		See Att	achment for Location of Incide	ent		
	Please note that space is limited. If addi	tional space is	needed ple	ase attach the information on a separa	ate sheet of paper.		
	4. Description of incident or acci	dent includ	ing your re	ason for believing the County	is liable for your damages		
	I. Description of incident or accident including your reason for believing the County is liable for your damages Circumstances: During a period of heavy rainfall and storm activity, water and storm runoff originating from						
	County-managed property, roadways, drainage systems, or rights-of-way discharged onto Mr. Falcon's						
	property, causing severe erosion of the hillside, damage to the structural integrity of the residence, and						
	destruction of landscaping and retaining features. The runoff was not adequately diverted or managed by						
	Napa County infrastructure, and the County failed to maintain or design proper drainage facilities to protect						
	downstream properties. Continu	wnstream properties. Continued on Attachment.					
	5. Description of all damages wh	ich you beli	eve that yo	ou have incurred as a result of	the incident		
	The damage includes, but is not l						
(1) Severe erosion of the hillside adjacent to the residence; (2) Undermining of structural foundations and							
	retaining walls; (3) Water intrustion and related damage to the residence; (4) Destruction of landscape features						
	and loss of vegetation; (5) Soil instability and increased risk of future slope failure; (6) Loss of use and value						
	of \$1.8 million custom home; Continued on Attachment						

			Page 2 of 2					
	6. The name or names of any County employees	s causing damages that you are claiming						
	County of Napa	County of Nana						
	County of Hupu							
	 If the amount claimed is \$10,000.00 or less, spanning amount of any prospective injury, damage or how it was calculated. 	pecify the amount of the claim, including, the loss insofar as it may be known at this time, t	estimated cogether with					
	Estimated current property and personal damage	ges exceed \$2,000,000, including structrual los	ses, personal					
	injury, and loss of value, with continuing daily fi	inancial harm. This claim is not a limited civil	case.					
	If the amount is for more than \$10,000, will this clair	m be a "limited civil case?"	☐ yes ☑ no					
	8. If this claim is for indemnity, on what date we	ere you served with the underlying lawsuit?						
	If a lawsuit has already been filed, please enter the		N/A					
-	9. State the names and address of any witnesse	es to this incident - Continued on Attachment.						
	First Name MI Last Name							
	Steven Lederer							
	Street Address							
	Director of Public Works, 1195 Third Street City State Zip	ip Code						
		4559						
	тара							
	10. Law Enforcement Information							
		s 🗸 no	*					
	If yes, Report # (Attach cop	by of report if available)						
	I declare under penalty of perjury that the forgoing is with the provisions of the Government Code.	is true and correct and, to the best of my knowled	lge, I have complied					
/	A/12-01		2/11/-					
	Simple of Claimet (Original Signature Paguire		Date Signed					
'	Signature of Claimant (Original Signature Require	eu)	· ·					

ATTACHMENT TO CLAIM AGAINST THE COUNTY OF NAPA

ATTACHMENT - SECTION 1 - Claimants

Circle Oaks V, LLC (Thomas Falcon, Managing Member)

Michael Carlson 307 Circle Oaks Drive Napa, CA 94558

ATTACHMENT - SECTION 3 - Location of Incident

2/4/2025 Evening- major rain event caused a landslide between Ridgecrest Drive and Circle Oaks Drive, North to South, and 40-50 Ridgecrest, East to West, affecting the Home Owners Association's open space and the area behind 307 Circle Oaks.

ATTACHMENT – SECTION 4 – Description of incident or accident including your reason for believing the County is liable for your damages

Continued:

Basis for Liability

Napa County is liable due to its gross negligence, from illegally draining their storm water onto private property without permission, omissions, and deliberate failure to maintain and reroute stormwater discharge infrastructure, despite repeated warnings and clear notice of ongoing damage. County staff increased flow during active storm conditions by clearing the drain inlet without diversion, exacerbating slope saturation and worsening the catastrophic slide on February 4, 2025. The County's denial of responsibility is contradicted by its own actions, including continued maintenance of the storm drain system for decades and its failure to comply with California drainage laws and federal NPDES stormwater discharge requirements. This constitutes both a dangerous condition of public property (Gov. Code §835) and actionable inverse condemnation.

The County refused to take immediate mitigation efforts, despite multiple urgent warnings, and instead directed County staff to clear the storm drain inlet thereby increasing water discharge while it was raining. The County further failed to comply with its stormwater management responsibilities, including proper design and maintenance of drainage systems. Napa County is obligated to manage runoff to prevent pollution and flooding under NPDES permit guidelines and failed to do so.

Instead, the County knowingly increased flow through the system during active storms by directing staff to clear clogged drains while it was raining, causing surging flow and slope saturation. The County's refusal to act violates Government Code §835 (dangerous condition), §815.6 (mandatory duty), and the Clean Water Act. Discovery is ongoing and Claimant reserves the right to supplement this list.

ATTACHMENT – SECTION 5 – Description of all damages which you believe that you have incurred as a result of the incident.

Continued:

- 7) \$200K-\$300K in prior damages from earlier (October 2021) event caused by same system.
- 8) Monthly \$6,000+ carrying costs and inability to sell or occupy the home.
- 9) Personal injury (left knee) from unmarked road hazard caused by negligent patching.

Damages include the loss of use of a \$1.8 million custom home rendered unsalable by County-caused landslide; between \$200,000–\$300,000 in earlier damages from a similar October 2021 flood event tied to the same storm system; more than \$6,000 in monthly financial losses; personal injury to the claimant's knee caused by a dangerous, unmarked crevasse in the road negligently covered with unsecured plastic sheeting.

The County is currently requiring the claimant to submit a new grading application simply to repair slope instability caused by the County's own negligence—despite the repair being entirely within the claimant's property boundary. This includes forcing the claimant to submit engineering plans, pay permit and inspection fees, and provide certified reports confirming the slope has been stabilized in accordance with a licensed engineer's recommendations. Meanwhile, the County has not subjected its own repairs to Ridgecrest Drive, the right-of-way, or the new retaining wall and drainage improvements to the same level of scrutiny or oversight.

ATTACHMENT - SECTION 9 - Witnesses to the incident

Continued:

Patrick Ryan, Assistant Director Planning, Building & Environmental Services 1195 Third Street Napa, CA 94559

HOA Board Members who observed drainage overflow and site deterioration.

Pridmore Construction – has bid reroute solution, slide mitigation on our subject property and observed conditions.

KC Engineering Geologist has also observed the slide and is the original geologist of record so understands the soil profiles and has recommended a repair for slide that is on our property.

Neighbors at 40 and 50 Ridgecrest Drive – also affected by water discharge.

Discovery is ongoing and Claimant reserves the right to supplement this list.

















