



User Fee Study Draft Report of Findings

Napa County, California

SEPTEMBER 25, 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 |
|--|----|
| Introduction | 1 |
| Study Scope and Objectives | 1 |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS | 2 |
| User Fee Financial Overview | 2 |
| Methodology | |
| Hourly Rates | |
| Time Spent | |
| Fee Calculations and Revenue Projections | 5 |
| Legal, Economic & Policy Considerations | 5 |
| ANALYSIS HIGHLIGHTS | 6 |
| Planning, Building, Environmental Health Services (PBES) | 6 |
| PBES - Planning and Engineering | |
| PBES - Environmental Health | |
| PBES - Local Enforcement Agency (LEA) | |
| General Plan Surcharge | 10 |
| Fire | 12 |
| Public Works (Roads, Flood and Administration) | 13 |
| Public Works (Animal Shelter) | 14 |
| Agriculture Commission and Weights and Measures | 15 |
| Appeals | 16 |
| RECOMMENDATIONS | 18 |
| APPENDIX A - COMPARISON SURVEY | 19 |
| APPENDIX B - USER FEE RESULTS | 24 |
| PBES: Planning & Engineering | 25 |
| PBES: Environmental Health | 30 |



| PBES – LEA | 30 |
|---|----|
| | |
| Fire | 37 |
| | |
| Public Works | 4 |
| | |
| Animal Shelter | 4 |
| | |
| Agriculture Commission and Weights & Measures | 4 |



Executive Summary

Introduction

MGT Consulting Group (MGT) is pleased to present Napa County (County) with this summary of findings for the recently completed user fee study for the following departments:

- Planning, Building, Environmental Services (PBES)
- Local Environmental Agency (LEA)
- Public Works
- Animal Shelter
- County Fire Marshal
- Agriculture Commission/Weights & Measures
- Clerk of the Board (two fees)

The original scope of this fee study had included the County Counsel's Office, but all the fees charged by County Counsel are based on an hourly rate calculation which the department had already established. Therefore, MGT did not analyze any fees for this department.

Fees in these departments were last studied comprehensively in 2019. At the conclusion of the 2019 study, the Board of Supervisors (the Board) maintained the goal adopted in 2003 to obtain full cost recovery for the Building Division and other building permit-related functions of the Fire Marshal, Public Works, County Counsel and Environmental Health, and an 80% cost recovery for all other Planning and Public Works related applications and activities.

This report is the culmination of an extensive study conducted by MGT in collaboration with the County's management and staff. MGT would like to take this opportunity to gratefully acknowledge all staff who participated in this project for their efforts and coordination. Their responsiveness and continued interest in the outcome of this study contributed greatly to its success.

Study Scope and Objectives

The study included a review of the following departments related fee for service activities:

- PBES Building (see note below)
- PBES Planning and Engineering
- PBES Environmental Health
- PBES Local Enforcement Agency (LEA)
- Fire (Prevention and development related only)
- Public Works (selected fees from Flood, Roads, Animal Shelter, and Public Works Administration)
- Agriculture Commission/Weights & Measures
- Clerk of the Board



General Plan Surcharge

(NOTE: The findings for the Building Division of PBES are not included in this report and will be presented on a later date.)

The goal for this study was to present a well-documented and defensible cost of service plan that would identify rates that would be used to recover billable costs for services and to develop user fees that comply with Proposition 26, Proposition 218, and other applicable statutory requirements and County goals.

The study was performed under the general direction of the County Executive Office. The primary goals of the study were to:

- Define what it costs the County to provide the various fee-related services.
- Determine whether there are any services where a fee should be collected.
- Identify service areas where the County might adjust fees based on the full cost of services and other economic or policy considerations.
- Develop revenue projections based on recommended increases (or decreases) to fees.

The information summarized in this report addresses each of these issues and provides the County with the tools necessary to make informed decisions about any proposed fee adjustments and the resulting impact on County revenues.

Summary of Findings

User Fee Financial Overview

The study's primary objective was to provide the County's decision-makers with the basic data needed to make informed pricing decisions. This report details the full cost of each service for which a fee is charged and presents proposed fees at 100% cost recovery levels. The fee analysis adheres to Proposition 26, based on the "estimated reasonable cost of providing a service".

At 100% cost recovery, the fees would cover all labor and indirect costs associated with providing each service. Any reduction in the fee would not eliminate the cost of providing the service but would reduce the price to the consumer. The difference between 100% full cost recovery and any reduction in price would need to be covered through other funding sources such as the General Fund. This cost does not go away due to a price reduction and cannot be shifted to another fee to be covered based on California state law. The County established a goal in 2003 to obtain full cost recovery for the Building Division, and for other building permit-related functions of the Fire Marshal, Public Works, County Counsel and Environmental Health and 80% for all other Planning and Public Works related applications and activities. The two exceptions are: The Agriculture Commissions / Weights and Measures department, whose fees are set by statute and can't be adjusted by the County, and the Animal Shelter whose fees are to be aligned consistent with fees established by other Animal Shelters in the region.



The exhibit below shows the annualized costs and revenues for the County's user fees that were part of this analysis. It is difficult to predict future revenues due to the fluctuation in the volumes and economic conditions. MGT based the annual cost on the individual full cost for each fee analyzed multiplied by the annual volume statistics. The results are shown in Exhibit 1 below:

| | | Curr | Recommended | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----|---------------|---------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| User Fee Categories | (A) Full Cost User Fee Services | (B) Current Re | (B) Current Revenue | | (C) Current S | iubsidy | (D) Cost Recove | (E) Increased Revenue | |
| PBES: Planning & Engineering | \$2,281,696 | \$2,069,354 | 91% | \$ | 212,342 | 9% | \$2,281,690 | 100% | \$212,336 |
| PBES: Environmental Health | \$4,285,832 | \$3,002,186 | 70% | \$ | 1,283,646 | 30% | \$4,285,832 | 100% | \$1,283,646 |
| PBEA: LEA | \$34,219 | \$19,846 | 58% | \$ | 14,373 | 42% | \$34,219 | 100% | \$14,373 |
| Fire | \$557,979 | \$237,325 | 43% | \$ | 320,654 | 57% | \$557,837 | 100% | \$320,512 |
| Public Works | \$842,639 | \$620,678 | 74% | \$ | 221,961 | 26% | \$840,257 | 100% | \$219,579 |
| Animal Shelter (market rate) | \$584,916 | \$243,206 | 42% | \$ | 341,710 | 58% | \$262,455 | 45% | \$19,249 |
| Agriculture Commission and Weights & Measures (state mandated) | \$799,648 | \$258,922 | 32% | \$ | 540,726 | 68% | \$287,066 | 36% | \$28,144 |
| Totals: | \$ 9,386,928 | \$ 6,451,517 | 69% | \$ | 2,935,411 | 31% | \$ 8,549,356 | 91% | \$ 2,097,839 |

Exhibit 1 User Fee Study Results

The data in each column includes:

- Column A, User Fee Costs This column represents what it is costing each of the
 departments to provide the annual user fee services. In total, this study evaluated
 \$9,386,928 of costs to provide development related services. It is this amount that is
 the focus of this study and represents the total potential for user fee-related revenues
 for the county.
- Column B, Current Revenues This column represents what the county is currently recovering in revenue for these same services based on the average volumes listed above. Based on current fee recovery levels, the county receives fee-related cost recovery in the amount equal to \$6,451,517 and is experiencing an overall 69% cost recovery level. The details of individual fees may be found in Appendix B of this report.
- Column C, Current Subsidy This column shows the difference between what it is costing the county to provide services versus what is being recovered in revenue for these same services. Current fee levels recover 69% of full cost, leaving 31% or \$2,935,411 as unrecovered cost. This difference is being subsidized by other funding sources such as the general fund. This subsidy represents an opportunity for an updated and more focused cost recovery effort by the county for fee-related services.
- Column E, Recommended Recovery Adjusting fees to the proposed cost recovery policy levels would increase the specified fee revenue to \$8,549,356. This would bring the overall cost recovery level up to 91%.
- Column F, Increased Revenue \$2,097,839 in potential new revenue could be generated. This would represent an increase of 33% over the revenue currently being collected for these activities by the County on an annualized basis.



Methodology

A cost-of-service (user fee) study is comprised of two basic elements:

- Hourly rates of staff providing the service.
- Time spent providing the service.

The product of the hourly rate calculation multiplied by the time spent yields the cost of providing the service.

Hourly Rates

The hourly rate methodology used in this study builds indirect costs into County staff hourly salary and benefit rates to arrive at fully burdened hourly rates. Fully burdened hourly rates are a mechanism used to calculate the total cost of providing services. Total cost is generally recognized as the sum of the direct cost together with a proportionate share of allowable indirect costs. The proper identification of all costs (including labor, operating expense, department administration and countywide support) as "direct" or "indirect" is crucial to the determination of the total cost of providing services.

Direct costs are typically defined as those that can be identified specifically to a particular function or activity, including the labor of persons working directly on the specific service for which the fee is charged, and possibly materials or supplies those people use for the task. Indirect costs are those that support more than one program area and are not easily identifiable to specific activities. Examples of indirect costs are: 1) departmental administrative and support staff, 2) training and education time, 3) public counter and telephone time, 4) some service and supply costs, and 5) countywide overhead costs from outside of the department as identified in the County's cost allocation plan.

MGT's hourly rate calculation methodology includes the following:

Personnel Services Analysis – each staff classification within the department or division is analyzed in the study. The first burden factor is comprised of compensated absences such as vacation/holidays/sick leave days taken in a year's time. Staff classifications are then categorized as either direct (operational) or indirect (administrative or supervisory) labor. In some cases, a classification will have both direct and indirect duties. The total indirect portion of staff cost is incorporated into hourly overhead rates.

Indirect Cost Rate – a ratio of indirect cost to direct labor (salaries plus benefits) is established. There are three elements of indirect cost incorporated, including:

- Indirect Labor includes compensated absences, administrative and supervisory staff costs.
- Other Operating Expenses most services and supplies are included as a second layer of indirect cost and are prorated across all fees and services. There are some service and supply expenses classified as "allowable direct." Some examples of these are professional services expenses, or supplies. These allowable direct expenses would be directly associated with specific fees or programs, as opposed to being allocated across all activities through the indirect overhead.



 External Indirect Allocations – this represents the prorated portion of countywide overhead (from the County's cost allocation plan) which is attributable to the service for which the fee is charged.

Fully Burdened Hourly Rates – incorporates all the elements that comprise the hourly rates used in this cost analysis.

- Each direct or operational staff classification is listed, together with the average annual salary and benefits.
- The hourly salary and benefit rate is calculated by taking the annual salary and benefits
 of an employee and dividing by 2,080 available hours in a year.
- The overhead rate is derived by multiplying the internal and external indirect cost rates against the salary and benefit rates.

The total combines the salary, benefits, and overhead rates. This is the fully burdened rate for each staff classification. MGT prepared indirect overhead rates and corresponding hourly rate calculations using FY 2023 budgeted expenditures. The building and safety division uses one divisional hourly rate which includes all these elements but averages them together.

Time Spent

Once fully burdened hourly rates were developed for county staff, the next step was to identify staff time spent directly on each user fee activities. Each staff person involved in the user fee services identified time spent to complete each task associated with all user fee services.

Fee Calculations and Revenue Projections

Given this information, MGT was able to calculate the cost of providing each service, both on a per-unit and total annual basis (per-unit cost multiplied by annual volume equals total annual cost). As mentioned above, costs were calculated by multiplying per-unit time estimates by the hourly labor rates; additional operating expenses directly associated with certain services were also added in. Finally, if other departments or divisions provided support to certain user fee activities, this time was accounted for and added into the analysis as a crossover support activity. Full costs are then compared to current fees/revenues collected, and subsidies (or over-recoveries) are identified.

User fee summaries by department may be seen in Appendix B of this report.

Legal, Economic & Policy Considerations

Calculating the true cost of providing county services is a critical step in establishing user fees and corresponding cost recovery levels. Although it is a principal factor, other factors must also be given consideration. County decision-makers must also consider the effects that establishing fees for services will have on the individuals purchasing those services, and the community.

The following legal, economic and policy issues help illustrate these considerations.

 Legal restrictions – In California user fees are limited to the "estimated reasonable cost of providing a service" by Government Code section 66014(a) and other supplementary legislation. Proposition 26 was approved by California voters in November of 2010 and clarified which charges are considered user fees and which



are considered taxes. The significance of this distinction is that user fees may be raised by Board action up to the limit of actual cost, whereas taxes may not be increased without a majority vote of the public. None of the fee adjustments recommended by MGT are considered taxes per Proposition 26 guidelines.

- **Economic barriers** It may be a desired policy to establish fees at a level that permits lower income groups to use services that they might not otherwise be able to afford.
- Private benefit If a user fee primarily benefits the fee payer, the fee is typically set at, or close to 100% full cost recovery. Development related fees generally fall into this category; however, exceptions are sometimes made for some services.
- Incentives Fees can be set low to encourage participation in a service, such as obtaining a water heater permit.
- **Disincentives** Penalties can be instituted to discourage undesirable behavior. Examples include fines for construction without a building permit.

Analysis Highlights

Below is a brief discussion of the findings for each department/division's analysis. Please see the user fee summary sheets in Appendix B of this report for the details on each fee calculation and cost analysis.

Fees are charged in a variety of ways including:

- Flat (or fixed) fees the fee is always the same, regardless of size or complexity of the service provided in each instance.
- Per square foot the fee is calculated based on the size of the project under review.
- Hourly (or time-and-materials) County staff track time and materials expense, and fees are calculated to recover actual costs.
- Actual cost this fee is charged to recover consultant costs as billed to the County, or time and materials of staff.
- Percentage of permits the fee is calculated as a percentage of the original permit fee.
- Other increments fees are calculated based on increments such as number of sheets or number of set ups/take downs.

Planning, Building, Environmental Health Services (PBES)

PBES – Planning and Engineering

Planning processes numerous land use applications requiring county approval before the land can be developed or action taken. These services benefit the development community and are therefore eligible for cost recovery. In fiscal year 2023-2024, the Engineering division merged with the Planning division under PBES. The MGT consulting team initially drafted the models for



Planning and Engineering fees separately, then consolidated them into one model for the reasons above. Two separate hourly rates were calculated with Planning's at \$189.68 per hour and Engineering's at \$175.26 per hour.

All administrative staff for PBES reside within the Planning division. Administrative costs were pulled out by identifying administrative personnel in Planning that provide support and oversight to all divisions of PBES. The total administrative cost of \$3,518,470 was distributed across all PBES divisions by a percentage determined by the number of full-time equivalents (FTEs) per division. These costs were redistributed into each division's fee model analysis. See chart below:

| Division | FTE Count | % of Cost | Cos | t Allocation |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----|--------------|
| 17000 Planning, Conservation & Engineering | 38 | 35.85% | \$ | 1,261,338 |
| 17020 Environmental Health | 25 | 23.58% | \$ | 829,828 |
| 17030 Local Enforcement Agency | 3 | 2.83% | \$ | 99,579 |
| 17040 Natural Resources | 5 | 4.72% | \$ | 165,966 |
| 17500 Parks | 5 | 4.72% | \$ | 165,966 |
| 21400 Building Inspection | 30 | 28.30% | \$ | 995,793 |
| Totals | 106 | 100% | ς. | 3 518 470 |

Exhibit 2 PBES Administrative Cost Distribution

The Planning division of PBES generally utilizes flat fees or charges on an hourly basis. The following fees are recommended for deletion from the Planning fee schedule:

- Recording of the Planning Commission meeting on CD
- Noise Study

These services are either no longer being provided, or the costs are recovered as part of other fee services. Name alterations and other small changes were made to clean up the structure of the fee schedule and to better describe the service provided.

The Planning department requested the following new fees be added to the schedule:

- Winery Administrative Permit (hourly rate)
- Pre-Application Meeting: Office (includes a one-hour meeting and one-hour prep and follow-up)
- Pre-Application Meeting: Site Visit (minimum five hours)
- Use & Status Determination, Condition Complete Analysis & Research, Consultation, Meetings & Other Activities Not Covered in this schedule
- Public Hearing Re-Notice
- Additional Temporary Event Category Fees

The full cost was calculated for the new fees, if possible, but they were not included in the annual revenue projection.



The analysis performed for Engineering fees is quite like Planning's; MGT analyzed all flat fees as well and calculated a new hourly billing rate for actual cost projects. Engineering recommends one fee for deletion: Street Standards, copy. Engineering is proposing one new fee to be added to the fee schedule: Environmental Health Well Permit (2 hours).

Department management, in conjunction with the County Executive Office, is recommending that all fees be set to recover 100% of cost. The fees are currently recovering 91% of the full cost, therefore increasing to 100% would provide approximately \$212,339 in additional revenue. There are several fees that are currently recovering more than 100% of cost and therefore the recommendation is to decrease these fees down to 100% cost recovery.

The County and its labor union agreed on salary increases for the next three years. The departments voiced their interest in reviewing the impact of the additional salaries on the fee schedule and how that would affect the hourly rate. MGT staff multiplied the projected salary increases by the current hourly rates to get an annual projection for the next three years. See chart below:

| | FY 23-24 | FY 24-25 4% | FY 25-26 3.5% | FY 26-27 3.5% |
|--|----------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Planning Hourly Rates with Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) | \$189.68 | \$194.24 | \$198.23 | \$202.22 |
| Engineering Hourly Rates with Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) | \$175.26 | \$179.78 | \$183.73 | \$187.68 |

Exhibit 3 Planning and Engineering Hourly Rates with COLA

PBES - Environmental Health

The cost analysis for Environmental Health (EH) included 170 fees within three program areas: 1) Consumer Protection, 2) Well and Onsite Wastewater Treatment, and 3) CUPA/Pollution Prevention. In addition to these fees, fees currently contained within a fourth program area, Solid Waste, are recommended to move to the Local Enforcement Agency's (LEA) fee schedule.

CONSUMER PROTECTION

Within Consumer Protection, three new fees are proposed:

- Mobile Facilities Medium Risk
- Harding Auction-Swap Meet Contract
- Mobile Food Plan Review

In addition, an alteration was made to the Temporary Event Category, specifying a Certified Farmers Market Organized event.

WELL AND ONSITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT

The Well and Onsite Wastewater Treatment program is responsible for regulating wastewater treatment and disposal systems in the unincorporated area of Napa County and parcels not served by public sewer located within city limits. The subdivision reviews and issues permits for water well construction, soil borings, monitoring wells and geothermal wells. It is also responsible



for permitting and inspecting alternative sewage treatment systems, liquid wastewater haulers, winery wastewater ponds and holding tanks. Well and Onsite Wastewater Treatment staff review use permit applications, building permit plans and applications, and lot line adjustments for compliance with requirements found in Napa County Code.

The following new fees are proposed for this program area:

- Well Permit Plan Revision fee
- Plan Check Review Easement/Agreement fee (Well Development)
- Plan Check Review Easement/Agreement fee (Sewage Disposal)
- Plan Check and Permit Alteration or addition
- Reinspection Follow-up
- Groundwater Monitoring Tests or Site Evaluations
- Plan Check and Permit Alteration or addition
- Winery Wastewater Systems
- Alternative Subsurface Residential with Service Provider, EH triennial inspection

CUPA/POLLUTION PREVENTION

Within the CUPA/Pollution Prevention Program the following new fees are proposed:

- CalARP Extremely Hazardous Materials fees (four fees)
- Contaminated Site Assessment and Remediation fee
- Underground Storage Tanks Plan Check
- Underground Storage Tanks Consultation/Reinspection
- Above-Ground SPCC Plan Check
- APSA Conditionally Exempt
- Above-Ground Petroleum Storage Act Non-Qualified
- Recyclable Household Hazardous Waste Collection
- Hazardous Waste Generators annual permits
- RCRA acutely hazardous waste

Multiple fees were removed and are indicated in the footnotes of the model. The department also wants to add a green building fee that would possibly be applicable in several categories of the fee schedule. This discount will be provided for environmentally friendly compliance and development related activities specified by the department.

Currently the Environmental Health Division has annual revenue of \$3,002,186 and is subsidizing \$1,299,929 of potential revenue which is 30% of the total. The Environmental Health fully burdened hourly rate calculated for the study was \$153.62. The department is recommending 100% cost recovery, which would bring the fees up to full cost, and generate another \$1,299,929 in annual revenue.



The County and its labor union agreed on salary increases for the next three years. The departments voiced their interest in reviewing the impact of the additional salaries on the fee schedule and how that would affect the hourly rate. MGT staff multiplied the projected salary increases by the current hourly rates to get an annual projection for the next three years. See chart below:

| | FY23-24 | FY24-25 4% | FY25-26 3.5% | FY26-27 3.5% |
|------------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | \$153.62 | \$159.76 | \$165.36 | \$171.14 |
| HOURLY RATES WITH COLA | | | | |

Exhibit 4 Environmental Health Hourly Rates with COLA

PBES - Local Enforcement Agency (LEA)

The Local Enforcement Agency (LEA) is primarily responsible for oversight of the operations and/or closure of solid waste facilities within the County's borders. This includes the performance of various annual inspections and permit issuance. In addition to fees that are charged using flatfee or hourly billing methodologies, there are several fees that are calculated for each permittee, using a base fee plus a fee per ton of material or waste handled.

Eight fees are recommended to remain unchanged, due to significant variability of tonnage received; unchanged fees will maintain adequate recovery levels. The only recommended changes to the structure are to add two fees to the solid waste section of the schedule. *Edible food recovery inspection* and *Edible Food Recovery – Additional Services*. These WILL BE flat fees.

LEA's user fee revenues are recovering 58% of costs overall. Implementing the department's recommended 100% cost recovery policy (which will remain unchanged) will increase this recovery level by \$14,373 or 42%.

The County and its labor union agreed on salary increases for the next three years. The departments voiced their interest in reviewing the impact of the additional salaries on the fee schedule and how that would affect the hourly rate. MGT staff multiplied the projected salary increases by the current hourly rates to get an annual projection for the next three years. See chart below:

| | FY23-24 | FY24-25 4% | FY25-26 3.5% | FY26-27 3.5% |
|----------------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| LEA HOURLY RATES WITH COLA | \$160.59 | \$167.01 | \$172.86 | \$178.91 |

Exhibit 5 LEA Hourly Rates with COLA

General Plan Surcharge

Over the past decade, the General Plan (GP) Surcharge has not recovered sufficient revenue to support the costs it is intended to cover. Advance Planning costs have increased exponentially, and the surcharge revenue budget is needed to support the GP and housing updates. The department recommends increasing the surcharge.



The General Plan Surcharge was included in the comparison survey, as the County wished to investigate what their peers are currently doing with the surcharge. The chart below shows the comparisons:

| Contra Costa County | Sonoma County | City of Napa | Marin County (GPMF) | Yolo County |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|--|
| 40% of building permit fees | Planning Administration Fee, equivalent to GP Surcharge, is 15% of building permit fee | 3.3% of permitting fees | 10% of permitting application and issuance fees and select planning fees | 5% for valuation under \$50k and 10% for valuation over \$50K |

Exhibit 6 General Plan Surcharge Peer Comparison

Within the County, the fee is paid at the building permit issuance. The fee has recovered a portion of the Planning and Building divisions' cost of service associated with Advance Planning, preparing, and updating the General Plan, development code updates, housing element and specific plan updates.

| | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Building Revenue | \$4,709,470.00 | \$4,181,839.00 | \$3,946,440.00 | \$3,916,360.00 | \$4,508,201.00 | \$4,187,501.00 |
| GPS @ 3.3% | \$155,412.51 | \$138,000.69 | \$130,232.52 | \$129,239.88 | \$148,770.63 | \$138,187.53 |
| GPS @ 7.5% | \$353,210.25 | \$313,637.93 | \$295,983.00 | \$293,727.00 | \$338,115.08 | \$314,062.58 |
| | | | | | | |
| Building and Planning Revenue | \$6,179,751.00 | \$5,880,877.00 | \$5,830,243.00 | \$5,948,006.00 | \$6,314,582.00 | \$5,771,941.00 |
| GPS @ 3.3% | \$203,931.78 | \$194,068.94 | \$192,398.02 | \$196,284.20 | \$208,381.21 | \$190,474.05 |
| GPS @ 7.5% | \$463,481.33 | \$441,065.78 | \$437,268.23 | \$446,100.45 | \$473,593.65 | \$432,895.58 |

Exhibit 7 General Plan Surcharge Revenue

The chart above indicates the County's Building and combined Building and Planning revenue for the last six years. The General Surcharge Plan fee of 3.3% is shown as an example of what the revenue generated would be using the Building revenue, and then the combined Planning and Building revenue is listed below. The 7.5% surcharge proposed would slightly more than double the revenue. If the general plan upgrade will be costly, consider the building permits, building plan review fees, and all planning fees to build up the fund balance for a year or two.

MGT recommends using both Building and Planning revenue to provide the base for the calculation to generate additional revenue. The average revenue of the two departments combined is \$5,987,567.

Using this as the base of the calculation, the department determined a budget for the General Plan Surcharge elements. Those elements are broken down to an annual cost by dividing the total budgeted cost by the number of the elements' useful years. MGT recommends implementing a new surcharge of 7.5%.



| ELEMENTS TO COMPLETE | | | | Total | Useful Life | | Annual /l Related |
|---|-----------|-----------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-------|----------------------|
| | Work Unit | Total Cost/Item | Qty | Cost | (in years) | | Cost |
| General Plan- State Law Req Elements | | \$1,000,000.00 | 1 | \$1,000,000 | 10 | \$ | 100,000 |
| Housing Element | | \$1,000,000.00 | 1 | \$1,000,000 | 8 | \$ | 125,000 |
| Development Code Update-Engineer Services | | \$150,000.00 | 1 | \$ 150,000 | 10 | \$ | 15,000 |
| Consultant Services | | \$2,125,000.00 | 1 | \$2,125,000 | 10 | \$ | 212,500 |
| | | | | \$ - | | | |
| | | | | | | \$ | 452,500 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | GP Surch | | 7.50% |
| | | | | | Base Rev | \$5,9 | 87,566.67 |

Exhibit 8 General Plan Surcharge Cost Components

Fire

The Fire Department operates with a steadfast commitment to safeguarding lives, property, and the environment amidst the unique challenges presented by the region's diverse terrain and climate. For this portion of the study, MGT assessed Fire Permits.

Design change requests from the department introduced a new structure for several of the fees. The department did not eliminate any fees. Thirteen fees are recommended to remain unchanged; unchanged fees will maintain adequate recovery levels. The names of four fees were revised to better reflect the current service provided. These renamed fees are as follows:

- Sprinklers & Fire Alarms Contract Price from \$1 \$5,000 to 'Sprinklers, 1-99 Sprinkler Heads'
- Sprinklers & Fire Alarms Contract Price from \$5,001 \$20,000 to 'Sprinklers, 100-199 Sprinkler Heads'
- Sprinklers & Fire Alarms Contract Price from \$20,000 to 'Sprinklers, 200+ Sprinkler Heads'
- Additional to 'Additional (Field Reinspection)'

The Fire Department proposed the inclusion of fifteen new fees. The department is suggesting new fees to offset the time spent on various activities that neighboring jurisdictions also charge. Fees have been added to ensure comprehensive cost recovery for tasks that were not previously being tracked. The last study, completed in 2019, did not include the Fire Department, which was last reviewed in 2016.

Within the Fire Permits section, the department is proposing the addition of the following: a fee for *Fire Alarms* as a flat fee, a *Resubmittal* fee (after 3rd resubmittal and subsequent), a new *By the Hour* fee, and a *Late* fee that is a penalty of 15% of the original permit or charge.

Within the Fire Safety Review and Inspection section, a slight restructuring took place for tent inspections. Three fees were added to the schedule for tents by square footage as a flat fee; and a Tent Rush Fee was added which will charge an additional 50% of the corresponding tent fee. This extra cost covers overtime and other extra charges incurred by the County when inspections are needed faster than typical timelines. Four additional fees were added to this section, including



annual inspections, driveway inspections, temporary events, and special events: all as an hourly rate fee. Lastly, within the High Piled Combustible Storage and Warehousing, five new fees were added. These include three fees added to the schedule for square footage area of storage; added as flat fees. These changes in the structure for combustible storage are better aligned with typical sizes, structures and corresponding effort to complete inspections. An Annual Business Inspection for High Piled Combustible Storage and Warehousing and Monitoring Fee (for Redlined/Modified Plans) were also added to be charged by the hour.

Currently, the Fire Department's fees are only recovering 43% of the cost to provide services. The recommendation is for fees to be 100% cost recovery, which would bring in an additional \$320,512 of revenue, a 135% increase from current revenue. Contributing to the increase in revenue are updated time estimates, labor costs, and the length of time since the last fee update.

The County has provided salary increases for the next three years, which are reflected below. An estimate of the increases was performed to run an approximate hourly rate for the next three years.

| | FY23-24 | FY24-25 4% | | FY25-26 3.5% | | FY26-27 3.5% |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|
| FIRE MARSHALL | \$ 330.91 | \$ | 344.14 | \$ | 356.19 | \$ 368.65 |
| DEPUTY FIRE MARSHALL | \$ 247.64 | \$ | 257.54 | \$ | 266.56 | \$ 275.89 |
| PLANS EXAMINER | \$ 164.83 | \$ | 171.42 | \$ | 177.42 | \$ 183.63 |
| AVERAGE | \$ 247.79 | \$ | 257.70 | \$ | 266.72 | \$ 276.06 |

Exhibit 9 Fire Hourly Rates with COLA

Public Works (Roads, Flood and Administration)

The Department of Public Works is dedicated to sustaining health, safety, quality of life and protection of natural resources by providing and maintaining public facilities and services in partnership with the community and other public agencies for current and future residents, workers, animals, and visitors of Napa County. Public Works contains many divisions, but for this portion of the study only the Roads, Flood, and Administration divisions are involved with the permits.

An hourly rate was developed for each of the sections: Administrative, Roads, and Flood. The average of the three at \$171.81 then became the hourly rate for the entire fee model. Department requests for design changes brought a new look to the structure of the fees. Two Map Approval fees updated the name to better represent the service provided. Three Map Approval fees are recommended for deletion. The Corner Record additional, Historical Record Research, and Lot Line Adjustment Bundled were either consolidated, no longer utilized, or being taken care of on another schedule. Historical Research will now fall under a public records request. Under Right-of-Way Permits and Approvals, it is recommended to delete Road Abandonment, Abandonment of Irrevocable offer, and the Encroachment Permit. The Public Right of Way Encroachment, and Single Driveways fee is also recommended for removal.

An Administrative Fee (Roads) category was positioned to add four fees to the schedule for Temporary Events by the number of people in attendance. Temporary Event Category 3 for 201 to



400 persons per day, and Category 3 Subsequent for each additional 201-400 persons were established at the hourly rate. Temporary Event Category 4 for 401 or more persons per day, and Category 4 Subsequent for each additional 401 persons were established at the hourly rate.

The Right-of-Way Section added a Temporary Encroachment permit (flat fee). The department has provided language related to R-O-W Encroachment: Utilities so that if a utility provider needs multiple encroachment permits in a single year, they can establish an annual permit account that the department could charge against without requiring the utility provider to come into the Permit Center each time to pay the flat fee amount.

The Public Works department is proposing new fees to recover the costs of time spent reviewing and commenting on various PBES planning, grading, and building permit fees. The Flood District does not currently have specific fees on the fee schedule. Therefore, they wish to add a small section for Flood's involvement in the permitting process. Temporary and permanent encroachment permits for various sized projects and conditions, along with their own fees for the appeal process are recommended to be added to the Public Works Fee Schedule. Most are hourly with several flat fees.

Currently, the Public Works fees are showing cost recovery of 74%. The recommendation is for fees to be 100% cost recovery, which would bring in an additional \$219,579 of revenue.

Public Works (Animal Shelter)

The Animal Shelter division of Public Works was not a part of the study conducted in 2018. The analysis of the data was analogous to the PBES departments; however, one hourly rate was not applied in this model. Animal Shelter positions vary in salary range, and therefore time was calculated per position to provide each an hourly rate.

| 1 | Ord | Position | An | Annual Salary | | Salary & Benefits | | Internal Dept Admin | | xternal upport | Total |
|---|-----|-----------------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------------|----|------------------------|----|-------------------|--------------|
| 1 | 1 | ANIMAL ATTENDANT | \$ | 66,477 | \$ | 52.96 | \$ | 27.52 | \$ | 9.02 | \$ 89.50 |
| 2 | 2 | ANIMAL CARE COMM PROG COORD | \$ | 64,896 | \$ | 51.70 | \$ | 26.87 | \$ | 8.81 | \$ 87.38 |
| 3 | 3 | ANIMAL SHELTER MANAGER | \$ | 106,309 | \$ | 84.69 | \$ | 44.02 | \$ | 14.43 | \$ 143.13 |
| 4 | 4 | ANIMAL SHELTER SUPERVISOR | \$ | 94,328 | \$ | 75.14 | \$ | 39.06 | \$ | 12.80 | \$ 127.00 |
| 5 | 5 | OFFICE ASSISTANT II | \$ | 60,819 | \$ | 48.45 | \$ | 25.18 | \$ | 8.25 | \$ 81.89 |
| 6 | 6 | SENIOR ANIMAL ATTENDANT | \$ | 68,598 | \$ | 54.65 | \$ | 28.40 | \$ | 9.31 | \$ 92.36 |
| 7 | 7 | Reconcile | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |

Exhibit 10 Animal Shelter Hourly Rates

The department considered additional license and penalty fees and decided to add one license fee for an altered dog 6 months of age, and another license fee for an unaltered dog 6 months of age. This change was requested due to the nature of licensing and rabies vaccinations for young dogs. The department also requested a fifth and sixth repeat offense penalty for the pet owners reclaiming pets repeatedly brought to the shelter. The reclaim fee increases proportionally to the number of repeat offenses.



Another recommendation is to delete the following fees from the Animal Shelter fee schedule:

- Afterhours surcharge
- Surcharge for Animal Control Officer transport to shelter
- Fence check fee
- Transfer fee
- Inspection Fee
- Hourly rate for Animal Shelter Officer
- Overtime rate for Animal Shelter Officer
- Fees for hauling large animals to the shelter
- Private vendor- equal to actual costs charged to the county by the hauling vendor

Many of these fees relate to services provided by the Sheriff's Animal Control Services division and not to the services of the Animal Shelter.

The Animal Shelter's mission is to re-unite lost animals with their owners, to find new homes for unhoused animals, and to provide essential care for animals in the care of the Animal Shelter. The Animal Shelter is currently recovering about 42% of their fees through fees charged to direct users of the Shelter. Establishing 100% cost recovery for Animal Shelter services would be counterproductive to meeting these goals listed above. The fee subsidy is a benefit to the animals and the community. If fees were set at full cost, it is likely that positive outcomes such as pet reclamation, licensing, and adoptions would be reduced.

The recommendations provided are at various recovery levels, but instead of basing fees on cost recovery, it is recommended that fees for the Animal Shelter be consistent with the market value established by other Animal Shelters in the region.

Agriculture Commission and Weights and Measures

Agricultural fees fall under these categories:

- 1. <u>Notification</u> fees for Structural Pest Control businesses. These are flat fees set by the State.
- 2. <u>Registration</u> fees are all set by the State except for Farm Labor Contractor and Pest Control Business. See details below.
- 3. Weights & Measures (W&M) Testing fees, which are charged on an hourly basis.
- 4. <u>CA W&M Administration</u> fees, which are charged on a per-device basis. These fees are set by the State of California and may not be adjusted by the County.
- 5. <u>Device Registration</u> fees, which are also charged on a per-device basis. Most of these fees have a state-established cap. Three of these fees do not have a state-established cap and this study recommends setting these fees at 100% of the full cost.

The fees listed below are not set by the State and can be adjusted:

- Farm Labor Contractor: Current Fee \$72; Full Cost \$144; Proposed New Fee \$105.
- Pest Control Business: Current Fee \$72; Full Cost \$109; Proposed New Fee \$105.



- Hourly Rate: Current Fee \$107 per hour; Full Cost \$144 per hour; Proposed New Fee \$144 per hour.
- Device Registration: 1-3 POS Registers: Current Fee \$180; Full Cost \$252; Proposed New Fee \$252
- Device Registration: 4-9 POS Registers: Current Fee \$269; Full Cost \$360; Proposed New Fee \$360
- Device Registration: 10 + POS Registers: Current Fee \$329; Full Cost \$432; Proposed New Fee \$432

The current fees recover 32% (\$258,922) with actual costs at \$799,648, which is a difference of \$540,726 or 68%. As mentioned above, many of the fees have a state established cap and therefore limit the cost recovery for these fees. If the County adopts staff recommendations the cost recovery will increase to \$287,066 (36%) with an increase in revenue of \$28,144.

Appeals

Appeal fees and deposits are universally a costly effort, sometimes requiring more hours to perform than the original permit/application review. MGT analyzed costs for appeals performed by Planning and Engineering and discussed those historical costs ranging from \$59,500 for Planning and \$1,475 for Engineering. Staff provided MGT with an appeal worksheet that is used to track and total the costs of each appeal deposit.

Appeal fees are charged a flat application deposit fee plus the cost for the Clerk of the Board for filing and processing (including transcription costs, advertising/publication fees and legal). Department management and staff recommend maintaining a consistent methodology across all PBES subdivisions, but with two adjustments to this current fee:

- Adjust the base fee/deposit from \$1,000 to \$2,000; this includes the average cost of newspaper publications, the average transcription costs and filing the notice of intent to appeal.
- Add language stating that, "For services rendered as a result of an appeal, the Standard Hourly Rate as identified in each division's fee policy will be charged to the project applicant."

Land use appeals have become especially costly and time-consuming over the last few years. Two full-time senior deputy clerks work to provide these appeals. In 2023, 126 applications were submitted and 165 were submitted in 2022. Transcription costs on average were \$980.37 over the past three years. Updated appeal costs components are shown as follows in the table below:



| APPEAL FEE DEVELOPE | MENT | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----|----------|------------|----|------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | PRIOR | UPDATED | ا | DEPOSIT |
| APPEAL TASK | | | | COSTS | COSTS | | TOTAL |
| NOTICE BY PUBLICATION | ON (COB) | | | | | | |
| Average Cost associate | d with notice | of publication | \$ | 321.00 | \$288.24 | | |
| Preparation of agenda | | | \$ | 184.00 | \$60.00 | | |
| | | | | | | | \$348.24 |
| COST OF RECORD ON | APPEAL (COB |) | | | | | |
| Transcript cost | average | | Act | ual | Actual | \$ | 980.37 |
| Maps and special need | s actual cost | | Act | ual | | | |
| FEES (DEPARTMENT) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of Intent - Appe | al to the Boa | rd of Supervisors | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$1,000.00 | | \$1,000.00 |
| Based on record or de | novo | Applicable Department | | | | | |
| GRAND TOTAL | | | \$ | 1,505.00 | | | \$2,328.61 |
| | | | | | | \$ | |
| Proposed Appeal Fee | Deposit Am | ount | | | | | \$2,000 |

Exhibit 11 Appeals Cost Components

Assessment appeals were also compared as requested by the Clerk. The application numbers continue to increase annually, and the application does not distinguish between the residential and commercial properties. Commercial properties can take a significant amount of time to process compared to residential and should be charged as such. The recommendation is to increase the residential assessment appeal application to \$75 and the commercial assessment appeal to \$150.



Recommendations

MGT recommends that the County build on its investment in this cost-of-service analysis by continuing to analyze its fees and charges. Once the commitment is made to understand the full cost of providing services, it is important to review and update the analysis to keep pace with changes in service delivery, staffing changes, and demand levels.

One of the primary recommendations surrounds the General Plan Surcharge Fee. MGT recommends this fee be deposited into a Special Revenue account. This will hold the revenue and put restrictions on which services/supplies are allowed to be purchased. MGT also supports the County in the increase of the General Plan Surcharge Fee, from a 3.3% increase to a 7.5 - 10% increase and expand its application to all Building and Planning permits. This should supply the additional revenue to offset the costs of updating the County's General Plan and Housing Plan.

Most of our agencies ask us at the conclusion of the study: how often should this type of study be undertaken? Our advice is to undergo this detailed analysis at least every three but not more than five years, with minor adjustments in the non-study years (to keep pace with economic impacts). Some of our clients undergo these studies every other year, some have opted to split the study over the course of three years (i.e., a subset of departments gets studied every year, with each department's fees being analyzed once every three years), and finally we have other clients who have chosen to review fees every fourth or fifth year. Virtually every client has set up minor adjustments (typically using CPI percentages) in the off years, to mitigate any significant increases that may occur when the detailed cost analysis is undertaken in future years. This is particularly helpful once an agency has chosen to adopt a cost recovery policy – whether 100% of the cost or something less – to keep fees at the desired level.

MGT also recommends that our clients consider a phase-in approach to some fees where the increase necessary to reach the proposed recovery level is so high that a one-year implementation is cost prohibitive.



Appendix A - Comparison Survey

| | | | Peer Agencies | |
|--|-------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Napa County | Current Fee | Contra Costa County | Solano County | Sonoma County |
| | T | | | I |
| Swimming Pool: Annual Operation Permit | \$340 | \$244 | \$299 | \$236.00 |
| Swimming Pool: New Construction/Major Remodel - Plan Check & Inspection | \$1,128 | N/A | \$506 | \$944 |
| Swimming Pool: Plan Check Resubmittal Fee | \$127 | \$199 | \$506 | \$236.00 |
| Swimming Pool: Minor Remodel - Plan Check | \$411 | \$1,194 | \$506 | \$472 |
| Swimming Pool: Additional Inspections | \$213 | \$199 | \$166 | \$410 |
| Master Steel Schedule for Swimming Pool | \$174 | \$27.43 | \$808 | N/A |
| Sprinklers & Fire Alarms, Contract Price from \$1 - \$5,000 | \$607 | \$632 | \$299 - \$303 | \$508 |
| Fireworks Display | \$1,485 | \$790 | \$631 | \$297 |
| Tents, Canopies, Membrane Structures (Tent Size ≤ 500) | \$185 | \$116 - \$258 | \$518 | \$189.00 |
| Alternative Subsurface -Nonresidential with Service Provider, biannual inspection | \$284 | Determined Individually | N/A | \$184 |
| Annual Inspection - Food Establishments | \$75 | \$199 | \$367 | \$236 |
| Small Quantity Generators without Onsite Treatment | \$67 | \$45 | N/A | \$25 |
| Practitioner - Annual | \$108 | \$150 | \$120 | \$142 |
| Temporary Event Category 2B: 51 to 200 Persons Per Day | \$500 | \$530 | \$278 - \$727 | \$549 |
| Use Permit | \$177 | \$2,000 | \$2,110 | \$1,191 |
| Encroachment Permit - utilities - Flat Fee (deposit required) | \$76 | \$50 | \$120 | \$1,265 |
| Encroachment Permit | \$451 | \$300 | \$455 | \$256 |



| Napa County | Current Fee | Contra Costa County | Solano County | Sonoma County | Santa Cruz County | Marin County | Yolo County |
|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|--|------------------|---|--|--|
| General Plan Surcharge | 3% | 40% on all building permit fees | None currently* | 15%** | 7%*** | 10%**** | 5% for valuation under \$50k and 10% for valuation over \$50K |
| Assessment Appeal | \$50 | \$40 | \$35 | \$30 | \$50 | \$50 | \$45 |
| Appeal Fee | \$1,000 | \$250 + actual costs | \$150 filing- minimum deposit if appeal goes to Hearing officer- \$1,188.55 is reported actual cost per unit | N/A | \$,1280-\$1,800 for appeal to Planning Commission | 1,408 - Appeal to board of supervisors | \$1,297 Appeal to Planning Commission |

^{*}Solano County - They do not currently have a general plan surcharge but there is a discussion to possibly add it to the fee schedule. Received call back from county regarding being unable to locate this fee in the fee schedule. Spoke with admin, 2 people with Auditor Controller, and Permit Technician.



^{**}Sonoma County - The Planning Administration Fee, which is the equivalent to Napa County's General Plan Surcharge fee, is 15% of Building Permit Fee.

^{***}Santa Cruz County - A General Plan Surcharge fee of 7% (General Plan and Implementing Ordinance Maintenance Fee of 4% and General Plan Update and Planning Fee of 3%) as well as a 6% Technology & Facilities Update and Maintenance Fee is applied to all building permits.

^{****}Marin County - General Plan Maintenance Fee - 10% applied to the Building Permit Application and Issuance fees and select Planning permit fees.

| | | | Curi | rent | | Recomm | enda | ations | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------|-------|---------|------|---------|-------------------|------|-------------------|-----|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------------|
| | | | Per l | Unit | | Per | Unit | | | | | | |
| Ord | Service Name | Curre | ent Fee | Fυ | II Cost | Recovery Level | | @ Policy Level | Son | oma County | ntra Costa County | Sola | ino County |
| 1 Licens | se Fees | | | | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 2 Dogs Al | ltered - 6 Months | \$ | - | \$ | 59 | 25% | \$ | 15 | \$ | 17.00 | \$ 25.00 | \$ | _ |
| 3 Dogs Al | ltered - 1 Year | \$ | 20 | \$ | 59 | 34% | \$ | 20 | \$ | 17.00 | \$ 60.00 | \$ | 20.00 |
| 4 Dogs Al | ltered - 2 Years | \$ | 35 | \$ | 59 | 60% | \$ | 35 | \$ | 17.00 | \$ 60.00 | \$ | 36.00 |
| 5 Dogs Al | ltered - 3 Years | \$ | 50 | \$ | 59 | 85% | \$ | 50 | \$ | 17.00 | \$ 60.00 | \$ | 53.00 |
| 6 Dogs U | naltered - 6 months | \$ | - | \$ | 176 | 26% | \$ | 46 | \$ | 20.00 | \$ 65.00 | \$ | - |
| 7 Dogs U | naltered - 1 Year | \$ | 55 | \$ | 117 | 51% | \$ | 60 | \$ | 20.00 | \$ 180.00 | \$ | 40.00 |
| 8 Dogs U | naltered - 2 Years | \$ | 91 | \$ | 176 | 54% | \$ | 95 | \$ | 20.00 | \$ 180.00 | \$ | 76.00 |
| 9 Dogs U | naltered - 3 Years | \$ | 138 | \$ | 235 | 60% | \$ | 141 | \$ | 20.00 | \$ 180.00 | \$ | 113.00 |
| 10 Fee for | late renewal | \$ | 25 | \$ | 35 | 72% | \$ | 25 | \$ | 9.00 | \$ 20.00 | \$ | 25.00 |
| 11 Replac | cement fee | \$ | 7 | \$ | 35 | 42% | \$ | 15 | \$ | 12.00 | \$ - | \$ | 18.00 |
| 13 Volant | tary One-Year Cat License | \$ | 20 | \$ | 59 | 50% | \$ | 29 | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 14 Adopt | ion Fees | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 Senior | Dog (6+ Years) | \$ | 65 | \$ | 252 | 20% | \$ | 50 | \$ | 117.00 | \$ 85.00 | \$ | 75.00 |
| 16 Adult I | Dog (5+ Months) | \$ | 150 | \$ | 252 | 60% | \$ | 151 | \$ | 159.00 | \$ 135.00 | \$ | 150.00 |
| 17 Puppy | (0-5 Months) | \$ | 195 | \$ | 287 | 68% | \$ | 195 | \$ | 212.00 | \$ 155.00 | \$ | 150.00 |
| 18 Senior | Cat (6+ Years) | \$ | 60 | \$ | 252 | 16% | \$ | 40 | \$ | 69.00 | \$ 55.00 | \$ | 60.00 |
| 19 Adult | Cat (5+ Months) | \$ | 90 | \$ | 252 | 36% | \$ | 91 | \$ | 101.00 | \$ 100.00 | \$ | 120.00 |
| 20 Kitten | (0-5 Months) | \$ | 130 | \$ | 287 | 45% | \$ | 129 | \$ | 138.00 | \$ 120.00 | \$ | 120.00 |
| 21 Feral/I | Barn Cat | \$ | 45 | \$ | 252 | 18% | \$ | 45 | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | 45.00 |
| 22 Senior | pet adoption (people 65+) | \$ | 98 | \$ | 252 | 39% | \$ | 98 | | discounted | \$ 5.00 | 25% c | of adoption fee |
| 23 Rabbit | | \$ | 40 | \$ | 252 | 16% | \$ | 40 | \$ | 53.00 | \$ 25.00 | \$ | 20.00 |
| 24 Small a | animal | \$ | 10 | \$ | 252 | 6% | \$ | 15 | \$ | 16.00 | \$ 10.00 | \$ | 20.00 |
| 25 Small I | Livestock | \$ | 50 | \$ | 252 | 20% | \$ | 50 | Fa | ir Market Value | \$ - | \$ | 192.00 |
| 26 Large l | Livestock | \$ | 100 | \$ | 252 | 40% | \$ | 101 | Fa | ir Market Value | \$ - | \$ | 192.00 |
| 27 Exotic | Animal | \$ | 75 | \$ | 252 | 30% | \$ | 76 | Fa | ir Market Value | \$ - | \$ | - |



| | | Curi | rent | | Recomm | iend | ations | | | | | |
|-----|--|---------------|------|--------|-------------------|------|-------------------|---------------|---|-----------------------|---|--------------|
| | | Per | Unit | | Per | Unit | | | | | | |
| Ord | l Service Name | ırrent Fee | Ful | l Cost | Recovery Level | | @ Policy Level | Sonoma County | c | ontra Costa County | So | lano County |
| | 28 Veterinary Service Fees | | | | | | | | *************************************** | | *************************************** | |
| | 29 Rabies vaccine | \$ 6 | \$ | 52 | 20% | \$ | 10 | N/A | \$ | 6.00 | \$ | 18.00 |
| | 30 Microchip dog (any age) | \$ 20 | \$ | 52 | 38% | \$ | 20 | N/A | \$ | 18.00 | \$ | 24.00 |
| | 31 Microchip cat (any age) | \$ 15 | \$ | 52 | 38% | \$ | 20 | N/A | \$ | 18.00 | \$ | 24.00 |
| | 32 Rabbit Hemoragic Fever Vaccine | \$ 10 | \$ | 52 | 19% | \$ | 10 | N/A | | N/A | | |
| 32 | 2.1 Vaccine Bundle | \$ - | \$ | 27 | 100% | \$ | 27 | N/A | \$ | 19.00 | | |
| | 33 Fees for Reclaiming Impound Animals | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 34 Dog 1st offense | \$ 65 | \$ | 178 | 37% | \$ | 66 | N/A | \$ | 65.00 | \$ | 20.00 |
| | 35 Dog 2nd offense | \$ 95 | \$ | 178 | 54% | \$ | 96 | N/A | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | 35.00 |
| | 36 Dog 3rd offense | \$ 125 | \$ | 178 | 70% | \$ | 125 | N/A | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 50.00 |
| | 37 Dog 4th offense | \$ - | \$ | 208 | 75% | \$ | 156 | N/A | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | 100.00 |
| | 38 Dog 5th offense | \$ - | \$ | 239 | 78% | \$ | 186 | N/A | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| | 39 Dog 6th offense | \$ - | \$ | 269 | 80% | \$ | 215 | N/A | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| | 40 Dog Subsequent offenses | \$ 175 | \$ | 239 | 100% | \$ | 239 | N/A | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| | 41 Dog Daily Board | \$ 25 | \$ | 178 | 17% | \$ | 30 | N/A | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 20.00 |
| | 42 Cat 1st offense | \$ 20 | \$ | 178 | 17% | \$ | 30 | N/A | \$ | 65.00 | \$ | 35.00 |
| | 43 Cat 2nd offense | \$ 45 | \$ | 178 | 31% | \$ | 55 | N/A | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | 50.00 |
| | 44 Cat 3rd offense | \$ 80 | \$ | 178 | 45% | \$ | 80 | N/A | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | 100.00 |
| | 45 Cat 4th offense | \$ - | \$ | 208 | 51% | \$ | 106 | N/A | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - |
| | 46 Cat 5th offense | \$ - | \$ | 239 | 55% | \$ | 131 | N/A | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| | 47 Cat 6th offense | \$ - | \$ | 269 | 58% | \$ | 156 | N/A | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| | 48 Cat Subsequent offenses | \$ 115 | \$ | 239 | 76% | \$ | 181 | N/A | \$ | - | \$ | 20.00 |
| | 49 Cat Daily Board | \$ 20 | \$ | 178 | 14% | \$ | 25 | N/A | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 20.00_ |
| | 50 Small Animal All Offenses | \$ 20 | \$ | 178 | 14% | \$ | 25 | N/A | \$ | 65.00 | \$ | 60.00 |
| | 51 Small Animal Daily Board | \$ 10 | \$ | 178 | 12% | \$ | 21 | N/A | \$ | 21.00 | \$ | 20.00 |
| | 52 Hooved farm animals all offenses | \$ 100 | \$ | 208 | 48% | \$ | 100 | N/A | \$ | 100.00 | | actual costs |
| | 53 Hooved farm animals daily board | \$ 25 | \$ | 208 | 15% | \$ | 31 | N/A | \$ | 29.00 | | actual costs |
| | 54 Afterhours surcharge | \$ 149 | \$ | - | 100% | \$ | - | N/A | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| | 55 Owner Surrender | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 56 Dog with veterinarian records | \$ 50 | \$ | 105 | 77% | \$ | 81 | N/A | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | 93.00 |
| | 57 Dog without veterinarian records | \$ 70 | \$ | 105 | 96% | \$ | 100 | N/A | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | 100.00 |
| | 58 Cat with veterinarian records | \$ 40 | \$ | 105 | 77% | \$ | 81 | N/A | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | 93.00 |
| | 59 Cat without veterinarian records | \$ 60 | \$ | 105 | 96% | \$ | 100 | N/A | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | 100.00 |
| | 60 Small animal with vet records | \$ 28 | \$ | 105 | 77% | \$ | 81 | N/A | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | 93.00 |
| | 61 Small animal without vet records | \$ 40 | \$ | 105 | 96% | \$ | 100 | N/A | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | 100.00 |
| | 62 Livestock | \$ 110 | \$ | 105 | 105% | \$ | 110 | N/A | | \$75 - \$225 | | actual cost |
| | 63 Non-Napa County resident charge | \$ 50 | \$ | 105 | 96% | \$ | 100 | N/A | \$ | - | \$ | |



| | | Curi Per | | | Recomm <i>Per</i> | neno <i>Uni</i> | | | | | p | |
|-----|--|---------------|----|----------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---|--------|-----------------------|-------|---------------|
| Ord | Service Name | orrent Fee | F | اار Cost | Recovery Level | Fe | e @ Policy Level | Sonoma County | С | ontra Costa County | Sol | ano County |
| 64 | Owner Requested Euthanasia & Disposal | | | | | | | *************************************** | 344444 | | | |
| 65 | Dog Euthanasia Service | \$ 60 | \$ | 66 | 99% | \$ | 65 | N/A | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | 93.00 |
| 66 | Dog E Service & Disposal | \$ 100 | \$ | 103 | 97% | \$ | 100 | N/A | | | \$ | 117.00 |
| 67 | Cat Euthanasia Service | \$ 50 | \$ | 51 | 98% | \$ | 50 | N/A | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | 93.00 |
| 68 | Cat E Service & Disposal | \$ 75 | \$ | 81 | 99% | \$ | 80 | N/A | | | \$ | 117.00 |
| 69 | Small Animal Euthanasia Service | \$ 25 | \$ | 51 | 58% | \$ | 30 | N/A | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | 93.00 |
| 70 | Small Animal E Service & Disposal | \$ 65 | \$ | 73 | 89% | \$ | 65 | N/A | | | \$ | 117.00 |
| 74 | Fees For Rental of Small Animal Traps | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 75 | 72-hour small animal trap rental | \$ 6 | \$ | 27 | 0% | \$ | - | N/A | \$ | 21.00 | | n/a |
| 76 | Refundable security deposit | \$ 50 | \$ | 55 | 275% | \$ | 150 | N/A | | \$70 -\$320 | | n/a |
| 77 | Fees for Quarantine of Animals | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | \$175 | plus boarding |
| 78 | Daily quarantine fees (10 day minimum, cost pe | \$ 30 | \$ | 90 | 50% | \$ | 45 | N/A | | \$35 - \$50 | | \$20/day |
| 80 | Administration fees | \$ 60 | \$ | 209 | 29% | \$ | 61 | N/A | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | 47.00 |
| 81 | Kennel Fees | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 83 | Annual operting permit | \$ 190 | \$ | 209 | 100% | \$ | 209 | N/A | | N/A | \$ | 235.00 |
| 84 | Late fee for annual operating permit | \$ 238 | \$ | 284 | 100% | \$ | 284 | N/A | | N/A | \$ | 47.00 |



Appendix B - User Fee Results

The following pages provide the individual fee study results.



PBES: Planning & Engineering

Napa County

39 Winery Status Determination

1700000 - PBES - Planning & Engineering

FY 2023-2024 Per Unit Annual Annual Increased Fee @ Policy Fee Description Full Cost Service Name Level PLANNING FEES Minor Administrative 2 Admin Permit-Directional Sign Flat Fee 308 65% 474 474 \$ Admin Firearm Permit Flat Fee 308 316 97% 632 616 16 100% 316 \$ 632 \$ 16 \$ 4 Admin Permit-Peddler/Solicitor Flat Fee 6 \$ 154 \$ 190 81% \$ 1,138 924 214 100% 190 \$ 1,138 \$ 214 \$ Admin Permit-Home Occupation/Cottage Food Flat Fee 8 Ś 154 \$ 379 41% 3,035 \$ 1,232 \$ 1,803 100% 379 \$ 3,035 \$ 1,803 \$ Other Admin Permit-Fence, Entry Structure, Temp Trailer, Signs, 6 Balloons,Other Flat Fee 11 \$ 924 \$ 990 93% 10,892 \$ 10,164 \$ 728 100% 990 \$ 10,892 \$ 728 \$ 7 Street Naming, Address Assignment 8 Street Address Assignment Flat Fee \$ 231 \$ 248 93% - \$ 100% 248 \$ - \$ - \$ \$ 9 Street Name Or Multiple Address Hourly 177 \$ 190 93% \$ 100% 190 \$ 10 Street Address Major Review Flat Fee 231 Ś 248 93% 100% 248 \$ 1,991 \$ 2,276 \$ 6,828 \$ 855 \$ 12 Admin Permit - Viewshed Flat Fee 3 Ś 2.276 87% 6.828 \$ 5.973 \$ 100% 13 Erosion Control Plan - Track II Replant Flat Fee 1,260 1,517 34 020 \$ 14 Erosion Control Plan - Track I Hourly 945 \$ 177 \$ 190 93% \$ 179,181 \$ 167,203 \$ 11,978 100% 190 179,181 \$ 11,978 \$ 15 Land Division/Mergers: Map Exemption 177 190 93% 100% 190 \$ Hourly Ś 16 Land Division/Mergers: Tentative Map Revision 177 Ś 190 93% 100% 190 Ś Hourly 17 Site Plan-Standard Approval 100% 4.173 16.692 \$ (1,504) \$ Flat Fee 4.549 4.173 109% 16.692 18.196 \$ (1,504)18 Site Plan-Modification Flat Fee 3.111 2.940 106% 100% 2.940 \$ 19 Telecommunication-Site Plan Approval Flat Fee 4 014 4 913 100% 4913 \$ 82% 100% 3.168 \$ 2.823 \$ 20 Telecommunication-Permit Modification Flat Fee 2 603 \$ 3 168 13,015 \$ 2 823 15,838 \$ 82% 15 838 \$ 5 \$ 0% 21 Temporary Event Category 2A: 50 Persons or Fewer Per Day No charge Ś 190 0% 0% 22 Temporary Event Category 2A Subsequent: 50 Persons or Fewer Per Day No charge Ś 190 0% 22.1 Temporary Event Category 2B: 51 to 400 Persons Per Day 4 Ś 437 S 0% 1,748 \$ (1,748)100% (1,748) \$ 0% 937 937 100% 468 937 \$ 937 \$ 23 Temporary Event Category 2B: 51 to 200 Persons Per Day Replacement Fee 2 \$ 468 Ś \$ 437 Ś (1,311)100% (1,311) \$ 23.1 Temporary Event Category 2B Subsequent: 51 to 400 Persons Per Day 3 \$ 0% 1,311 \$ 100% Temporary Event Category 2B Subsequent: 51 to 200 Persons Per Day Replacement Fee 2 \$ 0% \$ Temporary Event Category 3: 201 to 400 Persons Per Day Replacement Hrly Fee 5 \$ 190 0% 874 \$ \$ 874 100% 190 874 Ś 874 \$ 874 \$ Temporary Event Category 3 Subsequent: 201 to 400 Persons Per Day Replacement Hrly Fee 5 \$ 190 0% 874 \$ \$ 874 100% 190 \$ 874 \$ Temporary Event Category 4: 401 Or More Persons Per Day (Flat Fee) Remove & Replace 5 \$ 1,086 0% 5,430 \$ (5,430)100% (5,430) \$ 27.1 Temporary Event Category 4: 401 Or More Persons Per Day (Hourly) Relacement Hrly Fee 29 \$ 190 0% 5,430 \$ 5,430 100% 190 \$ 5,430 \$ 5,430 \$ Temporary Event Category 4 Subsequent: 401 Or More Persons Per Day (Flat Fee) Remove & Replace 4 \$ 1.086 \$ 0% 4.344 \$ (4.344) 100% Ś (4,344) \$ Temporary Event Category 4 Subsequent: 401 Or More Persons Per Day 4,344 \$ 4,344 \$ 4.344 \$ 190 Ś 28.1 (Hourly) Replacement Hrly Fee 190 100% 29 Temporary Event: Non-Profit Wine Auction Related Flat Fee Ś 437 Ś 474 92% Ś 100% 474 Ś - Ś - Ś 30 Temporary Event: Late Application Supplemental Flat Fee 373 400 93% 2,798 2,611 \$ 187 100% 400 \$ 2,798 \$ 187 \$ 31 Temporary Event: Application Entitled to Fee Waiver Flat Fee 37 Ś 149 160 93% 5.908 \$ 5,513 \$ 100% 160 S 5.908 \$ 395 \$ 177 190 93% 100% 190 Ś 32 Festival Permit Application (forthcoming Ordinance) Hourly 33 Very Minor Modification (Non-Residential & Residential Uses) (Flat Fee) 5 Ś 3.107 \$ 15.535 \$ (15.535) 100% (15.535) \$ Remove & Replace 0% 33.1 Very Minor Modification (Non-Residential & Residential Uses) (Hourly) 82 \$ 190 15 535 \$ 15 535 100% 190 \$ 15 535 \$ 15.535 \$ Replacement Hrly Fee 0% 34 Winery Adminstrative Permit Hourly 131 \$ 177 \$ 190 93% 24 753 \$ 23.099 \$ 1,655 100% 190 \$ 24 753 \$ 1.655 S 177 \$ 190 100% 190 35 Temporary Event Hourly 93% 36 Pre-Application Meeting: Office (1 hour mtg, 1 hour prep and follow-up) 32 \$ 23.868 \$ 1.596 \$ Flat Fee 696 \$ 746 93% 23 868 22.272 \$ 1.596 100% 746 \$ 177 Ś 37 prep, travel, meeting and follow-up) Hourly 190 93% 1.480 1.381 \$ 99 100% 190 1.480 S 99 \$ 38 Consultation, Meetings & Other Activities Not Covered in this Fee Schedule 177 Ś 100% 4,552 \$ Hourly 190 93% 4.552 \$ 4.248 \$ 190 Ś 304 Ś

177 \$

190

93%

\$



Hourly

190 Ś

100%

Napa County 1700000 - PBES - Planning & Engineering FY 2023-2024

| 11 2023-2024 | | | | | | | Curre | ent | | | | | | R | ecommenda | tions | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------|-------|------------|----|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | Per Unit | | | | | Annual | | Per | Unit | | | | Annual | |
| rd Service | Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current Fee | Full Cost | Current Recovery | | nnual Cost | | Annual levenue | Annual Subsidy | Recovery Level | Fee | e @ Policy Level | Annual Revenue2 | | icreased Revenue | Recommende Subsidy |
| O Public Hearing: Re-notice | | Flat Fee | - | \$ 125 | \$ 13 | 93% | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 134 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 0.1 Appeals to Board of Supervisors | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.2 Filing Fee Paid by Appellant (in additi | on to fee for Clerk of the Board) | Flat Fee | 4 | \$ 1,000 | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | 4,000 \$ | \$ (4,000) | 100% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | (4,000) | \$ - |
| 0.3 Services Rendered as a Result of the A | ppeal Paid by the Applicant | Hourly | 7.40 | \$ 177 | \$ 19 | 0 93% | \$ | 1,404 | \$ | 1,310 | \$ 94 | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ 1,404 | \$ | 94 | \$ - |
| 1 Zoning Administrator Permits | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 Certificate of Legal Non-Conformity | | Hourly | 2 | \$ 4,814 | \$ 5,15 | 93% | \$ | 10,318 | \$ | 9,628 | \$ 690 | 100% | \$ | 5,159 | \$ 10,318 | \$ | 690 | \$ - |
| 3 Minor Modification (Non-Residential | & Residential Uses) (Flat Fee | Remove & Replace | 1 | \$ 5,128 | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | 5,128 | \$ (5,128) | 100% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | (5,128) | \$ - |
| 3.1 Minor Modification (Non-Residential | & Residential Uses) (Hourly Fee) | Replacement Hrly Fee | 27 | \$ - | \$ 19 | 0 0% | \$ | 5,128 | \$ | - \$ | \$ 5,128 | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ 5,128 | \$ | 5,128 | \$ - |
| 4 Minor Modification (Winery Uses) | | Remove & Replace | 3 | \$ 5,128 | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | 15,384 | \$ (15,384) | 100% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | (15,384) | \$ - |
| 1.1 Minor Modification (Winery Uses) (He | ourly Fee) | Replacement Hrly Fee | 9 | \$ - | \$ 19 | 0 0% | \$ | 1,709 | \$ | - \$ | \$ 1,709 | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ 1,709 | \$ | 1,709 | \$ - |
| 5 Micro Winery | | Hourly | 62 | \$ 177 | \$ 19 | 0 93% | \$ | 11,779 | \$ | 10,992 | \$ 787 | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ 11,779 | \$ | 787 | \$ - |
| 6 Small Winery Exemption (Winery Use | 5) | Hourly | 3 | \$ 177 | \$ 19 | 93% | \$ | 493 | \$ | 460 \$ | \$ 33 | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ 493 | \$ | 33 | \$ - |
| 7 Variance (Flat Fee) | | Remove & Replace | 2 | \$ 4,378 | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | 8,756 | \$ (8,756) | 100% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | (8,756) | \$ - |
| 7.1 Variance (Hourly) | | Replacement Hourly Fee | 46 | \$ - | \$ 19 | 0 0% | \$ | 8,756 | \$ | - \$ | \$ 8,756 | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ 8,756 | \$ | 8,756 | \$ - |
| 8 Viewshed | | Hourly | 148 | \$ 177 | \$ 19 | 0 93% | \$ | 28,006 | \$ | 26,134 | \$ 1,872 | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ 28,006 | \$ | 1,872 | \$ - |
| 9 Road Exception Request within applic | ation types listed above except CLNs | Hourly | - | \$ 177 | \$ 19 | 93% | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 0 Planning Commission/ALUC/BOS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Agricultural Preserve Contract: Rescin | id & Replace | Flat Fee | - | \$ 2,009 | \$ 2,15 | 3 93% | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 2,153 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 2 Agricultural Preserve Contract: All Oth | ner | Flat Fee | - | \$ 1,815 | \$ 1,94 | 5 93% | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 1,945 | \$ - | \$ | | \$ - |
| 3 Airport Land Use Consistency Determi | nation (Flat Fee) | Remove & Replace | 1 | \$ 4,835 | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | 4,835 | \$ (4,835) | 100% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | (4,835) | \$ - |
| 3.1 Airport Land Use Consistency Determi | nation (Hourly Fee) | Replacement Hrly Fee | 10 | \$ - | \$ 19 | 0 0% | \$ | 1,815 | \$ | - \$ | \$ 1,815 | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ 1,815 | \$ | 1,815 | \$ - |
| 4 Development Agreement: Approval/Mo | odification | Hourly | - | \$ 177 | \$ 19 | 0 93% | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 5 General, Specific, or Airport Land Use | Plan | Hourly | 15 | \$ 177 | \$ 19 | 0 93% | \$ | 2,769 | \$ | 2,584 | \$ 185 | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ 2,769 | \$ | 185 | \$ - |
| 6 Use Permit | | Hourly | 501 | \$ 177 | \$ 19 | 0 93% | \$ | 95,123 | \$ | 88,764 | \$ 6,359 | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ 95,123 | \$ | 6,359 | \$ - |
| 7 Major Modification | | Hourly | 589 | \$ 177 | \$ 19 | 0 93% | \$ | 111,691 | \$ | 104,225 | \$ 7,466 | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ 111,691 | \$ | 7,466 | \$ - |
| 8 Land Division: Tentative Map (Parcel | or Subdivision) | Hourly | 59 | \$ 177 | \$ 19 | 0 93% | \$ | 11,153 | \$ | 10,408 | \$ 746 | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ 11,153 | \$ | 746 | \$ - |
| 9 Zoning Map or Text Change | | Hourly | - | \$ 177 | \$ 19 | 0 93% | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 0 Maps and Photos | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Custom Map Production & Printing | | Hourly | - | \$ 177 | \$ 19 | 0 93% | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | | fee | es should be | set at the county | ywide s | tandard | |
| 2 Photos & Maps - 8.5" x 11" - Regular F | Paper | Flat Fee | - | \$ 6.25 | \$ 1 | .6 40% | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| 3 Photos & Maps - 11" x 17" - Regular P | | Flat Fee | - | \$ 10.50 | \$ 1 | .6 66% | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | \$ - | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| 4 Display Maps - 24" x 36" - Regular Pa | • | Flat Fee | - | \$ 62.50 | \$ 6 | 3 99% | \$ | - | \$ | - 5 | \$ - | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| 5 Display Maps - 28" x 40" - Regular Pa | | Flat Fee | - | \$ 81 | \$ 8 | 35 95% | \$ | - | \$ | - 9 | \$ - | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| 6 Display Maps - 34" x 44" - Regular Pa | | Flat Fee | - | \$ 108 | \$ 11 | .1 98% | Ś | - | Ś | - 9 | \$ - | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| 7 Display Maps - 36" x 60" - Regular Pa | | Flat Fee | - | \$ 156 | | | Ś | - | \$ | - 5 | , \$ - | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| 8 Photos & Maps - 8.5" x 11" - Photogra | | Flat Fee | | \$ 7.50 | | .3 59% | Ś | - | Ś | - 5 | | | • | | set at the county | • | | |
| 9 Photos & Maps - 11" x 17" - Photogra | | Flat Fee | | \$ 12.50 | | .6 79% | \$ | | \$ | - 5 | | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| O Display Maps - 24" x 36" - Photograph | | Flat Fee | | \$ 75 | • | 5 79% | \$ | | \$ | - 5 | • | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| 1 Display Maps - 28" x 40" - Photograph | | Flat Fee | | \$ 97 | | 2 68% | Ś | - | Ś | - 9 | | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| 2 Display Maps - 34" x 44" - Photograph | | Flat Fee | | \$ 130 | • | | Ś | | Ś | - 9 | | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| 3 Display Maps - 36" x 60" - Photograph | | Flat Fee | | \$ 187 | | | Ś | | Ś | - 5 | | | - 1 | | set at the county | • | | |
| 4 Display Map - Provided Digitally | | Flat Fee | | \$ 42 | | 4 95% | Ś | | Ś | | • | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| 5 Photocopies - first ten pages | | Flat Fee | 0.60 | | | 9 53% | Ś | | \$ | 3 \$ | | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| 6 Photocopies - each additional page m | ore than 10 | Flat Fee | | \$ 0.10 | | 0 63% | Ś | | Ś | < | | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| 7 Color Photocopies - per page | | Flat Fee | - | \$ 0.50 | | 1 63% | Ś | _ | Ś | _ < | ÷ - | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| 8 Certified Copies - per page | | Flat Fee | _ | \$ 2 | | 2 84% | Ś | _ | Ś | | \$ - | | - 1 | | set at the county | • | | |
| 9 Photocopies - Oversized | | Flat Fee | | | | 9 95% | Ś | | Ś | - 9 | | | - | | set at the county | | | |
| r notocopies - oversized | | rial Fee | - | 9 | ې | J 95% | \$ | - | ş | - \$ | - ر | | Jee | es silvulu de . | secut the count) | ywiae s | tulluulu | |



Napa County

1700000 - PBES - Planning & Engineering FY 2023-2024

| | | | | | | Cı | ırrer | nt | | | | | | R | ecommendati | ons | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------|-------------|------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|---------------|-------|-------------------|----------------|-------|---------------|--------------------|----------------------|------|---------------------|
| | | | | Per | Unit | | | | Ann | ual | | Per | Unit | | | Annual | | |
| Ord Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current Fee | Full | Cost | Current Recovery % | Anı | nual Cost | Annu Rever | | Annual Subsidy | Recovery Level | | Policy vel | Annual Revenuez | Increased Revenue | | ommended Subsidy |
| 80 Subpoena - To Appear | Set by State | - | \$ 150 | \$ | - | 0% | \$ | - : | Ş | - : | ş - | | fee s | et by CCR | Title 8, §382(b) | | | |
| 81 Subpoena - Records | Set by State | - | \$ 15 | \$ | - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | - : | \$ - | | fee s | et by CCRs | set at the countyw | vide standard | | |
| 82 Investigation, Enforcement, Permit Compliance Activities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investigation Compliance Inspection (unless specifically established | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 83 otherwise) | Hourly | - | \$ 177 | | 190 | 93% | \$ | | \$ | | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 190 | | | \$ | - |
| 84 otherwise) | Hourly | | \$ 177 | \$ | 190 | 93% | \$ | - : | \$ | - : | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 85 Permit Compliance Inspection (unless specifically established otherwise) | Hourly | - | \$ 177 | \$ | 190 | 93% | \$ | - | \$ | - : | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 86 Surface Mining and Reclamation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 87 Surface Mining: Annual Security Review/Inspection | Flat Fee | - | \$ 2,790 | \$ | 2,845 | 98% | \$ | | \$ | - : | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 2,845 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 88 Surface Mining: Security Re-Inspection/Compliance Issues | Hourly | 0.85 | \$ 177 | \$ | 190 | 93% | \$ | 161 | \$ | 150 | \$ 11 | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ 161 | \$ 1 | 1 \$ | - |
| 89 Historic Admin Projects | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 90 Habitat Restoration or Revegetation Plan | Hourly | - | \$ 177 | \$ | 190 | 93% | \$ | | \$ | - (| \$ - | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 91 Historic Structure | Hourly | - | \$ 177 | \$ | 190 | 93% | \$ | | \$ | - : | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 92 Initial Monitoring | Hourly | - | \$ 177 | \$ | 190 | 93% | \$ | - : | \$ | - : | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 96 Fees Collected for State Agencies/County Clerk | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 97 Fish & Game CEQA Review Fee | Flat Fee - Variable | • | \$ - | \$ | - | 0% | \$ | - : | \$ | - ! | \$ - | 100% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 98 County Clerk CEQA Recording Fee | Flat Fee - Variable | - | \$ 50 | \$ | - | 0% | \$ | - : | \$ | - : | ş - | 100% | \$ | - | \$ - | ş - | \$ | - |
| 99 Planning Hourly Project Work | Hourly fee | 3,272 | \$ 177 | \$ | 190 | 93% | \$ | 620,688 | \$ 57 | 9,195 | \$ 41,493 | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ 620,688 | \$ 41,49 | 3 \$ | - |
| 99.1 Planning Fees from other Departments - Public Works | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 Road Modification | Hourly | - | \$ 177 | \$ | 190 | 93% | \$ | - : | s | - : | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ - | ş - | \$ | - |
| 102 Lot Line Adjustment | Flat Fee | 27 | | | 948 | 86% | s | 25,607 | | 2,032 | | | s | | | s 3.57 | | |
| 103 Voluntary Parcel Merger (Minor Lot Line Adjust) | Flat Fee | 3 | \$ 665 | s | 695 | 96% | Ś | 2,086 | s | 1,995 | \$ 91 | 100% | s | 695 | \$ 2,086 | s 9 | 1 \$ | _ |
| 104 Final Map - Subdivision | Hourly | | \$ 695 | | 711 | 98% | Ś | , | S | | s - | 100% | \$ | 711 | | s - | s | - |
| 105 Final Map - Parcel | Hourly | | \$ 695 | | 711 | 98% | Ś | - | S | | š - | 100% | S | 711 | | s - | s | _ |
| 106 Final Map - Amendment | Flat Fee | | \$ 695 | | 745 | 93% | Ś | - | S | - 1 | s - | 100% | \$ | 745 | | s - | s | |
| 107 Road Abandonment | Flat Fee | | \$ 667 | | 715 | 93% | Ś | - | S | - | š - | 100% | S | 715 | | s - | s | _ |
| 109 Map Approval Public Works Review (2 hr. min. \$306) | Flat Fee | _ | \$ 552 | | 592 | 93% | s | _ | S | | s - | 100% | s | 592 | | s - | s | - |
| 110 Map Approval - Conditional or Amended | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 552 | | 592 | 93% | S | 592 | S | 552 | \$ 40 | | S | 592 | | |) Š | _ |
| 111 Map Approval - Unconditional | Hourly | | \$ 177 | | 190 | 93% | \$ | | Ş | | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 190 | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 113 specifically established otherwise) | Hourly | - | \$ 177 | \$ | 190 | 93% | \$ | - : | Ş | - : | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ - | ş - | \$ | - |
| 116 Planning Fees from other Departments - Environmental Health | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 119 Groundwater Permit | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 667 | s | 715 | 93% | \$ | 715 | s | 667 | \$ 48 | 100% | \$ | 715 | \$ 715 | S 4 | 3 \$ | - |
| 120 Well Permit | New Flat Fee | - | s - | s | 379 | 0% | Ś | | s | | s - | 100% | s | 379 | | s - | s | |
| 124 Planning Fees from other Departments - Building - Inspections | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 125 Temporary Trailer Placement | Hourly | - | \$ 177 | s | 190 | 93% | s | | \$ | - : | s - | 100% | \$ | 190 | \$ - | s - | \$ | |
| 126 Commercial - New Building (includes 2 inspections) | Hourly | | \$ 177 | | 190 | 93% | S | | S | | s - | 100% | s | 190 | | s - | S | _ |
| 127 Certificate of Occupancy | Hourly | | \$ 177 | | 190 | 93% | s | | S | | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 190 | | s - | Ś | |
| 128 Temporary Certificate of Occupancy | Hourly | | \$ 177 | | 190 | 93% | s | | s | | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 190 | | s - | S | _ |
| 129 Additional Inspections or Re-Inspections | Hourly | | \$ 177 | | 190 | 93% | s | | S | | \$ - | 100% | s | 190 | | s - | Ś | _ |
| 130 Planning Fees from other Departments - Building - Plan Reviews | | | | Ť | | | Ť | | | , . | | 200.0 | ń. | | * | * | _ | |
| 131 Commercial - New | Flat Fee | 32 | \$ 2,162 | S | 2,213 | 98% | s | 70,814 | \$ 6 | 9,184 | \$ 1,630 | 100% | s | 2,213 | \$ 70,814 | \$ 1.63 | 2 5 | |
| 132 Commercial - Alteration | Flat Fee | 28 | | | 1,138 | 95% | S | 31,866 | | 0,268 | | | \$ | 1,138 | | | | _ |
| 133 Commercial - Permit Alteration/Revision | Flat Fee | 21 | | | 316 | 97% | s | 6,639 | | 6,426 | | | Š | 316 | | | 3 \$ | |
| 134 Residential - New | Flat Fee | 91 | | | 1,739 | 99% | | 158,225 | | 6,884 | | | \$ | 1,739 | | | | _ |
| 135 Residential - Alteration | Flat Fee | 38 | | | 1,059 | 100% | S | 40,244 | | 0,166 | | | \$ | | \$ 40,244 | | 3 \$ | |
| 136 Residential - Permit Alteration/Revision | Flat Fee | 19 | | | 174 | 96% | S | 3,304 | | 3,173 | | | \$ | 174 | | | 1 \$ | |
| 137 Same Day (Over the Counter) | Flat Fee | 112 | | | 79 | 97% | S | | | 8,624 | | | \$ | 79 | | | 3 \$ | |
| 138 Quick Permit | Flat Fee | 151 | | | 237 | 93% | S | 35,802 | | 3,371 | | | S | 237 | | | | |
| 153 Quick Permit 152 Planning Fees from other Departments - Engineering - Surface Water Manage | | 151 | y 221 | Ÿ | 237 | 3370 | ٠ | 33,002 | y 3 | 0,011 | y 2,431 | 100% | Ÿ | 237 | y 33,602 | 2,43 | . , | |
| 152 Planning Fees from other Departments - Engineering - Surface Water Manage 153 Grading Permit | Hourly | 165 | S 177 | | 190 | 93% | \$ | 31,331 | | 9,237 | \$ 2,094 | 100% | s | 190 | \$ 31,331 | \$ 2,09 | | |



Napa County 1700000 - PBES - Planning & Engineering FY 2023-2024

| | | | | | | С | urrent | | | | | | Re | commendati | ons | |
|-----------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | Per Unit | | | | Annual | | Per | Unit | | | Annual | |
| Ord | Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current Fee | Full Cost | Current Recovery % | Annu | ual Cost | Annual Revenue | Annual Subsidy | Recovery Leve | Fee @ Pol Level | | Annual Revenue2 | Increased Revenue | Recommended Subsidy |
| 164 ENGINEERING | G FEES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 165 Surface Water | r Management | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 166 Floodplain Pern | nit - Major Construction | Flat Fee | 7 \$ | 676 | 789 | 86% | \$ | 5,521 | \$ 4,732 | \$ 78 | 100% | \$ | 89 \$ | 5,521 | \$ 789 | \$ - |
| 167 Floodplain Pern | nit - Minor Construction | Flat Fee | 49 \$ | 246 | \$ 380 | 65% | \$ | 18,607 | \$ 12,054 | \$ 6,5 | 3 100% | \$ 3 | 80 \$ | 18,607 | \$ 6,553 | \$ - |
| 168 Floodplain Pern | nit - Grading | Hourly | - \$ | 146 | \$ 175 | 83% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ | .75 \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 169 Grading Permit | | Hourly | 172 \$ | 146 | \$ 175 | 83% | \$ | 30,127 | \$ 25,09 | \$ 5,03 | 100% | \$: | .75 \$ | 30,127 | \$ 5,030 | \$ - |
| 170 Grading Permit | Exemption | Flat Fee | 1 \$ | 222 | \$ 263 | 84% | \$ | 263 | \$ 222 | \$ 4 | 100% | \$ 2 | 63 \$ | 263 | \$ 41 | \$ - |
| 171 Grading Permit | Extension | Flat Fee | 1 \$ | 141 | 394 | 36% | \$ | 394 | \$ 14: | . \$ 25 | 3 100% | \$ 3 | 94 \$ | 394 | \$ 253 | \$ - |
| 172 Preliminary Roa | ad Exception Application | Hourly | - \$ | 146 | \$ 175 | 83% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$: | 75 \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 173 Road Exception | Request Review: Assoc w/Planning Adr | Flat Fee | - \$ | 867 | \$ 1,577 | 55% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 1,5 | 577 \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 174 Road Exception | Request Review: Assoc w/Building Permit | Flat Fee | - \$ | 867 | \$ 1,577 | 55% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 1,5 | 577 \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 175 Site Developmer | nt Review Permit | Hourly | - \$ | 146 | \$ 175 | 83% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$: | 75 \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 176 Stormwater Qua | ality Inspection | Flat Fee | - \$ | 187 | \$ 351 | 53% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 51 \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 177 Inspection of Po | ost-Construction Runoff Mgmt (per insp) | Flat Fee | - \$ | 187 | \$ 351 | 53% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 51 \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 178 Miscellaneous | s Engineering Fees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 179 Street Standards | s - copy | Remove | - \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ | - \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 180 Pre-Application | Conference | Flat Fee | - \$ | 293 | \$ 438 | 67% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 4 | 38 \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 181 Pre-Application | Site Meeting | Hourly | - \$ | 146 | \$ 175 | 83% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$: | .75 \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 182 Code Complia | nce | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investigation, Co | ode Enforcement, Permit Compliance Inspection (unless | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 183 specifically esta | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Hourly | - \$ | | | 42% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 3 | 51 \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| | Board of Supervisors | | | | ; - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 185 Filing Fee Paid b | by Appellant | Flat Fee | - \$ | 1,000 | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | - | - \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 186 Appeal Deposit | | Deposit | - \$ | • | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ | - \$ | - | • | \$ - |
| 186 Engineering Hou | urly Project Work | Hourly fee | 1,770 \$ | 146 | \$ 175 | 83% | \$ 3 | 310,226 | \$ 258,433 | \$ 51,79 | 100% | \$: | .75 \$ | 310,226 | \$ 51,793 | \$ - |
| 187 Engineering Fee | s from Other Departments - Building Inspection | | | | \$ - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 189 Temporary Trail | er Placement | Flat Fee | - \$ | 187 | \$ 351 | 53% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 51 \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 190 Commercial - Ne | ew Building (includes 2 inspections) | Flat Fee | - \$ | 187 | \$ 351 | 53% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 3 | 51 \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 191 Residential - Ne | • | Flat Fee | - \$ | 187 | \$ 351 | 53% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ | 51 \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - |
| | nspection for Compliance Failure- per inspection- per | 51 . 5 | , | 407 | | 520/ | | | | • | 1000/ | | | | | |
| 192 inspection | | Flat Fee | - \$ | | | 53% | \$ | - | т | \$ - | 100% | | 51 \$ | | • | \$ - |
| | on Stormwater Inspection for BMP - per inspection | Flat Fee | - \$ | | | 53% | \$ | | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | | 51 \$ | | | \$ - |
| 194 Temporary Certi | | Hourly | 2 \$ | | | 83% | \$ | 429 | | | 2 100% | | .75 \$ | | | Y |
| | ections or Re-Inspections | Hourly | 7 \$ | 187 | \$ 175 | 107% | \$ | 1,227 | \$ 1,309 |) \$ (8 | 32) 100% | \$ | .75 \$ | 1,227 | \$ (82 |) \$ - |
| | s from Other Departments - Building Plan Review | 51 . 5 | 22. 4 | 704 | | 670/ | | 22.650 | A 22.52 | | 1000/ | | - A | 22.550 | 4 44 4 3 3 | ¢ - |
| 197 Commercial - Ne | | Flat Fee | 32 \$ | | | 67% | | 33,650 | | | | | 52 \$ | | | |
| 198 Commercial - Al | | Flat Fee | 25 \$ | | | 67% | | 13,145 | | | | | 26 \$ | | | |
| | ermit Alteration/Revision | Flat Fee | 42 \$ | | | 54% | | 11,041 | | | | | 63 \$ | | | |
| 200 Residential - Ne | | Flat Fee | 90 \$ | | | 70% | | 63,094 | | | | | 01 \$ | - | | • |
| 201 Residential - Alt | | Flat Fee | 41 \$ | | | 60% | | 14,371 | | | | | 51 \$ | ,- | | |
| 203 Same Day (Over | tne Counter) | Flat Fee | 147 \$ | | | 40% | | 12,882 | | | | \$ | 88 \$ | | | |
| 204 Quick Permit | | Flat Fee | 145 \$ | 106 | \$ 175 | 60% | \$ | 25,413 | \$ 15,370 | \$ 10,04 | 13 100% | \$: | .75 \$ | 25,413 | \$ 10,043 | \$ - |



Napa County

1700000 - PBES - Planning & Engineering FY 2023-2024

| | | | | | Cı | ırrent | | | | | | | F | Recommendat | ions | |
|---|---|------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|-----|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | Per Unit | | | | Annual | | | Per | Unit | | | Annual | |
| Ord Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current Fee | Full Cost | Current Recovery % | Annu | al Cost | Annual Revenue | | Annual Subsidy | Recovery Level | Fee @ Lev | | Annual Revenue2 | Increased Revenue | Recommended Subsidy |
| 214 Engineering Fees from Other Fee Schedules - Planning | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Admin Permit-Fence, Entry Structure, Temp Trailer, Signs, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 216 Balloons,Other | Flat Fee | 2 | | | 80% | \$ | 351 | | 82 \$ | | 100% | \$ | 175 | | | \$ - |
| 218 Admin Permit - Viewshed | Flat Fee | 4 | • | | 80% | \$ | 1,402 | | 28 \$ | | 100% | \$ | 351 | | • | • |
| 219 Erosion Control Plan - Track I | Hourly | 95 | | | | | 16,650 | | 70 \$ | | 100% | \$ | 175 | | | |
| 220 Land Division/Mergers: Map Exemption | Flat Fee | 1 | • | • | | \$ | 292 | | 82 \$ | | 100% | \$ | 292 | • | | \$ - |
| 222 Site Plan-Standard Approval | Flat Fee | 4 | | | 80% | \$ | 1,402 | | 28 \$ | | 100% | \$ | 351 | | | |
| 223 Site Plan-Modification | Flat Fee | 1 | • | • | | \$ | 292 | | 82 \$ | | 100% | \$ | 292 | • | | \$ - |
| 224 Telecommunication-Site Plan Approval | Flat Fee | | \$ 282 | | | \$ | | \$ - | | | 100% | \$ | 292 | | | \$ - |
| 225 Telecommunication-Permit Modification | Flat Fee | 4 | • | • | | \$ | | | 28 \$ | | 100% | \$ | 292 | | • | \$ - |
| 226 Temporary Event: 51 To 400 Persons Per Day | Flat Fee | 2 | | | 97% | \$ | | | 64 \$ | | 100% | \$ | 292 | | | \$ - |
| 227 Temporary Event: 401 Or More Persons Per Day | Flat Fee | 5 | | | 97% | \$ | 1,461 | | 10 \$ | | 100% | \$ | 292 | | | \$ - |
| 229 Very Minor Modification (Non-Residential & Residential Uses) | Flat Fee | | \$ 282 | | | \$ | 701 | | 28 \$ | | 100% | \$ | 175 | | | |
| 230 Winery Administrative Permit | Change Flat Fee to Hourly | 6 | • | | | \$ | 1,052 | | 92 \$ | . , | 100% | \$ | 175 | | | , . |
| 231 Temporary Event | Flat Fee | 1 | | | 495% | \$ | 175 | | 67 \$ | (, | 100% | \$ | 175 | | | |
| 233 Minor Modification (Non-Residential & Residential Uses) | Change Flat Fee to Hourly | | \$ 422 | | 241% | \$ | | \$ - | \$ | | 100% | \$ | 175 | • | \$ - | \$ - |
| 234 Minor Modification (Winery Uses) | Hourly | 14 | | | | \$ | | | 29 \$ | | 100% | \$ | 175 | | | |
| 235 Micro Winery | Hourly | 9 | • | • | | \$ | , | | 56 \$ | | 100% | \$ | 175 | | | • |
| 236 Small Winery Exemption (Winery Uses) | Hourly | - | \$ 146 | | | \$ | | \$ - | | | 100% | \$ | 175 | | | \$ - |
| 237 Variance | Change Flat Fee to Hourly | - | \$ 422 | • | 241% | \$ | | \$ - | Ψ. | | 100% | \$ | 175 | • | \$ - | \$ - |
| 238 Viewshed | Change Flat Fee to Hourly | - | \$ 422 | | | \$ | | \$ - | Ψ. | | 100% | \$ | 175 | | \$ - | \$ - |
| 239 Road Exception Request within application types listed above except CLNs | | - | \$ 867 | | | \$ | | \$ - \$ - | - 7 | | 100% | \$ | 175 | | \$ - | \$ - |
| 241 Agricultural Preserve Contract: Rescind & Replace | Hourly | - | \$ 146 | | | \$ | | 7 | | | 100% | \$ | 175 | | \$ - | \$ - |
| 242 Agricultural Preserve Contract: All Other | Hourly | - | \$ 146 | | | \$ | | \$ - | - 7 | | 100% | \$ | 175 | • | • | \$ - |
| 243 Development Agreement: Approval/Modification | Hourly | - | \$ 146 | | | \$ | | \$ - | Ψ. | | 100% | \$ | 175 | | \$ - | \$ - \$ - |
| 244 General, Specific, or Airport Land Use Plan | Hourly | - 65 | \$ 146 \$ 146 | | | \$ | - 11.327 | \$ - | \$ 36 \$ | | 100% | \$ | 175 | • | \$ - \$ 1.891 | |
| 245 Use Permit | Hourly | | | | 83% | | | | | , | 100% | \$ | 175 | | | |
| 246 Major Modification | Hourly | 29 | | | | \$ | ., | | 49 \$ | | 100% | \$ | 175 | | | |
| 247 Land Division: Tentative Map (Parcel or Subdivision) | Flat Fee | - | \$ 282 | | 40% | \$ | | \$ - | | | 100% | \$ | 701 | | \$ - | \$ - |
| 248 Zoning Map or Text Change | Hourly | | \$ 146 | • | 83% | \$ | | | \$ | | 100% | \$ | 175 | • | • | • |
| | hange from Flat Fee to Hour Flat Fee | 5 1 | | | 167% 97% | \$ | 829 292 | | 86 \$ | | 100% 100% | \$ | 175 | | | |
| 252 Consultation, Meetings & Other Activities Not Covered in this Fee Schedule | | | | | | \$ | | | 82 \$ \$ | | 100% | \$ | 292 | | • | \$ - \$ - |
| 253 Winery Status Determination Investigation, Code Enforcement, Permit Compliance Inspection (unless | Flat Fee | - | \$ 282 | \$ 292 | 97% | \$ | - | > - | ۶ | - | 100% | Þ | 292 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 255 specifically established otherwise) | Hourly | - | \$ 146 | \$ 351 | 42% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ | 351 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 256 Habitat Restoration or Revegetation Plan | Change Flat Fee to Hourly | - | \$ 282 | | 161% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ | 175 | | \$ - | \$ - |
| 257 Engineering Fees from Other Fee Schedules - Public Works | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 259 Final Map - Subdivision | Hourly | - | \$ 146 | \$ 175 | 83% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ | 175 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 260 Final Map - Parcel | Hourly | - | \$ 146 | \$ 175 | 83% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ | 175 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 262 Conditional or Amended Certificate of Compliance | Hourly | - | \$ 146 | \$ 175 | 83% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ | 175 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 265 Engineering Fees from Other Fee Schedules - Environmental Health | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 277 Groundwater Permit | Flat fee | 1 | \$ 146 | \$ 175 | 83% | \$ | 175 | \$ 1 | 46 \$ | 29 | 100% | \$ | 175 | \$ 175 | \$ 29 | \$ - |
| 283 Well Permit | New Flat Fee | - | \$ - | \$ 351 | 0% | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ | 351 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Planning User Fee Revenue | | | | | | | | \$ 1,584,4 | 40 | | | | | | | |
| Engineering User Fee Revenue | | | | | | | _ | \$ 455,6 | 77 | | | | | | | |
| Combined Planning & Engineering Fee Revenue | | | | | | \$2, | 281,696 | \$2,069,3 | 354 | \$212,342 | | | | \$2,281,690 | \$212,33 | \$0 |
| % of Full Cost | | | | | | | | 9 | 1% | 9% | | | | 100% | 109 | 6 0% |



PBES: Environmental Health

Napa County

Environmental Health 1702000

| | | | | | | | Curre | nt | | | | | F | Recommenda | tions | | |
|------|---|-----------------|------------------|---------|---------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------|------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|----------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | P | er Unit | | | | Annual | | Per | Unit | | Aı | nnual | |
| Ord | Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current | -ee | Full Cost | Current Recovery A % | nnual Cos | t | Annual Revenue | Annual Subsidy | Recovery Level | Fee @ Policy Level | Annual Revenue2 | | eased venue | Recommended Subsidy |
| 1 | Food Facility - Annual Operating Permit | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Onsite Preparation - Low Risk | Flat Fee | 156 | \$ | 301 | 448 | 67% \$ | 69,897 | 7 \$ | 46,956 | \$ 22,941 | 100% | \$ 448 | \$ 69,897 | \$ | 22,941 | \$ - |
| 3 | Onsite Preparation - Medium Risk | Flat Fee | 304 | \$ | 691 | 924 | 75% \$ | 280,979 | \$ | 210,064 | \$ 70,915 | 100% | \$ 924 | \$ 280,979 | \$ | 70,915 | \$ - |
| 4 | Onsite Preparation - High Risk | Flat Fee | 489 | \$ 1 | ,176 | 1,562 | 75% \$ | 763,716 | \$ | 575,064 | \$ 188,652 | 100% | \$ 1,562 | \$ 763,716 | \$ 1 | 188,652 | \$ - |
| 5 | Onsite Preparation - Add'l for Caterer w/out of County Commissary | Flat Fee | 14 | \$ | 129 | 153 | 84% \$ | 2,143 | \$ | 1,806 | \$ 337 | 100% | \$ 153 | \$ 2,143 | \$ | 337 | \$ - |
| 6 | Food Facility Operations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Certified Farmers Market Organizer | Flat Fee | 6 | \$ | 226 | 256 | 88% \$ | 1,536 | \$ | 1,356 | \$ 180 | 100% | \$ 256 | \$ 1,536 | \$ | 180 | \$ - |
| 8 | Temporary Food Facilities - Single High Risk Event | Flat Fee | 165 | \$ | 171 \$ | 384 | 45% \$ | 63,283 | \$ | 28,215 | \$ 35,068 | 100% | \$ 384 | \$ 63,283 | \$ | 35,068 | \$ - |
| 9 | Temporary Food Facilities - Single Low Risk Event | Flat Fee | 162 | \$ | 111 \$ | 281 | 39% \$ | 45,542 | \$ | 17,982 | \$ 27,560 | 100% | \$ 281 | \$ 45,542 | \$ | 27,560 | \$ - |
| 10 | Temp Event Organizer: 2-20 Vendors | Flat Fee | 27 | \$ | 413 | 5 576 | 72% \$ | 15,554 | \$ | 11,151 | \$ 4,403 | 100% | \$ 576 | \$ 15,554 | \$ | 4,403 | \$ - |
| 11 | Temp Event Organizer: >20 Vendors | Flat Fee | 8 | \$ | 669 | 1,792 | 37% \$ | 14,338 | \$ | 5,352 | \$ 8,986 | 100% | \$ 1,792 | \$ 14,338 | \$ | 8,986 | \$ - |
| 12 | Cottage Food - Class A | Flat Fee | 10 | \$ | 118 \$ | 256 | 46% \$ | 2,560 | \$ | 1,180 | \$ 1,380 | 100% | \$ 256 | \$ 2,560 | \$ | 1,380 | \$ - |
| 13 | Cottage Food - Class B | Flat Fee | 36 | \$ | 197 \$ | 269 | 73% \$ | 9,678 | \$ | 7,092 | \$ 2,586 | 100% | \$ 269 | \$ 9,678 | \$ | 2,586 | \$ - |
| 14 | Annual Temp. Event | Flat Fee | 90 | \$ | 406 | 576 | 71% \$ | 51,800 | \$ | 36,540 | \$ 15,260 | 100% | \$ 576 | \$ 51,800 | \$ | 15,260 | \$ - |
| 15 | Mobile Facilities - Low Risk | Flat Fee | 29 | \$ | 108 \$ | 230 | 47% \$ | 6,668 | \$ | 3,132 | \$ 3,536 | 100% | \$ 230 | \$ 6,668 | \$ | 3,536 | \$ - |
| 16 | Mobile Facilities - Medium Risk | New Flat Fee | 10 | \$ | - \$ | 307 | 0% \$ | 3,067 | , \$ | - : | \$ 3,067 | 100% | \$ 307 | \$ 3,067 | \$ | 3,067 | \$ - |
| 17 | Mobile Facilities - High Risk | Flat Fee | 112 | \$ | 487 | 714 | 68% \$ | 79,947 | 7 \$ | 54,544 | \$ 25,403 | 100% | \$ 714 | \$ 79,947 | \$ | 25,403 | \$ - |
| 18 | B&B - without Water | Flat Fee | 17 | \$ | 177 \$ | 461 | 38% \$ | 7,835 | \$ | 3,009 | \$ 4,826 | 100% | \$ 461 | \$ 7,835 | \$ | 4,826 | \$ - |
| 19 | B&B - with Water | Flat Fee | 6 | \$ | 236 | 512 | 46% \$ | 3,072 | \$ | 1,416 | \$ 1,656 | 100% | \$ 512 | \$ 3,072 | \$ | 1,656 | \$ - |
| 20 | Harding Auction- Swap Meet Contract | New Flat Fee | - | \$ 1 | ,635 \$ | 2,061 | 79% \$ | - | \$ | - : | \$ - | 100% | \$ 2,061 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 21 | Reinspection - Voluntary | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 358 | 449 | 80% \$ | 449 | \$ | 358 | \$ 91 | 100% | \$ 449 | \$ 449 | \$ | 91 | \$ - |
| 22 | Reinspection - Mandatory | Flat Fee | 3 | \$ | 358 | 257 | 140% \$ | 770 |) \$ | 1,074 | \$ (304) | 100% | \$ 257 | \$ 770 | \$ | (304) | \$ - |
| 23 | Reinspection - Follow-up | Flat Fee | 4 | \$ | 177 \$ | 449 | 39% \$ | 1,794 | \$ | 708 | \$ 1,086 | 100% | \$ 449 | \$ 1,794 | \$ | 1,086 | \$ - |
| 24 | Food: Impound Release Fee | Flat Fee | 5 | \$ | 105 \$ | 154 | 68% \$ | 768 | \$ | 525 | \$ 243 | 100% | \$ 154 | \$ 768 | \$ | 243 | \$ - |
| 25 | Food Facility Plan Review and Inspection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | New Construction and Remodel - Low Risk (includes 2 inspections) | Flat Fee | 4 | \$ | 429 | 576 | 74% \$ | 2,419 | \$ | 1,802 | \$ 618 | 100% | \$ 576 | | | 618 | |
| 27 | New Construction and Remodel - Medium Risk (includes 2 inspections) | Flat Fee | 13 | - | 901 \$ | 1,191 | 76% \$ | 15,953 | \$ | 12,073 | \$ 3,880 | 100% | \$ 1,191 | \$ 15,953 | \$ | 3,880 | \$ - |
| 28 | New Construction and Remodel - High Risk (includes 3 inspections) | Flat Fee | 16 | \$ 1 | ,270 \$ | 1,687 | 75% \$ | 27,333 | \$ | 20,574 | \$ 6,759 | 100% | \$ 1,687 | \$ 27,333 | \$ | 6,759 | \$ - |
| 29 | New Construction and Remodel - additional inspections | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 177 \$ | 307 | 58% \$ | 307 | 7 \$ | 177 | \$ 130 | 100% | \$ 307 | \$ 307 | \$ | 130 | \$ - |
| 30 | New Mobile Food Plan Review | New Flat Fee | | \$ | - \$ | 576 | 0% \$ | 576 | \$ | - | \$ 576 | 100% | \$ 576 | \$ 576 | \$ | 576 | \$ - |
| 31 | Minor Remodel | Flat Fee | 19 | | 577 \$ | | 75% \$ | 14,594 | \$ | 10,963 | \$ 3,631 | 100% | \$ 768 | \$ 14,594 | \$ | 3,631 | - |
| 32 | Resubmittal of Plans | Flat Fee | 11 | \$ | 341 \$ | 461 | 74% \$ | 5,069 | \$ | 3,751 | \$ 1,318 | 100% | \$ 461 | \$ 5,069 | \$ | 1,318 | \$ - |
| 33 | Well Development: Water Supply | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | Class IA or IB | Flat Fee | 97 | | 529 | | 86% \$ | | | · | | 100% | | | | 8,291 | |
| 35 | Class IA or IB (with destruction) | Flat Fee | 72 | | 529 | | 86% \$ | | | | | 100% | | | | 6,154 | |
| 36 | Class II | Flat Fee | | \$ | 685 | | 107% \$ | | | | . , | 100% | | | | (45) | |
| 36.2 | Well Permit Plan Revision | New Flat Fee | | \$ | - \$ | | 0% \$ | | \$ | | | 100% | • | | | 154 | |
| 37 | Plan Check Review Easement/Agreement- Add | New Flat Fee | | \$ | - 5 | | 0% \$ | | | | | 100% | | | | 922 | |
| 38 | Well Re-Construction, Deepening | Flat Fee | | \$ | 424 \$ | | 92% \$ | | | , . | • | 100% | - | | | 184 | |
| 39 | Water Supply Well Destruction | Flat Fee | 24 | | 100 \$ | | 22% \$ | | | · | | 100% | | | | 8,661 | |
| 40 | Water Supply Well Permit Extension | Flat Fee | | \$ | 100 \$ | | 87% \$ | | \$ | | | 100% | • | - | - | 46 | - |
| 41 | Storage Tank for Dwelling Unit | Flat Fee | | \$ | 232 \$ | | 101% \$ | | \$ | | | 100% | | | | (3) | |
| 42 | Re-Inspection after Premature Call Out (per inspection) | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 223 \$ | 230 | 97% \$ | 230 |) \$ | 223 | \$ 7 | 100% | \$ 230 | \$ 230 | \$ | 7 | \$ - |



Environmental Health 1702000

| | | | | | | | Currer | nt | | | | | F | Recommenda | ations | | |
|------|--|---------------------|------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|------------------------|------------|------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | Pe | er Unit | | | Ann | nual | | Per U | nit | | A | \nnual | |
| Ord | Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current I | ee | Full Cost | Current Recovery Ar | nnual Cost | | nual enue | Annual Subsidy | Recovery F Level | Fee @ Policy Level | Annual Revenue2 | | reased I venue | Recommended Subsidy |
| 43 | Well Development: Monitoring, Extraction and Cathodic Protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | Construction/Re-Construction - Initial Site Permit | Flat Fee | 23 | \$ | 323 \$ | 461 | 70% \$ | 10,600 | \$ | 7,429 \$ | 3,171 | 100% | \$ 461 | \$ 10,600 | , \$ | 3,171 | \$ - |
| 45 | Monitoring, Extraction and Cathodic Protection Well Destruction | Flat Fee | 18 | \$ | 100 \$ | 384 | 26% \$ | 6,913 | \$ | 1,800 \$ | 5,113 | 100% | \$ 384 | \$ 6,913 | \$ | 5,113 | \$ - |
| 46 | Monitoring, Extraction and Cathodic Protection Well Permit Extension | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 100 \$ | 115 | 87% \$ | 115 | \$ | 100 \$ | 15 | 100% | \$ 115 | \$ 115 | \$ | 15 | \$ - |
| 47 | Soil Borings | Flat Fee | 67 | \$ | 256 \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ 1 | 17,152 \$ | (17,152) | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | (17,152) | \$ - |
| 48 | Well Development: Geothermal Heat Exchange | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 49 | Construction/Re-Construction - Initial Site Permit with 5 Wells | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 682 \$ | 461 | 148% \$ | 461 | \$ | 682 \$ | (221) | 100% | \$ 461 | \$ 461 | \$ | (221) | \$ - |
| 50 | Construction/Re-Construction - Additional Wells >5 (per well) | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 134 \$ | 154 | 87% \$ | 154 | \$ | 134 \$ | 20 | 100% | \$ 154 | \$ 154 | \$ | 20 | \$ - |
| 51 | Geothermal Heat Exchange Well Destruction | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 100 \$ | 333 | 30% \$ | 333 | \$ | 100 \$ | 233 | 100% | \$ 333 | \$ 333 | \$ \$ | 233 | \$ - |
| 52 | Geothermal Heat Exchange Well Permit Extension | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 100 \$ | 115 | 87% \$ | 115 | \$ | 100 \$ | 15 | 100% | \$ 115 | \$ 115 | \$ | 15 | \$ - |
| 53 | Water and Sewer System Inspection and Analysis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 54 | Water Sample | Flat Fee | 6 | \$ | 252 \$ | 538 | 47% \$ | 3,226 | \$ | 1,512 \$ | 1,714 | 100% | \$ 538 | \$ 3,226 | \$ | 1,714 | \$ - |
| 55 | Swimming Pool | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56 | Annual Operation Permit | Flat Fee | 286 | \$ | 340 \$ | 422 | 80% \$ | 120,821 | \$ 9 | 97,240 \$ | 23,581 | 100% | \$ 422 | \$ 120,821 | . \$ | 23,581 | \$ - |
| 57 | New Construction/Major Remodel - Plan Check & Inspection | Flat Fee | 5 | \$ 1 | 128 \$ | 1,421 | 79% \$ | 7,105 | \$ | 5,640 \$ | 1,465 | 100% | \$ 1,421 | \$ 7,105 | \$ | 1,465 | \$ - |
| 58 | Swimming Pool Plan Check Resubmittal Fee | Flat Fee | 5 | \$ | 127 \$ | 230 | 55% \$ | 1,060 | \$ | 584 \$ | 476 | 100% | \$ 230 | \$ 1,060 | , \$ | 476 | \$ - |
| 59 | Minor Remodel - Plan Check | Flat Fee | 19 | \$ | 411 \$ | 537 | 77% \$ | 10,206 | \$ | 7,809 \$ | 2,397 | 100% | \$ 537 | \$ 10,206 | \$ | 2,397 | \$ - |
| 60 | Swimming Pool Additional Inspections | Flat Fee | 6 | \$ | 213 \$ | 261 | 82% \$ | 1,567 | \$ | 1,278 \$ | 289 | 100% | \$ 261 | \$ 1,567 | \$ | 289 | \$ - |
| 61 | Reinspection Follow-up | New Flat Fee | 10 | \$ | 177 \$ | 230 | 77% \$ | 2,304 | \$ | 1,770 \$ | 534 | 100% | \$ 230 | \$ 2,304 | \$ | 534 | \$ - |
| 62 | Extremely Hazardous Material | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 63 | Initial Submittal (CalARP) (10 hr. min. \$1,250.00) | New Hourly Fee | 1 | \$ 1 | 250 \$ | 1,536 | 81% \$ | 1,536 | \$ | 1,250 \$ | 286 | 100% | \$ 1,536 | \$ 1,536 | \$ | 286 | \$ - |
| 64 | Annual Operating Permit for Facilities with Risk Management Plan | Remove | - | \$ | 354 \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | | \$ - |
| 65 | Cal ARP Permit Extremely Hazardous Substances > Federal Quantity | New Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | - \$ | 308 | 0% \$ | 308 | \$ | - \$ | 308 | 100% | \$ 308 | \$ 308 | \$ | 308 | \$ - |
| 66 | Cal ARP Permit Extremely Hazardous Substances< Federal Quantity But > CA Quantity | New Flat Fee | 10 | \$ | - \$ | 308 | 0% \$ | 3,080 | \$ | - \$ | 3,080 | 100% | \$ 308 | \$ 3,080 | , \$ | 3,080 | \$ - |
| | Cal ARP Permit Extremely Hazardous Substances > Federal Quantity: Green Business | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 67 | Discount- 10% Applied | Remove | - | \$ | - \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - : | \$ - |
| | Cal ARP Permit Extremely Hazardous Substances > Federal Quantity: Green Business | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 68 | Discount- 10% Applied | Remove | | \$ | - \$ | | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | | 100% | | \$ - | \$ | - : | |
| 69 | Cal ARP Permit Extremely Hazardous Substances< Federal Quantity But > CA Quantity | Remove | | | 354 \$ | | · | | \$ | - \$ | | 100% | | | \$ | - : | |
| 70 | CalArp Conditionally Exempt | New Flat Fee | 2 | \$ | - \$ | 308 | 0% \$ | 616 | \$ | - \$ | 616 | 100% | \$ 308 | \$ 616 | \$ | 616 | \$ - |
| 72 | Contaminated Site Assessment and Remediation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 73 | · · · · · | lew Deposit + Hourl | 1 | Ş | - \$ | 768 | 0% \$ | 768 | \$ | - \$ | 768 | 100% | 5 768 | \$ 768 | \$ \$ | 768 | \$ - |
| 74 | Sewage Disposal | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 75 | Percolation Tests or Site Evaluations | Flat Fee | 151 | | 348 \$ | | 88% \$ | | | 52,548 \$ | | 100% | | | | 7,376 | |
| 76 | Groundwater Monitoring Tests or Site Evaluations | New Flat Fee | 4 | | - \$ | | • | | - | - \$ | | 100% | | | | 1,536 | |
| 77 | Plan Check and Permit - ASTS (residential) | Flat Fee | 130 | | 446 \$ | | | | | | | 100% | | | | 21,518 | |
| 78 | Plan Check and Permit - ASTS (non-residential) | Flat Fee | 11 | | 924 \$ | | 90% \$ | | - | 32,164 \$ | | 100% | | | | 3,744 | |
| 79 | Plan Check and Permit - Pretreatment Unit or Engineered System Layout | Flat Fee | 4 | | 807 \$ | | | | | 3,228 \$ | | 100% | | | | 49 | |
| 80 | Plan Check and Permit -NEW Conventional System_addition, alteration, new | Flat Fee | 55 | | 047 \$ | | • | | | 57,585 \$ | | 100% | | | | 10,007 | |
| 81 | Plan Check - Resubmittal/Engineered Layout/Revision of Alternative Sewage Disposal | Flat Fee | 1 | | 293 \$ | | | | | 293 \$ | | 100% | | |) \$ | 117 | |
| 81.2 | Permit Extension | Flat Fee | 3 | | 100 \$ | | • | 461 | | 300 \$ | | 100% | | • | \$ | 161 | |
| 82 | Plan Check Review Easement/Agreement | New Flat Fee | 6 | | - \$ | | | 922 | | - \$ | | 100% | | | \$ | 922 | |
| 83 | Permit: Conventional Repair Consultation & Installation | Flat Fee | 55 | | 030 \$ | | | | | 56,650 \$ | | 100% | | | | 17,279 | |
| 84 | Permit - Sewer Line or Septic Tank Only | Flat Fee | 99 | | 370 \$ | | | | | 36,630 \$ | | 100% | | | | 12,797 | |
| 85 | Plan Check and Permit - Holding Tank Hold and Haul | Flat Fee | 2 | | 796 \$ | | · | | | 1,592 \$ | , , | 100% | | | | (286) | |
| 86 | Plan Check and Permit- Alteration or addition to any type of system | New Flat Fee | 12 | \$ | - \$ | 307 | 0% \$ | 3,687 | \$ | - \$ | 3,687 | 100% | \$ 307 | \$ 3,687 | \$ | 3,687 | \$ - |



Environmental Health 1702000

| | | | 1 | Current | | | | | | | Recommendations | | | | | | |
|------|--|-----------------------|--------|--------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------|-------------|
| | | | | Per Unit Ani Current Ani | | | Annual | | | Per U | | | | Annual | | | |
| | | | Annual | | | | | | Annual | _ A | Annual | | ee @ Policy | Annual | | | Recommended |
| Ord | Service Name | Fee Description | Volume | Current Fe | e F | ull Cost | Recovery Ar | nnual Cost | Revenue | | ubsidy | Level | Level | Revenuez | _ | Revenue | Subsidy |
| 87 | Sewage Disposal - Annual Operating Permit Fees | | | | | | an i' | | | | | | | | | | |
| 88 | Holding Tank | Flat Fee | 43 | \$ 2 | 50 \$ | 230 | 108% \$ | 9,908 | \$ 10,750 | \$ | (842) | 100% | \$ 230 | \$ 9,90 | 18 \$ | (842) | \$ - |
| 89 | Winery Process Water Pond w/ LAA | Flat Fee | 57 | \$ 5 | 18 \$ | 333 | 156% \$ | 18,972 | \$ 29,526 | \$ | (10,554) | 100% | \$ 333 | \$ 18,97 | 2 \$ | (10,554) | \$ - |
| 90 | Winery Process Water w/ Treatment and LAA | Remove | 29 | \$ - | \$ | 307 | 0% \$ | 8,910 | \$ - | \$ | 8,910 | 100% | \$ 307 | \$ 8,91 | .0 \$ | 8,910 | \$ - |
| 91 | Winery Process Water w/ treatment and SDS | Remove | 1 | \$ - | \$ | 307 | 0% \$ | 307 | \$ - | \$ | 307 | 100% | \$ 307 | \$ 30 | 7 \$ | 307 | \$ - |
| 92 | Winery Wastewater Systems Tier 1 (10,000-30,000 gpy) | New Flat Fee | 11 | \$ 3 | 17 \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | No time, but fee | es provided ba | sed on state f | ees | | |
| | Winery Wastewater Systems Tier 2 (30,000-100,000 gpy) Winery Process Water w/ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 92.2 | Treatment and LAA | New Flat Fee | 26 | \$ 4 | 22 \$ | | 0% \$ | | \$ - | \$ | - | No time, but fee | es provided ba | sed on state f | ees | | |
| 00.0 | Winery Wastewater Systems Tier 2 (100,000 - 300,000 gpy) Winery Process Water | November 5 | | | 25 6 | | 00/ 0 | | s - | | | No Kong bud for | | | | | |
| 92.3 | w/ treatment and SDS | New Flat Fee | 58 | | 06 \$ | - | 0% \$ | | * | \$ | - | No time, but fee | | - | | | |
| 92.4 | Winery Wastewater Systems Tier 3 (300,000 - 600,000 gpy) | New Flat Fee | 29 | • | 43 \$ | • | 0% \$ | | \$ - | \$ | - | No time, but fee | | | | | |
| 92.5 | Winery Wastewater Systems Tier 3 (600,000 - 1,000,000 gpy) | New Flat Fee | 31 | | 15 \$ | - | 0% \$ | | \$ - | \$ | - | No time, but fee | | - | | | |
| 92.6 | Winery Wastewater Systems Tier 4, (1,000,000 - 3,000,000 gpy) | New Flat Fee | 23 | | | | 0% \$ | | \$ - | \$ | - | No time, but fee | • | 1 | | | |
| 92.7 | Winery Wastewater Systems Tier 4 (3,000,000 - 7,000,000 gpy) | New Flat Fee | 12 | | | - | 0% \$ | | \$ - | \$ | - | No time, but fee | | | | | |
| 92.8 | Winery Wastewater Systems Tier 4 (7,000,000 - 15,000,000 gpy) | New Flat Fee | 6 | | | - | 0% \$ | | \$ - | \$ | - | No time, but fee | - | | | | |
| 92.9 | Winery Process Water Exemption-Conventional Systems or <10,000 gpy | New Flat Fee | 3 | | 00 \$ | - | 0% \$ | | \$ - | \$ | - | No time, but fee | | - | | | |
| 93 | Alternative Subsurface Residential- with Service Provider, EH triennual inspection | New Flat Fee | 95 | | - 7 | 307 | 0% \$ | 29,188 | | - 7 | 29,188 | 100% | | | | | • |
| 94 | Alternative Subsurface -Nonresidential with Service Provider, biannual inspection | Flat Fee | 285 | | 84 \$ | 307 | 92% \$ | | \$ 80,940 | | 6,623 | 100% \$ | | | | 6,623 | |
| 95 | Alternative Subsurface - no Service Provider, annual inspection | Flat Fee | 8 | \$ 7 | 47 \$ | 768 | 97% \$ | 6,145 | \$ 5,976 | \$ | 169 | 100% | \$ 768 | \$ 6,14 | 5 \$ | 169 | \$ - |
| 96 | Subsurface Disposal > 1500 gpd | Flat Fee | 10 | \$ 5 | 28 \$ | 384 | 137% \$ | | \$ 5,280 | | (1,440) | 100% | \$ 384 | \$ 3,84 | 10 \$ | (1,440) | \$ - |
| 97 | Re-Inspection after Premature Call Out | Flat Fee | 12 | \$ 1 | 73 \$ | 154 | 113% \$ | 1,843 | \$ 2,076 | \$ | (233) | 100% | \$ 154 | \$ 1,84 | 3 \$ | (233) | \$ - |
| 98 | Re-Inspection after one follow-up inspection | Flat Fee | 12 | \$ 1 | 73 \$ | 154 | 113% \$ | 1,843 | \$ 2,076 | \$ | (233) | 100% | \$ 154 | \$ 1,84 | 3 \$ | (233) | \$ - |
| 99 | Septic Tank Cleaning License | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | Fleet Septic Tank Cleaning License per Truck | Flat Fee | 77 | \$ 2 | 38 \$ | 281 | 85% \$ | 21,646 | \$ 18,326 | \$ | 3,320 | 100% | \$ 281 | \$ 21,64 | 6 \$ | 3,320 | \$ - |
| 101 | Vessel Occupancy | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 102 | Vessel - New Application | Remove | - | \$ 1 | 44 \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - : | \$ - |
| 103 | Vessel - Annual Permit | Remove | - | \$ | 96 \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 104 | Marina - New Application | Remove | - | \$ 2 | 86 \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - : | \$ - |
| 105 | Marina - Annual Permit, base | Remove | - | \$ | 96 \$ | - | 0% \$ | | \$ - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 106 | Marina - Annual Permit, houseboat berth | Remove | - | \$ | 4 \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - : | \$ - |
| 107 | Local Public Water System | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 108 | Operation Permit - Small Community Water System | Flat Fee | 17 | \$ 1,9 | 35 \$ | 1,895 | 102% \$ | 32,209 | \$ 32,895 | \$ | (686) | 100% | \$ 1,895 | \$ 32,20 | 9 \$ | (686) | \$ - |
| 109 | Operation Permit - Transient Non-Community Water System | Flat Fee | 96 | \$ 1,0 | 95 \$ | 1,075 | 102% \$ | 103,232 | \$ 105,120 | \$ | (1,888) | 100% | \$ 1,075 | \$ 103,23 | 2 \$ | (1,888) | ş - |
| 110 | Operation Permit - State Small Water System | Flat Fee | 9 | \$ 9 | 28 \$ | 1,011 | 92% \$ | 9,097 | \$ 8,352 | \$ | 745 | 100% | \$ 1,011 | \$ 9,09 | 7 \$ | 745 | \$ - |
| 111 | Operation Permit - Non-Transient Non-Community Water System | Flat Fee | 65 | \$ 1,3 | 47 \$ | 1,241 | 109% \$ | 80,681 | \$ 87,555 | \$ | (6,874) | 100% | \$ 1,241 | \$ 80,68 | 1 \$ | (6,874) | ş - |
| 112 | Operation Permit - CalCode Water System State Uniform Retail Food Facility Law Water | Flat Fee | 10 | \$ 9 | 28 \$ | 1,011 | 92% \$ | 10,108 | \$ 9,280 | \$ | 828 | 100% | \$ 1,011 | \$ 10,10 | 8 \$ | 828 | \$ - |
| 113 | New/Major Revisions for Small/Non-Transient - PC/Insp | Flat Fee | 2 | \$ 1,4 | 55 \$ | 1,664 | 87% \$ | 3,328 | \$ 2,910 | \$ | 418 | 100% | \$ 1,664 | \$ 3,32 | 8 \$ | 418 | ş - |
| 114 | New/Major Revisions for All Others - PC/Insp | Flat Fee | 5 | \$ 1,2 | 03 \$ | 1,664 | 72% \$ | 8,654 | \$ 6,256 | \$ | 2,398 | 100% | \$ 1,664 | \$ 8,65 | 4 \$ | 2,398 | \$ - |
| 115 | Minor Revisions - Plan Check and Inspection | Flat Fee | 6 | \$ 8 | 68 \$ | 922 | 94% \$ | 5,715 | \$ 5,382 | \$ | 333 | 100% | \$ 922 | \$ 5,71 | 5 \$ | 333 | ş - |
| 116 | Plan Check Resubmittal Fee | New Flat Fee | 8 | \$ - | \$ | 307 | 0% \$ | 2,458 | ş - | \$ | 2,458 | 100% | \$ 307 | \$ 2,45 | 8 \$ | 2,458 | \$ - |
| 117 | Additional Inspections | Hourly | 4 | \$ 1 | 54 \$ | 154 | 100% \$ | 614 | \$ 614 | \$ | (0) | 100% | \$ 154 | \$ 61 | 4 \$ | (0) | ş - |
| 118 | Underground Storage Tanks | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 119 | Annual Operating Permit (per tank) | Flat Fee | 46 | \$ 4 | 64 \$ | 461 | 101% \$ | 21,199 | \$ 21,344 | \$ | (145) | 100% \$ | \$ 461 | \$ 21,19 | 9 \$ | (145) | ş - |
| 120 | Installation - Plan Check | Flat Fee | 8 | \$ 8 | 30 \$ | 883 | 94% \$ | 7,066 | \$ 6,640 | ,) \$ | 426 | 100% | \$ 883 | \$ 7,06 | 6 \$ | 426 | ş - |
| 121 | Plan Check (each additional tank) | New Flat Fee | 1 | | 10 \$ | 154 | 72% \$ | 154 | | \$ | 154 | 100% | | | 4 \$ | 154 | s - |
| 122 | | 3 hr. min then hourly | | | 75 \$ | 461 | 81% \$ | 461 | • | - 7 | 86 | 100% | • | • | 1 \$ | | • |
| 123 | Installation - Inspection, extra | Remove | | \$ - | | - | 0% \$ | | \$ - | S | - | 100% | | \$ - | s | | \$ - |
| 124 | Major/Minor Tank Repair - Plan Check | Flat Fee | 12 | | 18 \$ | 384 | 83% \$ | | \$ 3,816 | | 793 | 100% | | | | | |
| 125 | Minor Repair - Tank Inspection | Remove | | | 39 \$ | - | 0% \$ | | s - | s | - | 100% | | \$ - | s | | s - |
| 126 | Repair - Inspection, base per tank (3 hr. min \$375) | Hourly | | | 75 S | 461 | 81% S | | \$ 4.500 | | 1.030 | 100% | | \$ 5.53 | - | | s - |
| 127 | Major Repair - Inspection, extra | Remove | | \$ - | , , , | - | 0% S | | \$ - | s | -,000 | 100% | • | \$ - | s | | s - |
| | | | | T. | Ψ. | | 0,0 9 | | T | * | | 100/0 | | | Y | | |



Environmental Health 1702000

| | | | | Current | | | | | | | Recommendations | | | | | | |
|-------|--|--------------------|--------|-------------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|------------|-------|-------|-----------------|-------|---|------------|--------|-------|-------------|
| | | Per Unit Annual | | ual | | Per U | Init | Annual | | | | | | | | | |
| Ord | Service Name | Fee Description | Annual | Current Fee | Full | l Cost | Current Recovery A | nnual Cost | Ann | | Annual | | Fee @ Policy | Annual | Increa | | Recommended |
| | | | Volume | | | | % | | Reve | nue | Subsidy | Level | Level | Revenue2 | Rever | nue | Subsidy |
| 128 | Closure/Abandonment - Plan Check | Flat Fee | 5 | \$ 31 | 3 \$ | 538 | 59% \$ | 2,688 | \$: | 1,590 | \$ 1,098 | 100% | \$ 538 | \$ 2,688 | \$ | 1,098 | \$ - |
| 129 | Closure/Abandonment - Inspection, base per tank (3 hr.min \$375) | Hourly | 5 | \$ 37 | 5 \$ | 461 | 81% \$ | 2,304 | \$: | 1,875 | \$ 429 | 100% | \$ 461 | \$ 2,304 | \$ | 429 | ş - |
| 130 | Closure/Abandonment - Inspection, extra | Remove | - | \$ - | \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - : | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 131 | Underground Storage Tanks Consultation/Re-inspection | New Hourly Fee | 1 | \$ 15 | 1 \$ | 154 | 100% \$ | 154 | \$ | - ; | \$ 154 | 100% | \$ 154 | \$ 154 | \$ | 154 | \$ - |
| 133 | Above-Ground Petroleum Storage Act | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 133.1 | APSA SPCC Plan Check fee | New Flat Fee | - | \$ - | \$ | 308 | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - ! | ş - | 100% | \$ 308 | ş - | \$ | - | ş - |
| 133.2 | APSA Conditionally Exempt Farm | New Flat Fee | 11 | \$ 6 | 1 \$ | 308 | 20% \$ | 3,385 | \$ | - ! | \$ 3,385 | 100% | \$ 308 | \$ 3,385 | \$ | 3,385 | ş - |
| 134 | Tier 1 | Flat Fee | 62 | \$ 13 | 5 \$ | 461 | 29% \$ | 28,605 | \$ 8 | 8,370 | \$ 20,235 | 100% | \$ 461 | \$ 28,605 | \$ 20 | 0,235 | \$ - |
| 135 | Tier 2 | Flat Fee | 14 | \$ 17 | 1 \$ | 461 | 37% \$ | 6,459 | \$: | 2,394 | \$ 4,065 | 100% | \$ 461 | \$ 6,459 | \$ | 4,065 | ş - |
| 136 | Non Qualified | New Flat Fee | 1 | s - | \$ | 461 | 0% \$ | 461 | \$ | - : | \$ 461 | 100% | \$ 461 | \$ 461 | \$ | 461 | s - |
| 137 | Solid Waste | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 138 | Plan Amendment (10 hr.min. \$1,250.00) | Remove | - | \$ 12 | 5 \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | s | - : | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | s - | \$ | - : | s - |
| 139 | Edible Food Recovery Inspection-Flat Fee | Remove | | s - | s | | 0% S | | s | - ! | s - | 100% | s - | s - | s | - | s - |
| 140 | Edible Food Recovery-Additional Services | Remove | | s - | s | | 0% S | | s | | s - | 100% | | s - | s | | s - |
| 141 | Hazardous Material Business Plan | | | • | _ | | | | · | | | | <u>, </u> | • | · | | |
| 142 | New or Major Revisions | Remove | - | \$ 46 | 1 \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | Ś | - ! | \$ - | 100% | s - | s - | S | - | s - |
| 143 | Consultation/Re-Inspection | Flat Fee | 10 | | | 615 | 20% S | | | | \$ 4,901 | 100% | | * | | 4,901 | |
| 144 | Annual Permit: UST Facility <40,000 Gallons | Flat Fee | 27 | • | | 654 | 21% S | , | | | \$ 14,000 | 100% | | | | 4.000 | |
| 145 | Registration/Inspection: One LPG Tank <1,000 Gallons | Remove | - | | 4 S | - | 0% S | | S | | \$ - | 100% | | | | - | |
| 146 | Registration/Inspection: Remote Unstaffed Facilities | Flat Fee | 12 | | - | 461 | 45% \$ | | | | \$ 3,042 | 100% | | \$ 5,538 | • | 3,042 | |
| 147 | Annual Permit: 1,000-20,000 Units | Flat Fee | 312 | | 3 \$ | 654 | 49% \$ | -, | | 9,216 | | 100% | | | | 4,682 | |
| 148 | Annual Permit: >20,000 Units | Flat Fee | 332 | | 4 \$ | 654 | 54% S | , | | | | 100% | | \$ 216,969 | | 9.441 | |
| | Annual Permit: Above Ground Fuel Tanks Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 149 | • | Flat Fee | 402 | • | 3 \$ | 654 | 49% \$ | | | 7,836 | | 100% | | | • | 4,879 | |
| 150 | Recyclable Household Hazardous Waste Collection | New Flat Fee | 3 | | \$ | 615 | 0% \$ | | \$ | | \$ 1,846 | 100% | | \$ 1,846 | | 1,846 | |
| 151 | Propane-1 outdoor LPG < 500 gals Failure to Notify on Change of Ownership | Flat Fee | | | 1 \$ | 614 | 12% \$ | | | 9,620 | | 100% | | | | 0,262 | |
| 152 | | 200% of permit fee | 1 | \$ - | \$ | | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - : | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - : | \$ - |
| 153 | Medical Waste | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 161 | Transporter-Limited Quantity Hauler Exemption | Remove | - | \$ - | \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - : | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - : | \$ - |
| 162 | Hazardous Waste Generators | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 163 | Annual Permit- Less than 27 gal/100 kg/220 lbs Non-RCRA Hazardous Waste per Month | Flat Fee | 270 | . 17 | | 221 | 74% S | 07.205 | | 4.620 | 0 22 657 | 1000/ | c 224 | 6 07.205 | | 2 657 | • |
| 163 | Annual Permit- More than 27 gal/100 kg/220 lbs but less than 265 gal/1,000 | Flat Fee | 378 | \$ 17 | L Ş | 231 | 74% \$ | 87,295 | \$ 64 | 4,638 | \$ 22,657 | 100% | \$ 231 | \$ 87,295 | \$ 2. | 2,657 | \$ - |
| 164 | kg/2,200 lbs Non-RCRA Hazardous Waste per Month | Flat Fee | 69 | \$ 20 | 3 S | 231 | 90% S | 15.935 | S 14 | 4.352 | \$ 1.583 | 100% | S 231 | S 15.935 | S | 1.583 | s - |
| 165 | Annual Permit - Conditionally Exempt Hazardous Waste Treatment | Flat Fee | 1 | | 1 \$ | 231 | 74% \$ | | | 171 | | 100% | | | | 60 | |
| 166 | Annual Permit - Conditionally Authorized Hazardous Waste Treatment | Flat Fee | 1 | | 1 \$ | 231 | 74% \$ | | | 171 | | 100% | | | | 60 | |
| 167 | Annual Permit - Permit by Rule Hazardous Waste | Flat Fee | 1 | • | 1 \$ | 231 | 106% S | | | 244 | | 100% | | | • | (13) | |
| 168 | New or Major Revision - Plan Check | Remove | • | | 1 \$ | 231 | 0% \$ | | S | | \$ (15) \$ - | 100% | | \$ - | S | - | |
| 100 | Annual Permit- More than 27 gal/100 kg/220 lbs but less than 265 gal/1,000 | Kemove | • | \$ 17 | ı ş | • | U% Ş | | Ş | - , | , - | 100% | ş - | ş - | Ş | - | , - |
| 169 | kg/2,200 lbs RCRA Hazardous Waste per Month | Flat Fee | _ | \$ 24 | 4 S | 269 | 91% \$ | - | S | - : | s - | 100% | \$ 269 | s - | \$ | - | s - |
| 170 | Hazardous Waste Permit: Recyclable Waste per Month | Remove | - | \$ - | s | - | 0% \$ | | S | - | s - | 100% | | \$ - | s | | s - |
| | Annual Permit- More than 265 gal/1,000 kg/ 2,200 lbs Non-RCRA Hazardous Waste | | | | - | | 2.0 9 | | | | | 22370 | | | | | |
| 171 | per Month | New Flat Fee | 12 | \$ 24 | 4 \$ | 269 | 91% \$ | 3,232 | \$ | - (| \$ 3,232 | 100% | \$ 269 | \$ 3,232 | \$ | 3,232 | ş - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 172 | Annual Permit- Less than 27 gal/100 kg/220 lbs RCRA Hazardous Waste per Month | New Flat Fee | 7 | \$ 24 | \$ | 269 | 91% \$ | 1,885 | \$: | 1,708 | \$ 177 | 100% | \$ 269 | \$ 1,885 | \$ | 177 | ş - |
| | Annual Permit- More than 265 gal/1,000 kg/ 2,200 lbs RCRA hazardous waste per | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 172.2 | month or 26/gal/1 kg/2.2 lbs acutely hazardous | New Flat Fee | 1 | \$ - | \$ | 269 | 0% \$ | 269 | \$ | - ! | \$ 269 | 100% | \$ 269 | \$ 269 | \$ | 269 | \$ - |



Environmental Health 1702000

FY2023-2024 Budget

| | | | | | | | Curren | t | | | | Rec | ommendati | ons | |
|-------|--|-----------------|------------------|-----------|--------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | Pe | r Unit | | | Annual | | Per U | Init | | Annual | |
| Ord | Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current F | e F | ull Cost | Current Recovery An | nual Cost | Annual Revenue | Annual Subsidy | Recovery I | Fee @ Policy Level | Annual Revenue2 | Increased Revenue | Recommended Subsidy |
| 177 | Groundwater Permit | | | | | | % | | | | | _ | | | |
| 178 | Hazardous Waste Generators Groundwater Permit | Flat Fee | 3 | Ś 1.2 | 00 \$ | 1,075 | 112% \$ | 3,226 | \$ 3,600 | \$ (374) | 100% | \$ 1,075 \$ | 3,226 | \$ (374) | \$ - |
| 179 | Annual Ag. Exemption Recording | Flat Fee | 1 | | 79 \$ | 77 | 103% \$ | | \$ 79 | | | | 77 | | |
| 180 | Ministerial Groundwater Permits & Minor Mod | Flat Fee | 7 | | 97 Ś | 192 | 103% \$ | | \$ 1,379 | | | | 1,344 | | |
| 181 | Cancel Groundwater Permit | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 75 \$ | 77 | 98% \$ | 77 | \$ 75 | | 100% | | 77 | | |
| 182 | Meter Reading for Non-Compliance | Flat Fee | 10 | \$ 1 | 12 \$ | 154 | 73% \$ | 1,536 | \$ 1,120 | \$ 416 | 100% | \$ 154 \$ | 1,536 | \$ 416 | \$ - |
| 183 | Stormwater | | | | | | · | | | | | | | | |
| 184 | Annual Inspection - Food Establishments | Flat Fee | 668 | \$ | 75 \$ | 116 | 65% \$ | 77,305 | \$ 50,100 | \$ 27,205 | 100% | \$ 116 \$ | 77,305 | \$ 27,205 | \$ - |
| 185 | Annual Inspection - Haz Mat Storage or Waste Generation | Flat Fee | 145 | \$ | 75 \$ | 154 | 49% \$ | 22,349 | \$ 10,875 | \$ 11,474 | 100% | \$ 154 \$ | 22,349 | \$ 11,474 | \$ - |
| 186 | Annual Inspection - Industrial Permit/SWPP Plan | Flat Fee | 180 | \$ | 75 \$ | 193 | 39% \$ | 34,656 | \$ 13,500 | \$ 21,156 | 100% | \$ 193 \$ | 34,656 | \$ 21,156 | \$ - |
| 187 | Code Compliance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Investigation, Code Enforcement, Permit Compliance Inspection (unless specifically | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 188 | established otherwise) | Hourly | 1 | \$ 1 | 54 \$ | 461 | 33% \$ | 461 | \$ 154 | \$ 307 | 100% | \$ 461 \$ | 461 | \$ 307 | \$ - |
| 188.1 | Storage Tanks | New Discount | 1 | \$ | \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - \$ | - : | \$ - | \$ - |
| 189 | Appeals to the Board of Supervisors | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 190 | Filing Fee Paid by Appellant (in addition to fee for Clerk of the Board) | Flat Fee | 126 | \$ 1,0 | 00 \$ | 1,997 | 50% \$ | 251,628 | \$ 126,000 | \$ 125,628 | 100% | \$ 1,997 \$ | 251,628 | \$ 125,628 | \$ - |
| 190.1 | Administrative Fees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 190.2 | Temporary Event Category 3: 201 to 400 Persons Per Day | New Hourly Fee | 1 | \$ 1 | 77 \$ | 184 | 96% \$ | 184 | \$ 177 | \$ 7 | 100% | \$ 184 \$ | 184 | \$ 7 | \$ - |
| 190.3 | Temporary Event Category 3 Subsequent: 201 to 400 Persons Per Day | New Hourly Fee | 1 | \$ 1 | 77 \$ | 184 | 96% \$ | 184 | \$ 177 | \$ 7 | 100% | \$ 184 \$ | 184 | \$ 7 | \$ - |
| 190.4 | Temporary Event Category 4: 401 Or More Persons Per Day | New Hourly Fee | 1 | \$ 1 | 77 \$ | 184 | 96% \$ | 184 | \$ 177 | \$ 7 | 100% | \$ 184 \$ | 184 | \$ 7 | \$ - |
| 190.5 | Temporary Event Category 4 Subsequent: 401 Or More Persons Per Day | New Hourly Fee | 1 | \$ 1 | 77 \$ | 184 | 96% \$ | 184 | \$ 177 | \$ 7 | 100% | \$ 184 \$ | 184 | \$ 7 | \$ - |
| 191 | Environmental Health Fees from Other Departments - Public Works | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 192 | Final Map - Subdivision | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 2 | 26 \$ | 154 | 147% \$ | 154 | \$ 226 | \$ (72) | 100% | \$ 154 \$ | 154 | \$ (72) | \$ - |
| 193 | Final Map - Parcel | Flat Fee | 5 | \$ 2 | 26 \$ | 154 | 147% \$ | 768 | \$ 1,130 | \$ (362) | 100% | \$ 154 \$ | 768 | \$ (362) | \$ - |
| 194 | Final Map - Amendment | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 2 | 26 \$ | 154 | 147% \$ | 154 | \$ 226 | \$ (72) | 100% | \$ 154 \$ | 154 | \$ (72) | \$ - |
| 196 | Certificate of Compliance - Conditional or Amended | Flat Fee | 5 | \$ 2 | 26 \$ | 154 | 147% \$ | 768 | \$ 1,130 | \$ (362) | 100% | \$ 154 \$ | 768 | \$ (362) | \$ - |
| | Investigation, Code Enforcement, Permit Compliance Inspection (unless specifically | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 198 | established otherwise) | Hourly | 1 | | 25 \$ | 461 | 27% \$ | 461 | | | 100% | | 461 | | |
| 199 | Board of Supervisors | Flat Fee | 1 | | 00 \$ | - | 0% \$ | | \$ 1,000 | , | 100% | | - | \$ (1,000) | • |
| 200 | Filing Fee Paid by Appellant | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 1,0 | 00 \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ 1,000 | \$ (1,000) | 100% | \$ - \$ | - | \$ (1,000) | \$ - |
| 201 | Environmental Health Fees from Other Departments - Planning | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| 202 | Other Admin Permit-Fence, Entry Structure, Temp Trailer, Signs, Balloons, Other | Flat Fee | 17 | | 47 \$ | | 61% \$ | 1,306 | | | 100% | | 1,306 | | |
| 204 | Admin Permit - Viewshed | Flat Fee | 8 | | 94 \$ | | 82% \$ | | \$ 752 | | 100% | | 922 | | • |
| 205 | Land Division/Mergers: Map Exemption | Flat Fee | 1 | | 94 \$ | | 0% \$ | | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | | - : | | |
| 206 | Land Division/Mergers: Tentative Map Revision | Flat Fee | 1 | | 94 \$ | - | 0% \$ | | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | | - : | | • |
| 207 | Site Plan-Standard Approval | Flat Fee | 2 | | 94 \$ | | 61% \$ | | | \$ 119 | 100% | | 307 | | |
| 208 | Site Plan-Modification | Flat Fee | 8 | | 94 \$ | | 61% \$ | | \$ 752 | • | 100% | | 1,229 | | • |
| 209 | Telecommunication-Site Plan Approval | Flat Fee | 2 | | 94 \$ | 77 | 122% \$ | | \$ 188 | | | | 154 | | |
| 210 | Telecommunication-Permit Modification | Flat Fee | 10 | | 94 \$ | 77 | 122% \$ | | \$ 940 | . , | | | 768 | . , | • |
| 211 | Temporary Event: 51 To 400 Persons Per Day | Flat Fee | 4 | | 94 \$ | | 61% \$ | 614 | | | 100% | | 614 | | |
| 212 | Temporary Event: 401 Or More Persons Per Day | Flat Fee | 4 | | 94 \$ | 154 | 61% \$ | | \$ 376 | | 100% | | 614 | - | - |
| 213 | Temporary Event: Non-Profit Wine Auction Related | Flat Fee | 1 | | 94 \$ | | 0% \$ | | | \$ - | 100% | | - : | | |
| 214 | Very Minor Modification (Non-Residential & Residential Uses) | Flat Fee | 7 | • | 94 \$ | 230 | 41% \$ | 1,613 | | | 100% | | 1,613 | | |
| 215 | Winery Administrative Permit | Flat Fee | 12 | | 94 \$ | | 122% \$ | | \$ 1,128 | | | | 922 | | |
| 217 | Certificate Of Non Conformity | Flat Fee | 9 | • | 59 \$ | 115 | 138% \$ | 1,037 | | . , | 100% | | 1,037 | | |
| 218 | Minor Modification (Non-Residential & Residential Uses) | Flat Fee | 6 | | 59 \$ | | 138% \$ | | \$ 954 | | | | 691 | | |
| 219 | Minor Modification (Winery Uses) | Flat Fee | 5 | • | 59 \$ | 307 | 52% \$ | 1,536 | | - | 100% | | 1,536 | | • |
| 220 | Micro Winery | Flat Fee | 5 | | 59 \$ | | 104% \$ | 768 | | | | | 768 | | |
| 221 | Small Winery Exemption (Winery Uses) | Flat Fee | 2 | \$ 1 | .59 \$ | 154 | 104% \$ | 307 | \$ 318 | \$ (11) | 100% | \$ 154 \$ | 307 | \$ (11) | \$ - |



Napa County Environmental Health 1702000

FY2023-2024 Budget

| | | | _ | | | Cu | rrent | | _ | _ | | | Recommenda | tions | | |
|-----|---|---------------------|------------------|-------------|------|---------|--------------------------|----------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | Per | Unit | | | | Annual | | Per | Unit | | Annual | |
| Ord | Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current Fee | Fu | II Cost | Current Recovery % | Annual (| Cost | Annual Revenue | Annual Subsidy | Recovery Level | Fee @ Policy Level | Annual Revenue2 | Increased Revenue | Recommended Subsidy |
| 222 | Variance | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 15 | 9 \$ | 77 | 207% | \$ | 77 \$ | 159 | \$ (82) | 100% | \$ 77 | \$ 77 | \$ (82) | \$ - |
| 223 | Viewshed | Flat Fee | 4 | \$ 15 | 9 \$ | 77 | 207% | \$ | 307 \$ | 636 | \$ (329) | 100% | \$ 77 | \$ 307 | \$ (329) | \$ - |
| 225 | Development Agreement: Approval/Modification | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 26 | 3 \$ | 154 | 171% | \$ | 154 \$ | 263 | \$ (109) | 100% | \$ 154 | \$ 154 | \$ (109) | \$ - |
| 226 | General, Specific, or Airport Land Use Plan | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 26 | 3 \$ | - | 0% | \$ | - \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 227 | Use Permit | Flat Fee | 8 | \$ 26 | 3 \$ | 307 | 86% | \$ 2, | ,458 \$ | 2,104 | \$ 354 | 100% | \$ 307 | \$ 2,458 | \$ 354 | \$ - |
| 228 | Major Modification | Flat Fee | 3 | \$ 26 | 3 \$ | 307 | 86% | \$ | 922 \$ | 789 | \$ 133 | 100% | \$ 307 | \$ 922 | \$ 133 | \$ - |
| 229 | Land Division: Tentative Map (Parcel or Subdivision) | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 9 | 4 \$ | 154 | 61% | \$ | 154 \$ | 94 | \$ 60 | 100% | \$ 154 | \$ 154 | \$ 60 | \$ - |
| 230 | Zoning Map or Text Change | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 26 | 3 \$ | - | 0% | \$ | - \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 232 | Pre-Application Meeting: Office (1 hour mtg, 1 hour prep and follow-up) | Flat Fee | 59 | \$ 19 | 7 \$ | 307 | 64% | \$ 18, | ,127 \$ | 11,623 | \$ 6,504 | 100% | \$ 307 | \$ 18,127 | \$ 6,504 | \$ - |
| 233 | Pre-Application Meeting: Site Visit (Hourly Rate - Minimum 5 hours for prep, travel, meeting and follow-up) | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 19 | 7 \$ | 346 | 57% | \$ | 346 \$ | 197 | \$ 149 | 100% | \$ 346 | \$ 346 | \$ 149 | \$ - |
| 234 | Meetings & Other Activities Not Covered in this Fee Schedule | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 9 | 4 \$ | 115 | 82% | \$ | 115 \$ | 94 | \$ 21 | 100% | \$ 115 | \$ 115 | \$ 21 | \$ - |
| 235 | Winery Status Determination | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 9 | 4 \$ | - | 0% | \$ | - \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 237 | established otherwise) | Hourly | 1 | \$ 12 | 5 \$ | 461 | 27% | \$ | 461 \$ | 125 | \$ 336 | 100% | \$ 461 | \$ 461 | \$ 336 | \$ - |
| 238 | Habitat Restoration or Revegetation Plan | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 9 | 4 \$ | - | 0% | \$ | - \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 239 | Noise Study (per hour) | Hourly | 1 | \$ 12 | 5 \$ | 154 | 81% | \$ | 154 \$ | 125 | \$ 29 | 100% | \$ 154 | \$ 154 | \$ 29 | \$ - |
| 241 | Fish & Wildlife CEQA Review Fee | Flat Fee - Variable | 1 | \$ 3,83 | 9 \$ | - | 0% | \$ | - \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 242 | County Clerk CEQA Recording Fee | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 5 | 0 \$ | - | 0% | \$ | - \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| | Total User Fees % of Full Cost | | | | | | | \$4,285 | 5,832 | \$3,002,186 70% | \$1,283,646 30% | | | \$4,285,832 100% | \$1,283,646 | |



PBES - LEA

Napa County
Local Enforcement Agency 1703000
FY2023-2024 Budget

| | | | | | Per | l lmia | | | Ann | | | Per Un | . ra | | | Annual | | |
|--|-------------------|--------|-------|--------|------|--------|------------|-------------|------|-------|-----------------------|---------|-------|-----|--------|-----------|-------|-----|
| | | Annual | | | | | Current | | | nual | Annual | | Fee @ | Anr | ual | Increased | Rec | omn |
| Service Name | Fee Description | Volum | Curre | nt Fee | Full | l Cost | Recovery - | Annual Coct | | ent 🔽 | Subsid [,] 🔻 | Level P | | | nue: 🔽 | Revenue | _ | |
| 1 Medical Waste | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 Large Quantity Generators without Onsite Treatment | Flat Fee | 9 | \$ | 365 | \$ | 629 | 58% \$ | 5,661 | \$ 3 | 3,285 | \$ 2,376 | 100% \$ | 629 | \$ | 5,661 | \$ 2,376 | \$ i | |
| 3 Large Quantity Generators with Onsite Treatment | Flat Fee | 2 | \$ | 365 | \$ | 629 | 58% \$ | 1,258 | \$ | 730 | \$ 528 | 100% \$ | 629 | \$ | 1,258 | \$ 528 | 3 \$ | |
| 4 Transfer Stations | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 365 | \$ | 629 | 58% \$ | 629 | \$ | 365 | \$ 264 | 100% \$ | 629 | \$ | 629 | \$ 264 | 1 \$ | |
| 5 Common Storage Facilities | Flat Fee | 2 | \$ | 316 | \$ | 629 | 50% \$ | 1,258 | \$ | 632 | \$ 626 | 100% \$ | 629 | \$ | 1,258 | \$ 626 | 5 \$ | |
| 6 Small Quantity Generators with Onsite Treatment | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 316 | \$ | 201 | 157% \$ | 201 | \$ | 316 | \$ (115) | 100% \$ | 201 | \$ | 201 | \$ (115 | 5) \$ | |
| 7 Small Quantity Generators that Self-Hauls | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 116 | \$ | 201 | 58% \$ | 201 | \$ | 116 | \$ 85 | 100% \$ | 201 | \$ | 201 | \$ 85 | 5 \$ | |
| 8 Small Quantity Generators without Onsite Treatment | Flat Fee | 42 | \$ | 67 | \$ | 201 | 33% \$ | 8,431 | \$ 2 | 2,814 | \$ 5,617 | 100% \$ | 201 | \$ | 8,431 | \$ 5,617 | \$ | |
| 9 Tattoo/Body Art Fees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 Plan Check | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 219 | \$ | 442 | 50% \$ | 442 | \$ | 219 | \$ 223 | 100% \$ | 442 | \$ | 442 | \$ 223 | 3 \$ | |
| 11 Inspection - Annual | Flat Fee | 19 | \$ | 299 | \$ | 321 | 93% \$ | 6,102 | \$ 5 | 5,681 | \$ 421 | 100% \$ | 321 | \$ | 6,102 | \$ 423 | L\$ | |
| 12 Practitioner - Annual | Flat Fee | 38 | \$ | 108 | \$ | 201 | 54% \$ | 7,628 | \$ 4 | 1,104 | \$ 3,524 | 100% \$ | 201 | \$ | 7,628 | \$ 3,524 | \$ | |
| 13 Temporary Events | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 100 | \$ | 401 | 25% \$ | 401 | \$ | 100 | \$ 301 | 100% \$ | 401 | \$ | 401 | \$ 30: | L \$ | |
| 14 Solid Waste | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 Plan Amendment (10 hr.min. \$1,250.00) | Hourly | 1 | \$ | 1,250 | \$ | 1,606 | 78% \$ | 1,606 | \$: | 1,250 | \$ 356 | 100% \$ | 1,606 | \$ | 1,606 | \$ 356 | 5 \$ | |
| | NEW FEE-FLAT (1.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | hours, additional | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 Edible Food Recovery Inspection-Flat Fee | services hourly) | 1 | | 234 | | 241 | 97% \$ | | | 234 | | 100% \$ | | | 241 | | 7 \$ | |
| 17 Edible Food Recovery-Additional Services | NEW FEE -FLAT | 1 | \$ | - | \$ | 161 | 0% \$ | 161 | \$ | - | \$ 161 | 100% \$ | 161 | \$ | 161 | \$ 16: | L\$ | |
| Total User Fees | | | | | | | | ¢24.246 | | 9.846 | 644272 | | | | 24.240 | 64427 | 2 | |
| | | | | | | | | \$34,219 | \$1 | - , - | \$14,373 | | | > | 34,219 | \$14,37 | | |
| % of Full Cost | | | | | | | | | | 58% | 42% | | | | 100% | 72 | 6 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

FY25-26

164.66

FY26-27

168.74

FY23-24 FY24-25

160.59

155.93



*In FY25-26 - COLA will add 3.5% to Salaries and Benefit \$ 19,283.53 *In FY 26-27 - COLA will add 3.5% to Salaries and Benefit \$ 19,283.53

CHART: LEA Division Hourly Rate with COLA

Fire

Napa County 2100 - Fire Protection FY2023-2024 Budget

| | | | | | | | Cu | rrent | | | | | Recommen | dations | |
|------|--|--|------------------|-------------|--------|---------------------|--------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | Per U | nit | | | Annual | | Per | Unit | | Annual | |
| Ord | Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current Fee | Full C | ost Curre Recove | | annual Cost | Annual Revenue | Annual Subsidy | Recovery Level | Fee @ Policy Level | Annual Revenue2 | Increased Revenue | Recommended Subsidy |
| 1 | Fire Permits | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Fire Systems Review and Inspection: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Sprinklers, 1-99 Sprinkler Heads | Flat Fee \$607 + 9.36% of the | 61 | 607 | \$ | .,417 | 43% \$ | 86,460 | \$ 37,027 | \$ 49,433 | 100% | \$ 1,417 | \$ 86,460 | \$ 49,433 | \$ - |
| 4 | Sprinklers,100-199 Sprinkler Heads | contract price \$2032 + 1.04% of the | 81 | 607 | \$ | ,126 | 29% \$ | 172,212 | \$ 49,167 | \$ 123,045 | 100% | \$ 2,126 | \$ 172,212 | \$ 123,045 | \$ - |
| 5 | Sprinklers, 200+ Sprinkler Heads | contract price | 61 | 2,032 | \$ | ,835 | 72% \$ | 172,921 | \$ 123,952 | \$ 48,969 | 100% | \$ 2,835 | \$ 172,921 | \$ 48,969 | \$ - |
| 5.1 | Fire Alarms, <25 Devices | New Fee/Divided out | 1 | - | \$ | ,417 | 0% \$ | 1,417 | \$ - | \$ 1,417 | 100% | \$ 1,417 | \$ 1,417 | \$ 1,417 | \$ - |
| 5.2 | Fire Alarms, 25-50 Devices | New Fee/Divided out | 1 | - | \$ | ,126 | 0% \$ | 2,126 | \$ - | \$ 2,126 | 100% | \$ 2,126 | \$ 2,126 | \$ 2,126 | \$ - |
| 5.3 | Fire Alarms, 51-100 Devices | New Fee/Divided out | 1 | - | \$ | ,835 | 0% \$ | 2,835 | \$ - | \$ 2,835 | 100% | \$ 2,835 | \$ 2,835 | \$ 2,835 | \$ - |
| 5.4 | Fire Alarms, 101-200 Devices | New Fee/Divided out | 1 | - | \$ | ,543 | 0% \$ | 3,543 | \$ - | \$ 3,543 | 100% | \$ 3,543 | \$ 3,543 | \$ 3,543 | \$ - |
| 5.5 | Fire Alarms, 201+ Devices | New Fee/Divided out | 1 | - | \$ | ,252 | 0% \$ | 4,252 | \$ - | \$ 4,252 | 100% | \$ 4,252 | \$ 4,252 | \$ 4,252 | \$ - |
| 5.6 | Alarm Panel Communicator Upgrade | New Fee/Divided out | 1 | - | \$ | 709 | 0% \$ | 709 | \$ - | \$ 709 | 100% | \$ 709 | \$ 709 | \$ 709 | \$ - |
| 5.7 | Dedicated Functional Systems | New Fee/Divided out | 1 | - | \$ | 709 | 0% \$ | 709 | \$ - | \$ 709 | 100% | \$ 709 | \$ 709 | \$ 709 | \$ - |
| 6 | Fire Pump and Tank | Flat Fee | 11 | 1,235 | \$ | ,417 | 87% \$ | 15,591 | \$ 13,585 | \$ 2,006 | 100% | \$ 1,417 | \$ 15,591 | \$ 2,006 | \$ - |
| 7 | Hydrant: base | Flat Fee | 1 | 781 | \$ | 827 | 94% \$ | 827 | \$ 781 | \$ 46 | 100% | \$ 827 | \$ 827 | \$ 46 | \$ - |
| 8 | Hydrant: each additional >3 | Flat Fee | 1 | 162 | \$ | 331 | 49% \$ | 331 | \$ 162 | \$ 169 | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ 331 | \$ 169 | \$ - |
| 9 | Fire Standpipe | Flat Fee | 1 | 934 | \$ | 993 | 94% \$ | 993 | \$ 934 | \$ 59 | 100% | \$ 993 | \$ 993 | \$ 59 | \$ - |
| 9.1 | Wet Chemical/Kitchen Hood | Flat Fee | 1 | 1,062 | \$ | ,324 | 80% \$ | 1,324 | \$ 1,062 | \$ 262 | 100% | \$ 1,324 | \$ 1,324 | \$ 262 | \$ - |
| 10 | Clean Agent Gas, Dry Chemical, Carbon Dioxide, Foam, & Paint Spray Booth | Flat Fee | 1 | 1,235 | \$ | .,417 | 87% \$ | 1,417 | \$ 1,235 | \$ 182 | 90% | \$ 1,276 | \$ 1,276 | \$ 41 | \$ 142 |
| 11 | Dry Chemical | Remove | - : | 888 | \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 13 | Foam | Remove | - | 934 | \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | ş - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 14 | Paint Spray Booth | Remove | - : | 1,043 | \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 15 | ERRC (Emergency Responder Radio Coverage Systems) | New Fee - Hourly | - | · - | \$ | 331 | 0% \$ | | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 331 | ş - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 18 | Additional (Applied on 3rd Field Reinspections and each subsequent) | Hourly | 5 | 181 | \$ | 331 | 55% \$ | 1,655 | \$ 905 | \$ 750 | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ 1,655 | \$ 750 | s - |
| 18.1 | Resubmittal (Applied on the 3rd resubmittal and each subsequent) | New Fee - Hourly | 1 | s - | ş | 331 | 0% \$ | 331 | \$ - | \$ 331 | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ 331 | \$ 331 | \$ - |
| 19 | Fire Safety Review and Inspection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | Tents, Canopies, Membrane Structures | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21.1 | Tent Size 400-1500 sq ft. | New Fee - Flat Fee | 38 | 185 | \$ | 695 | 27% \$ | 26,406 | \$ 7,030 | \$ 19,376 | 100% | \$ 695 | \$ 26,406 | \$ 19,376 | s - |
| 21.2 | Tent Size 1501-5000 sq ft. | New Fee - Flat Fee | 32 | | \$ | ,042 | 0% \$ | 33,355 | s - | \$ 33,355 | 100% | \$ 1,042 | \$ 33,355 | \$ 33,355 | \$ - |
| 21.3 | Tent Size ≥ 5001 sq ft. | New Fee - Flat Fee | 11 | - | \$ | ,564 | 0% \$ | 17,199 | s - | \$ 17,199 | 100% | \$ 1,564 | \$ 17,199 | \$ 17,199 | s - |
| | Tent Rush Fee (Additional 50% if submitted < 14 days from | New Fee - 50% of the appropriate tent size | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21.4 | event) | fee | 10 | - | \$ | 550 | 0% \$ | 5,501 | \$ - | \$ 5,501 | 100% | \$ 550 | \$ 5,501 | \$ 5,501 | \$ - |
| 26 | High Piled Combustible Storage and Warehousing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | 0 - 12,000 sq. ft. area of storage | New Fee | 1 | - | \$ | 659 | 0% \$ | 659 | \$ - | \$ 659 | 100% | \$ 659 | \$ 659 | \$ 659 | \$ - |
| 28 | 12,001 - 250,000 sq. ft. area of storage | New Fee | 1 | - | \$ | 742 | 0% \$ | 742 | \$ - | \$ 742 | 100% | \$ 742 | \$ 742 | \$ 742 | \$ - |
| 30 | 250,001 - 1,000,000 sq. ft. area of storage Annual Business Inspection for High Piled Combustible | New Fee | 1 | - | \$ | ,319 | 0% \$ | 1,319 | \$ - | \$ 1,319 | 100% | \$ 1,319 | \$ 1,319 | \$ 1,319 | \$ - |
| 31 | Storage and Warehousing | New Hourly Fee | 1 | - | \$ | 331 | 0% \$ | 331 | \$ - | \$ 331 | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ 331 | \$ 331 | \$ - |
| 32 | Other Fees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | Late Fee | Fee/Penalty - 15% | 24 | - | \$ | | 0% \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 33.1 | Standby Time at Special Events | Actual Cost | 1 | - | \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |



Napa County 2100 - Fire Protection FY2023-2024 Budget

| | | | | | | | (| Urrent | | | | | | Recomme | ndations | | |
|------|---|---|------------------|--------|-------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------|------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------|------------------|
| | | | | | | Per Unit | | | | Annual | | Per U | Init | | Annual | | |
| Ord | Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Currer | t Fee | Full Cost | Current Recovery % | Annual Cost | Annı | ual Revenue | Annual Subsidy | Recovery Level | Fee @ Policy Level | Annual Revenue2 | Increased Revenue | | nmended bsidy |
| 33.2 | Hazardous Materials Incidence Response | Actual Cost | 1 | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 33.3 | Fireworks Display | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 1,485 | \$ 1,820 | 82% | \$ 1,820 | \$ | 1,485 | \$ 335 | 100% | \$ 1,820 | \$ 1,820 | 335 | \$ | - |
| | Annual Compliance Inspections - Code Enforcement, State Mandated Inspections, Annual Business Inspections, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Storage and Warehousing Operational Permits | New Fee - Hourly | | \$ | - | \$ 331 | 0% | • | | - | \$ 331 | 100% | | • | | . \$ | - |
| 33.6 | Temporary Events | New Fee | 1 | \$ | - | \$ 331 | 0% | | - | | \$ 331 | 100% | | | | \$ | - |
| 33.7 | Special Events | New Fee - Hourly | 1 | \$ | - | \$ 331 | 0% | | \$ | | \$ 331 | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ 33: | 1 \$ 331 | \$ | - |
| 33.8 | Monitoring Fee (For Redlined/Modified Plans) | New Fee - Hourly Fee | 1 | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 37 | Fire Fees from other Departments - Engineering | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | Code Compliance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | Investigation, Code Enforcement, Permit Compliance Inspection (unless specifically established otherwise) | Hourly | - | \$ | 181 | \$ 331 | 55% | ş - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 40 | Appeals to the Board of Supervisors | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 41 | Filing Fee Paid by Appellant | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 416 | \$ 662 | 63% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 662 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 42 | Fire Fees from other Departments - Building | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 43 | Building Inspection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | Temporary Trailer Placement | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 181 | \$ 331 | 55% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 45 | Commercial - New Building (includes 2 inspections) | inspection fee | - | \$ | - | \$ 575 | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 575 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 46 | Residential - New Dwelling | inspection fee | - | \$ | - | \$ 485 | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 485 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 47 | All Other Building Projects | inspection fee | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 48 | Temporary Certificate of Occupancy | Hourly | - | \$ | 181 | \$ 414 | 44% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 414 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 49 | Additional Inspections or Re-Inspections | Hourly | - | \$ | 181 | \$ 414 | 44% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 414 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 50 | Building Plan Review | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | Commercial - New | 22% of the building plan review fee | | \$ | | \$ 331 | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| | | 22% of the building | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | Commercial - Alteration | plan review fee 22% of the building | - | \$ | - | \$ 331 | 0% | | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | | | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 53 | Commercial - Permit Alteration/Revision | plan review fee | - | \$ | - | \$ 331 | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 54 | Residential - New | 22% of the building plan review fee | _ | \$ | _ | \$ 331 | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | _ |
| | | 22% of the building | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 55 | Residential - Alteration | plan review fee | - | \$ | - | \$ 331 | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 56 | Residential - Permit Alteration/Revision | 22% of the building plan review fee 22% of the building | - | \$ | - | \$ 331 | 0% | ş - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 57 | Same Day (Over the Counter) | plan review fee | _ | s | _ | \$ 331 | 0% | s - | s | _ | \$ - | 100% | \$ 331 | s - | \$ - | s | _ |
| ٥. | some buy (over the country) | 22% of the building | | Ÿ | | Ų 001 | 0,0 | ¥ | Y | | • | 10070 | , ,,, | Ÿ | ¥ | Ÿ | |
| 58 | Quick Permit | plan review fee | - | \$ | - | \$ 331 | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 59 | Additional Plan Review | Hourly | - | \$ | 181 | \$ 331 | 55% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 59.1 | Vehicle Access Gate | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 217 | \$ 331 | 66% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 59.2 | Above Ground Tank or Pipe | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 587 | \$ 662 | 89% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 662 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 59.3 | Refrigeration | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 760 | \$ 827 | 92% | ş - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 827 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 60 | Solar Permits | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 61 | Hourly Rate | Hourly | - | \$ | 181 | \$ 331 | 55% | \$ - | \$ | - | ş - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - |
| 62 | Surcharge | Hourly | - | \$ | 187 | \$ 342 | 55% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | | | \$ - | | - |
| | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | Cur | rent | | | | | | Re | ecom | mendat | ions | | | |
|--|--|--------|--------|-------|-----------|------------|-----------|----|---------|----|---------|----------|--------------|------|--------|------|--------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | Per Unit | | | | Annual | | | Per | Unit | | | Α | nnual | | |
| Ord | Fee Description | Annual | Currer | + Eoo | Full Cost | Current | Annual Co | | Annual | | Annual | Recovery | Fee @ Policy | A | nnual | Inc | reased | Recom | mende |
| Service Name | ree Description | Volume | Conten | itree | FUILCOST | Recovery % | Annual Co | st | Revenue | | Subsidy | Level | Level | Rev | venue2 | Re | venue | Sul | bsidy |
| 63 Code Compliance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 64 specifically established otherwise) | Hourly | - | \$ | 181 | \$ 33 | 55% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 65 Investigation | Hourly | - | \$ | 181 | \$ 33 | 55% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 66 Appeals to the Board of Supervisors | | - | \$ | - | \$ 99 | 3 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ 993 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Filing Fee Paid by Appellant (in addition to fee for Clerk of 67 the Board) | Flat Fee | | \$ | 736 | \$ 99 | 3 74% | s - | \$ | - | s | _ | 100% | \$ 993 | \$ | | \$ | _ | s | _ |
| 68 Fees Collected for State Agencies | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| State Strong Motion Fee: Category 1 Building Permit (1 to 3 69 Story Residential) | (Valuation Amount) X 0.0001 , Minimum Fee = \$0.50 | | \$ | _ | \$ - | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | _ | 100% | \$ - | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | - |
| State Strong Motion Fee: Category 2 Building Permit (all 70 construction not included in Category 1) | (Valuation Amount) X 0.00021, Minimum Fee = \$0.50 | _ | s | | s - | 0% | | s | | s | | 100% | | s | | s | | S | |
| 71 State Building Standards Commission Surcharge | State fee | | \$ | 1 | | 0% | | s | _ | s | | 100% | | s | | s | | s | |
| 72 Fire Fees from other Departments - Planning | | | Ť | | • | 0,0 | * | Ţ | | Ť | | 150% | | Ť | | | | 1 | |
| 73 Minor Administrative | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 74 Signs, Balloons, Other | Flat Fee | - | s | 227 | \$ 33: | 69% | s - | s | - | s | | 100% | \$ 331 | s | - | s | - | s | |
| 75 Administrative | | | Ť | | - 55 | . 03/0 | , | ۰ | | Ţ | | 100/6 | , 551 | | | Ţ | | , i | |
| 76 Land Division/Mergers: Map Exemption | Flat Fee | | s | 227 | s - | 0% | s - | s | - | s | | 100% | s - | s | _ | s | - | s | |
| 77 Land Division/Mergers: Tentative Map Revision | Flat Fee | _ | S | 227 | | 0% | * | s | - | s | | 100% | * | S | - | S | _ | S | _ |
| 78 Site Plan-Standard Approval | Flat Fee | _ | S | | s - | 0% | • | s | - | s | _ | 100% | • | Ś | - | S | | S | |
| 79 Site Plan-Modification | Flat Fee | | S | 227 | s - | 0% | | S | - | S | | 100% | | S | _ | S | _ | S | - |
| 80 Telecommunication-Site Plan Approval | Flat Fee | | s | 227 | • | 0% | • | s | - | s | | 100% | | S | | S | _ | Ś | |
| 81 Telecommunication-Permit Modification | Flat Fee | | S | | s - | 0% | | s | - | s | | 100% | | s | | S | | Ś | - |
| 82 Temporary Event: 51 To 400 Persons Per Day | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 151 | • | 0% | • | s | - | s | _ | 100% | • | s | _ | s | | \$ | |
| 83 Temporary Event: 401 Or More Persons Per Day | Flat Fee | | S | 151 | | 0% | | s | - | S | | 100% | | s | | S | - | S | - |
| 84 Temporary Event: Non-Profit Wine Auction Related | Flat Fee | _ | s | 151 | • | 0% | | s | - | \$ | - | 100% | | s | - | s | | s | |
| 85 Uses) | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 330 | | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 86 Winery Administrative Permit | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 300 | \$ - | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 86.1 Temporary Event Category 3: 201 to 400 Persons Per Day | New Fee - Hourly | - | \$ | 177 | \$ 33: | 53% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Temporary Event Category 3 Subsequent: 201 to 400 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 86.2 Persons Per Day | New Fee - Hourly | - | \$ | 177 | \$ 33 | 53% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 86.3 Temporary Event Category 4: 401 Or More Persons Per Day Temporary Event Category 4 Subsequent: 401 Or More | New Fee - Hourly | - | \$ | 177 | \$ 33 | 53% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 86.4 Persons Per Day | New Fee - Hourly | - | \$ | 177 | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | , | | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 86.5 Festival Permit Application (forthcoming Ordinance) | New Fee - Hourly | - | \$ | 177 | \$ 3,30 | 5% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ 3,309 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 87 Zoning Administrator Permits | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 88 Certificate Of Non Conformity | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 227 | \$ - | 0% | • | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | • | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 89 Minor Modification (Non-Residential & Residential Uses) | Flat Fee | - | \$ | | \$ - | 0% | | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 90 Minor Modification (Winery Uses) | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 330 | • | 0% | • | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 91 Micro Winery | Flat Fee | - | \$ | | \$ - | 0% | | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | |
| 92 Small Winery Exemption (Winery Uses) | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 330 | * | 0% | * | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | * | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 93 Variance | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 227 | | 0% | | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 94 Viewshed | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 227 | \$ - | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 95 Planning Commission/ALUC/BOS | UI | | • | 451 | | | • | | | | | | | | | • | | • | |
| 96 Development Agreement: Approval/Modification | Hourly | - | \$ | 181 | | | • | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | | | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 97 General, Specific, or Airport Land Use Plan | Hourly | - | \$ | 181 | | | | \$ | - | \$ | | 100% | • | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | |
| 98 Use Permit | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 639 | | 0% | | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | • | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 99 Major Modification | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 433 | | 0% | | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | • | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 100 Land Division: Tentative Map (Parcel or Subdivision) | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 227 | | 0% | • | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 101 Zoning Map or Text Change | Hourly | - | \$ | 181 | \$ 33 | 55% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ 331 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |



Napa County 2100 - Fire Protection FY2023-2024 Budget

| | | | | | | Cu | rrent | | | | | | | | Reco | mmenda | tions | | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------------------|-------|---------|----|----------------|----|---------------|-------------------|----------------------|------|--------------------|-------|------------------|----|-------------------|
| | | | | | Per Unit | | | | Aı | nnual | | | Per U | nit | | | A | Annual | | |
| Ord Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current F | ee | Full Cost | Current Recovery % | Annu | al Cost | | nnual venue | | nual osidy | Recovery Level | Fee @ Polic Level | • | Annual Revenue2 | | reased evenue | | nmended obsidy |
| 102 Miscellaneous Planning Services | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre-Application Meeting: Office (1 hour mtg, 1 hour prep | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 103 and follow-up) | Flat Fee | - | \$ 2 | 27 \$ | \$ - | 09 | 6 \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Pre-Application Meeting: Site Visit (Hourly Rate - Minimum | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 104 5 hours for prep, travel, meeting and follow-up) | Flat Fee | - | \$ 1,0 | 000 \$ | \$ - | 09 | 6 \$ | - | Ş | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ - | \$ | - | Ş | - | \$ | - |
| Use & Status Determination, Condition Complete Analysis Research, Consultation, Meetings & Other Activities Not | & | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 105 Covered in this Fee Schedule | Flat Fee | | s : | 27 5 | | 09 | 6 S | | S | | s | _ | 100% | ٠. | s | | c | | s | |
| 106 Winery Status Determination | Flat Fee | | | 27 \$ | | | 6 S | | S | | S | | 100% | | ۰ | | S | | S | |
| 107 Code Compliance | Tiditiee | | , , | .2/ , | , - | 0, | ر ه | | ٠ | | , | | 100% | , - | ٠ | | ٠ | | ٠ | |
| Investigation, Code Enforcement, Permit Compliance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 108 Inspection (unless specifically established otherwise) | Hourly | _ | s : | 81 9 | 3 33 | 1 559 | 6 S | _ | s | _ | s | _ | 100% | \$ 33 | 1 \$ | | s | _ | s | - |
| 109 Habitat Restoration or Revegetation Plan | Hourly | | s : | 81 5 | 3 33 | 1 559 | 6 \$ | | s | | s | - | 100% | | 1 \$ | | s | _ | s | |
| 110 Fire Fees from other Departments - Public Works | ŕ | | · | · | | | · | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 111 Public Works Map Approval | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 112 Final Map - Subdivision | Flat Fee | | s : | 27 5 | s - | 09 | 6 \$ | | s | - | s | | 100% | s - | s | | s | | s | - |
| 113 Final Map - Parcel | Flat Fee | | s : | 27 5 | · 5 - | 09 | 6 S | | s | | s | - | 100% | s - | s | | s | _ | s | |
| 114 Final Map - Amendment | Flat Fee | | | 27 5 | | | 6 \$ | | s | | S | _ | 100% | | s | | s | _ | s | _ |
| 115 Public Works Certificate of Compliance | | | | | | | , | | Ť | | - | | | • | Ť | | Ť | | - | |
| 116 Conditional or Amended | Flat Fee | | s : | 27 5 | s - | 09 | 6 \$ | | s | - | s | - | 100% | s - | s | | s | _ | s | |
| 117 Code Compliance | | | | | | | , | | Ť | | - | | | • | Ť | | - | | - | |
| Investigation, Code Enforcement, Permit Compliance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 118 Inspection (unless specifically established otherwise) | Hourly | - | \$ | 81 \$ | 33 | 1 559 | 6 \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ 33 | 1 \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 119 Appeals to the Board of Supervisors | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 120 Filing Fee Paid by Appellant | Flat Fee | - | \$ 1,0 | 000 \$ | ş - | 09 | 6 \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total User Fees | | | | | | | \$5 | 60,036 | \$ | 240,190 | | 319,846 | | | | \$559,894 | | 319,70 | | \$142 |
| % of Full Cost | | | | | | | | | | 43% | | 57% | | | | 100% | 6 | 1339 | 6 | 0% |



Public Works

NAPA County

Public Works Dept

2024

| | | | | D 11-1/ | Curre | ent | , | | | | | Recommenda | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------|------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | _ | | | Per Unit | | | Ani | nual | | Per | Unit | | Annual | |
| rd Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current Fee | Full Cost | Current Recovery % | nnual Cost | | nnual venue | Annual Subsidy | Recovery Level | Fee @ Policy Level | Annual Revenuez | Increased Revenue | Recommende Subsidy |
| 1 Public Works Certificate of Compliance | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 Public Works Notice Fee (Conditional or Amended) | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 137 | \$ - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | 137 | \$ (137) | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (137 | ') \$ - |
| 3 COC Amended | Deposit \$4,000 + T&M | 1 | \$ 1,623 | \$ 2,364 | 69% \$ | 2,364 | \$ | 1,623 | \$ 741 | 100% | \$ 2,364 | \$ 2,364 | \$ 741 | . \$ - |
| 4 COC Conditional | Deposit \$4,000 + T&M | 18 | \$ 1,623 | \$ 1,713 | 95% \$ | 30,828 | \$ | 29,217 | \$ 1,611 | 100% | \$ 1,713 | \$ 30,828 | \$ 1,611 | . \$ - |
| 5 COC Expedited | Flat Fee | 20 | \$ 463 | \$ 639 | 73% \$ | 12,777 | \$ | 9,268 | \$ 3,509 | 100% | \$ 639 | \$ 12,777 | \$ 3,509 | \$ - |
| 6 COC Unconditional | Deposit \$4,000 + T&M | 17 | \$ 595 | \$ 1,503 | 40% \$ | 25,553 | \$ | 10,114 | \$ 15,439 | 100% | \$ 1,503 | \$ 25,553 | \$ 15,439 | \$ - |
| 7 COC Notice of Satisfaction | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 275 | \$ 344 | 80% \$ | 344 | \$ | 275 | \$ 69 | 100% | \$ 344 | \$ 344 | \$ 69 | \$ - |
| 8 Public Works Map Approval | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Certificate of Correction: base (state mandate) | Flat Fee | - | \$ 16 | \$ - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - 5 | \$ - | | Statutory Requ | irement - Rate se | t by the State | |
| 10 Certificate of Correction - additional per page | per page | - | \$ 3 | ş - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - 5 | \$ - | | Statutory Requ | irement - Rate se | t by the State | |
| 11 Corner Record: base | Flat Fee | - | \$ 10 | \$ - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - 5 | \$ - | | Statutory Requ | iirement - Rate se | t by the State | |
| 12 Corner Record: additional per page | Remove | - | \$ 3 | \$ 17 | 17% \$ | - | \$ | - 5 | \$ - | | Recommend r | emoving from sci | hedule | |
| 13 Amended Final Map | Deposit \$1,000 + T&M | 1 | \$ 233 | \$ 2,633 | 9% \$ | 2,633 | \$ | 233 | \$ 2,400 | 100% | \$ 2,633 | \$ 2,633 | \$ 2,400 | \$ - |
| 14 Parcel Map | Deposit \$6,000 + T&M | 1 | \$ 4,848 | \$ 6,155 | 79% \$ | 6,155 | \$ | 4,848 | \$ 1,307 | 100% | \$ 6,155 | \$ 6,155 | \$ 1,307 | \$ - |
| 15 Final Map - Subdivision | Deposit \$8,000 + T&M | 1 | \$ 4,848 | \$ 7,705 | 63% \$ | 7,705 | \$ | 4,848 | \$ 2,857 | 100% | \$ 7,705 | \$ 7,705 | \$ 2,857 | \$ - |
| 16 Historical Record Research | Remove | - | \$ 141 | \$ - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - 5 | \$ - | | Recommend r | emoving from scl | hedule | |
| 17 LAFCO Review | Flat Fee | 5 | \$ 245 | \$ 309 | 79% \$ | 1,546 | \$ | 1,225 | \$ 321 | 100% | \$ 309 | \$ 1,546 | \$ 321 | . \$ - |
| 18 Lot Line Adjustment | Flat Fee | 29 | \$ 1,666 | \$ 1,790 | 93% \$ | 51,896 | \$ | 48,314 | \$ 3,582 | 100% | \$ 1,790 | \$ 51,896 | \$ 3,582 | \$ - |
| 19 Voluntary Parcel Merger (Minor Lot Line Adjust) | Flat Fee | 19 | \$ 511 | \$ 1,171 | 44% \$ | 22,256 | \$ | 9,709 | \$ 12,547 | 100% | \$ 1,171 | \$ 22,256 | \$ 12,547 | \$ - |
| 20 Lot Line Adjustment Bundled | Remove | - | \$ 1,847 | ş - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - 5 | \$ - | | Recommend r | emoving from scl | hedule | |
| 21 Record of Survey | Flat Fee | 73 | \$ 737 | \$ 825 | 89% \$ | 60,202 | \$ | 53,801 | \$ 6,401 | 100% | \$ 825 | \$ 60,202 | \$ 6,401 | . \$ - |
| 22 Public Works ROW Permits and Approvals | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 Encroachment Permit | Flat Fee | 100 | \$ 451 | \$ 550 | 82% \$ | 54,979 | \$ | 45,100 | \$ 9,879 | 100% | \$ 550 | \$ 54,979 | \$ 9,879 | \$ - |
| 24 Encroachment Permit - utilities - Flat Fee | Flat Fee | 317 | \$ 451 | \$ 515 | 87% \$ | 163,391 | \$: | 142,967 | \$ 20,424 | 100% | \$ 515 | \$ 163,391 | \$ 20,424 | \$ - |
| Encroachment Permit - utilities - multiple permit account (deposit | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 required) | Remove | - | \$ - | \$ - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - (| \$ - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Encroachment (larger road improvement and trenching) (deposit | Harriet Laborator | | | | 0001 | 0.577 | • | 2.205 | | 1000 | | | | |
| 26 required) | Hourly + deposit | 15 | | | | | | 2,295 | | 100% | | | | \$ - |
| 27 Temporary Encroachment | Flat Fee - NEW FEE | 12 | \$ - | \$ 275 | 0% \$ | 3,299 | \$ | - (| \$ 3,299 | 100% | \$ 275 | \$ 3,299 | \$ 3,299 | · \$ - |



Public Works Dept

| | | | | | Curre | ent | | | | Recommendations Per Unit Annual | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------|------|-----------|---------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|--|
| | | | | Per Unit | | | Ann | nual | | Per | Unit | | Annı | ıal | | |
| Ord Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current Fee | Full Cost | Current Recovery % | nnual Cost | | | Annual | Recovery Level | Fee @ Policy Level | Annual Revenue2 | Increa Rever | | Recommen Subsidy | |
| 28 Road Abandonment | Remove | - | \$ 153 | \$ - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | - | | Recommend r | emoving from sc | hedule | | | |
| 29 Abandonment of Irrevocable Offer | Remove | - | \$ 153 | \$ - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | - | | Recommend r | emoving from sc | hedule | | | |
| 30 Overload, Overheight, Overwidth Permit- Annual Trip(s) | Fixed Fee | 1 | \$ 90 | \$ 103 | 87% \$ | 103 | \$ | 90 \$ | 13 | 100% | \$ 103 | \$ 103 | \$ | 13 | \$ | |
| 31 Overload, Overheight, Overwidth Permit- Single Trip | Fixed Fee | 1 | \$ 15 | \$ 17 | 87% \$ | 17 | \$ | 15 \$ | 2 | | Statutory Requ | iirement - Rate se | et by the St | ate | | |
| 32 Parking Permit | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 22 | \$ 52 | 43% \$ | 52 | \$ | 22 \$ | 30 | 100% | \$ 52 | \$ 52 | \$ | 30 | \$ | |
| 33 Pre-Application Meeting | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 306 | \$ 1,184 | 26% \$ | 1,184 | \$ | 306 \$ | 878 | 100% | \$ 1,184 | \$ 1,184 | \$ | 878 | \$ | |
| 34 Consultation (first two hours free) | \$1,000 deposit + Time and Materials | 1 | \$ 153 | \$ 344 | 45% \$ | 344 | \$ | 153 \$ | 191 | 100% | \$ 344 | \$ 344 | \$ | 191 | \$ | |
| 35 Right of Way or Easement Abandonment | \$5,000 deposit + Time and Materials | 1 | \$ 153 | \$ 1,451 | 11% \$ | 1,451 | \$ | 153 \$ | 1,298 | 100% | \$ 1,451 | \$ 1,451 | \$ | 1,298 | \$ | |
| 36 Special Events Waiver Fee | Flat Fee | - | \$ 149 | ş - | 0% \$ | - | Ş | - \$ | - | 100% | \$ 149 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | |
| Special Events & Filming App. Review (\$364.50) Special Events & 37 Filming Permit (\$364.50) | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 729 | \$ 794 | 92% \$ | 794 | \$ | 729 \$ | 65 | 100% | \$ 794 | \$ 794 | \$ | 65 | \$ | |
| 38 Appeals to the Board of Supervisors | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 39 Filing Fee Paid by Appellant | Flat Fee | 126 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 2,019 | 50% \$ | 254,365 | \$ 1 | 26,000 \$ | 128,365 | 100% | \$ 2,000 | \$ 252,000 | \$ 12 | 26,000 | \$ 2,3 | |
| 40 Public Right of Way Encroachment | Remove | - | \$ - | \$ - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | - | | Recommend r | emoving from sc | hedule | | | |
| 41 Single Driveways | Remove | - | \$ - | \$ - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | - | | Recommend r | emoving from sc | hedule | | | |
| 42 Bid Protest Fee | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ 875 | \$ 1,471 | 59% \$ | 1,471 | \$ | 875 \$ | 596 | 100% | \$ 1,471 | \$ 1,471 | \$ | 596 | \$ | |
| 43 Administrative Fees (Roads) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 Temporary Event Category 3: 201 to 400 Persons Per Day | New Fee - Hourly | 1 | \$ 172 | \$ 172 | 100% \$ | 172 | \$ | 172 \$ | - | 100% | \$ 172 | \$ 172 | \$ | - | \$ | |
| 45 Temporary Event Category 3 Subsequent: 201 to 400 Persons Per Day | New Fee - Hourly | 1 | \$ 172 | \$ 172 | 100% \$ | 172 | \$ | 172 \$ | - | 100% | \$ 172 | \$ 172 | \$ | - | \$ | |
| 46 Temporary Event Category 4: 401 Or More Persons Per Day | New Fee - Hourly | 1 | \$ 172 | \$ 172 | 100% \$ | 172 | \$ | 172 \$ | - | 100% | \$ 172 | \$ 172 | \$ | - | \$ | |
| 47 Temporary Event Category 4 Subsequent: 401 Or More Persons Per Day | New Fee - Hourly | 1 | \$ 172 | \$ 172 | 100% \$ | 172 | \$ | 172 \$ | - | 100% | \$ 172 | \$ 172 | \$ | - | \$ | |
| 48 Flood District - Permits and Reviews | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 49 Temporary/Permanent Encroachment Permit (Standard forms) | Flat Fee - NEW FEE | 4 | \$ 20 | \$ 172 | 12% \$ | 687 | \$ | 80 \$ | 607 | 100% | \$ 172 | \$ 687 | \$ | 607 | \$ | |
| 50 Permanent Encroachment (large projects/special conditions) | Hourly - NEW FEE | 2 | \$ 35 | \$ 172 | 20% \$ | 344 | \$ | 70 \$ | 274 | 100% | \$ 172 | \$ 344 | \$ | 274 | \$ | |
| 51 Temporary Encroachment | Hourly - NEW FEE | 2 | \$ 50 | \$ 172 | 29% \$ | 344 | \$ | 100 \$ | 244 | 100% | \$ 172 | \$ 344 | \$ | 244 | \$ | |
| 52 Design Plan Review - Initial Consultation | 1st Hour Free -NEW FEE | 5 | \$ 55 | \$ 172 | 32% \$ | 859 | \$ | 275 \$ | 584 | 100% | \$ 172 | \$ 859 | \$ | 584 | \$ | |
| 53 Design Plan Review - Additional Consultation | Hourly - NEW FEE | 3 | \$ 91 | \$ 172 | 53% \$ | 515 | \$ | 273 \$ | 242 | 100% | \$ 172 | \$ 515 | \$ | 242 | \$ | |



NAPA County
Public Works Dept
2024

| **** | | | | Current Recom | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | Per Unit | | | Annual | | Per | Unit | | Annual | |
| Ord | Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current Fee | Full Cost | Current Recovery % | nnual Cost | Annual Revenue | Annual Subsidy | Recovery Level | Fee @ Policy Level | Annual Revenue2 | Increased Revenue | Recommended Subsidy |
| 54 F | ood District - Appeals to the Board | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 55 G | eneral Descision Appeal Filing Fee - Paid by Appellant | Penalty - NEW FEE | 126 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,03 | 97% \$ | 129,888 | \$ 126,00 | 0 \$ 3,888 | 100% | \$ 1,031 | \$ 129,888 | \$ 3,888 | \$ - |
| 56 B | id Protest Fee - Paid by Protester | Flat Fee - NEW FEE | 1 | \$ 875 | \$ 1,033 | 85% \$ | 1,031 | \$ 87 | 5 \$ 156 | 100% | \$ 1,031 | \$ 1,031 | \$ 156 | \$ - |
| 57 R | oads Non Fee Activities | Non Fee | 1 | \$ - | \$ - | 0% \$ | - | \$ - | ş - | 100% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 58 P | ublic Works Fees from Other Departments - Planning | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 59 W | /inery Administrative Permit | Change to Hourly | - | \$ 199 | \$ 172 | 116% \$ | - | \$ - | ş - | 100% | \$ 172 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 60 N | linor Modification (Winery Uses) | Change to Hourly | - | \$ 459 | \$ 172 | 267% \$ | - | ş - | ş - | 100% | \$ 172 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 61 N | licro Winery | Change to Hourly | - | \$ 459 | \$ 172 | 267% \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 172 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 62 S | mall Winery Exemption | Change to Hourly | - | \$ 459 | \$ 172 | 267% \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 172 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T | otal User Fees | | | | | | \$842,639 | \$620,6 | 78 \$221,96 | l | | \$840,257 | \$219,594 | \$2,365 |
| 96 | of Full Cost | | | | | | | 7 | 1% 269 | 6 | | 100% | 35% | 0% |



Animal Shelter

| Napa County | |
|----------------|--|
| Animal Shelter | |
| 2024 | |

| | | | | | | | Curre | ent | | | | | Re | con | nmendati | ions | | |
|-------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------|----|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----|-------------------|---------|-------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | | Per Unit | Corre | -IIIC | | Annual | | Per | | COI | mmendaci | | Annual | |
| Ord | Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current F | | Full Cost | Current Recovery % | Annual Cost | A | Annual evenue | Annual Subsidy | Recovery Level | Fee @ Policy Level | | Annual evenue2 | Inc | | Recommended Subsidy |
| 1 Licens | se Fees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 Dogs | Altered - 6 Months | New Fee | - | \$ - | \$ | 59 | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 25% | \$ 15 | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | - |
| 3 Dogs | Altered - 1 Year | Flat Fee | 791 | \$ | 20 \$ | 59 | 34% \$ | 46,408 | \$ | 15,820 | \$ 30,588 | 34% | \$ 20 | \$ | 15,779 | \$ | (41) \$ | 30,629 |
| 4 Dogs | Altered - 2 Years | Flat Fee | 201 | \$ | 35 \$ | 59 | 60% \$ | 11,793 | \$ | 7,035 | \$ 4,758 | 60% | \$ 35 | \$ | 7,076 | \$ | 41 \$ | 4,717 |
| 5 Dogs | Altered - 3 Years | Flat Fee | 94 | \$ | 50 \$ | 59 | 85% \$ | 5,515 | \$ | 4,700 | \$ 815 | 85% | \$ 50 | \$ | 4,688 | \$ | (12) \$ | 827 |
| 6 Dogs | Unaltered - 6 months | New Fee | - | \$ - | \$ | 176 | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 26% | \$ 46 | \$ | - | \$ | - \$ | - |
| 7 Dogs | Unaltered - 1 Year | Flat Fee | 448 | \$ | 55 \$ | 117 | 47% \$ | 52,569 | \$ | 24,640 | \$ 27,929 | 51% | \$ 60 | \$ | 26,810 | \$ | 2,170 \$ | 25,759 |
| 8 Dogs | Unaltered - 2 Years | Flat Fee | 32 | \$ | 91 \$ | 176 | 52% \$ | 5,632 | \$ | 2,912 | \$ 2,720 | 54% | \$ 95 | \$ | 3,041 | \$ | 129 \$ | 2,591 |
| 9 Dogs | Unaltered - 3 Years | Flat Fee | 25 | \$ 1 | 38 \$ | 235 | 59% \$ | 5,867 | \$ | 3,438 | \$ 2,430 | 60% | \$ 141 | \$ | 3,520 | \$ | 83 \$ | 2,347 |
| 10 Fee fo | or late renewal | Penalty | 2 | \$ | 25 \$ | 35 | 72% \$ | 70 | \$ | 50 | \$ 20 | 72% | \$ 25 | | Pend | alty Fe | e- No Revie | w |
| 11 Repla | acement fee | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 7 \$ | 35 | 19% \$ | 35 | \$ | 7 | \$ 28 | 42% | \$ 15 | \$ | 15 | \$ | 8 \$ | 20 |
| 12 Trans | sfer fee | DELETE FEE | - | \$ | 7 \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | Re | commendat | tion to | remove fro | m schedule |
| 13 Volan | ntary One-Year Cat License | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 20 \$ | 59 | 34% \$ | 59 | \$ | 20 | \$ 39 | 50% | \$ 29 | \$ | 29 | \$ | 9 \$ | 29 |
| 14 Adopti | ion Fees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 Senio | or Dog (6+ Years) | Flat Fee | 44 | \$ | 65 \$ | 252 | 26% \$ | 11,086 | \$ | 2,860 | \$ 8,226 | 20% | \$ 50 | \$ | 2,217 | \$ | (643) \$ | 8,869 |
| 16 Adult | Dog (5+ Months) | Flat Fee | 206 | \$ 1 | 50 \$ | 252 | 60% \$ | 51,904 | \$ | 30,900 | \$ 21,004 | 60% | \$ 151 | \$ | 31,142 | \$ | 242 \$ | 20,762 |
| 17 Puppy | y (0-5 Months) | Flat Fee | 69 | \$ 1 | 95 \$ | 287 | 68% \$ | 19,834 | \$ | 13,455 | \$ 6,379 | 68% | \$ 195 | \$ | 13,487 | \$ | 32 \$ | 6,347 |
| 18 Senio | or Cat (6+ Years) | Flat Fee | 11 | \$ | 60 \$ | 252 | 24% \$ | 2,772 | \$ | 660 | \$ 2,112 | 16% | \$ 40 | \$ | 443 | \$ | (217) \$ | 2,328 |
| 19 Adult | Cat (5+ Months) | Flat Fee | 119 | \$ | 90 \$ | 252 | 36% \$ | 29,983 | \$ | 10,710 | \$ 19,273 | 36% | \$ 91 | \$ | 10,794 | \$ | 84 \$ | 19,189 |
| 20 Kitten | n (0-5 Months) | Flat Fee | 442 | \$ 1 | 30 \$ | 287 | 45% \$ | 127,054 | \$ | 57,460 | \$ 69,594 | 45% | \$ 129 | \$ | 57,174 | \$ | (286) \$ | 69,880 |
| 21 Feral/ | /Barn Cat | Flat Fee | 13 | \$ | 45 \$ | 252 | 18% \$ | 3,275 | \$ | 585 | \$ 2,690 | 18% | \$ 45 | \$ | 590 | \$ | 5 \$ | 2,686 |
| 22 Senio | or pet adoption (people 65+) | Flat Fee | 17 | \$ | 98 \$ | 252 | 39% \$ | 4,283 | \$ | 1,658 | \$ 2,626 | 39% | \$ 98 | \$ | 1,670 | \$ | 13 \$ | 2,613 |
| 23 Rabbi | it | Flat Fee | 18 | \$ | 40 \$ | 252 | 16% \$ | 4,535 | \$ | 720 | \$ 3,815 | 16% | \$ 40 | \$ | 726 | \$ | 6 \$ | 3,810 |
| 24 Small | l animal | Flat Fee | 39 | \$ | 10 \$ | 252 | 4% \$ | 9,826 | \$ | 390 | \$ 9,436 | 6% | \$ 15 | \$ | 590 | \$ | 200 \$ | 9,237 |
| 25 Small | l Livestock | Flat Fee | 2 | \$ | 50 \$ | 252 | 20% \$ | 504 | \$ | 100 | \$ 404 | 20% | \$ 50 | \$ | 101 | \$ | 1 \$ | 403 |
| 26 Large | Livestock | Flat Fee | 5 | \$ 1 | 00 \$ | 252 | 40% \$ | 1,260 | \$ | 500 | \$ 760 | 40% | \$ 101 | \$ | 504 | \$ | 4 \$ | 756 |
| 27 Exotic | Animal | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 75 \$ | 252 | 30% \$ | 252 | \$ | 75 | \$ 177 | 30% | \$ 76 | \$ | 76 | \$ | 1 \$ | 176 |
| 28 Veteri | inary Service Fees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 Rabie | es vaccine | Flat Fee | 1,571 | \$ | 6 \$ | 52 | 11% \$ | 82,147 | \$ | 9,426 | \$ 72,721 | 20% | \$ 10 | \$ | 16,429 | \$ | 7,003 \$ | 65,718 |
| 30 Micro | chip dog (any age) | Flat Fee | 525 | \$ | 20 \$ | 52 | 38% \$ | 27,452 | \$ | 10,500 | \$ 16,952 | 38% | \$ 20 | \$ | 10,432 | \$ | (68) \$ | 17,020 |
| 31 Micro | ochip cat (any age) | Flat Fee | 605 | \$ | 15 \$ | 52 | 29% \$ | 31,635 | \$ | 9,075 | \$ 22,560 | 38% | \$ 20 | \$ | 12,021 | \$ | 2,946 \$ | 19,614 |
| 32 Rabbi | it Hemoragic Fever Vaccine | Flat Fee | 37 | \$ | 10 \$ | 52 | 19% \$ | 1,935 | \$ | 370 | \$ 1,565 | 19% | \$ 10 | \$ | 368 | \$ | (2) \$ | 1,567 |
| 32.1 Vaccin | ne Bundle | New Fee | 1 | \$. | \$ | 27 | 0% \$ | 27 | \$ | - | \$ 27 | 100% | \$ 27 | \$ | 27 | \$ | 27 \$ | - |



| | | | | | | | Curr | ent | | | | Re | commei | ndation | ıs | |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|--------|------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | | Per Unit | | | Annual | | Per | Unit | | | Annual | |
| Ord | Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current I | ee | Full Cost | Current Recovery % | Annual Cost | Annual Revenue | nual osidy | Recovery Level | Fee @ Policy Level | Annu: Revenu | | Increased Revenue | Recommended Subsidy |
| 33 Fees for Reclain | ning Impound Animals | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 Dog 1st offens | e | Penalty | - | \$ | 65 \$ | 178 | 37% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 37% | \$ 66 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 35 Dog 2nd offens | se | Penalty | - | \$ | 95 | \$ 178 | 53% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 54% | \$ 96 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 36 Dog 3rd offens | e | Penalty | - | \$ | .25 | \$ 178 | 70% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 70% | \$ 125 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 37 Dog 4th offens | e | New Penalty | - | \$ | - (| \$ 208 | 0% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 75% | \$ 156 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 38 Dog 5th offens | e | New Penalty | - | \$ | - | \$ 239 | 0% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 78% | \$ 186 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 39 Dog 6th offens | e | New Penalty | - | \$ | - ; | \$ 269 | 0% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 80% | \$ 215 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 40 Dog Subseque | nt offenses | Penalty | - | \$ | .75 | 239 | 73% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ 239 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 41 Dog Daily Boa | rd | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 25 \$ | 3 178 | 14% | \$ 178 | \$ 25 | \$ 153 | 17% | \$ 30 | \$ | 30 \$ | 5 | \$ 148 |
| 42 Cat 1st offense | ! | Penalty | - | \$ | 20 \$ | 178 | 11% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 17% | \$ 30 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 43 Cat 2nd offens | e | Penalty | - | \$ | 45 \$ | 5 178 | 25% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 31% | \$ 55 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 44 Cat 3rd offense | 2 | Penalty | - | \$ | 80 \$ | 178 | 45% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 45% | \$ 80 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 45 Cat 4th offense | 2 | New Penalty | - | \$ | - ; | 208 | 0% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 51% | \$ 106 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 46 Cat 5th offense | 2 | New Penalty | - | \$ | - ; | 239 | 0% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 55% | \$ 131 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 47 Cat 6th offense | 2 | New Penalty | - | \$ | - ; | \$ 269 | 0% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 58% | \$ 156 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 48 Cat Subsequer | nt offenses | Penalty | - | \$ | .15 \$ | 239 | 48% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 76% | \$ 181 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 49 Cat Daily Boar | d | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 20 \$ | 178 | 11% | \$ 178 | \$ 20 | \$ 158 | 14% | \$ 25 | \$ | 25 \$ | 5 | \$ 153 |
| 50 Small Animal | All Offenses | Penalty | - | \$ | 20 \$ | 5 178 | 11% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 14% | \$ 25 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 51 Small Animal | Daily Board | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 10 \$ | \$ 178 | 6% | \$ 178 | \$ 10 | \$ 168 | 12% | \$ 21 | \$ | 21 \$ | 11 | \$ 157 |
| 52 Hooved farm a | nimals all offenses | Penalty | - | \$ | .00 \$ | \$ 208 | 48% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 48% | \$ 100 | | Penalty | Fee- No Re | view |
| 53 Hooved farm a | nimals daily board | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 25 | \$ 208 | 12% | \$ 208 | \$ 25 | \$ 183 | 15% | \$ 31 | \$ | 31 \$ | 6 | \$ 177 |
| 54 Afterhours sur | charge | DELETE FEE | - | \$ | .49 | ; - | 0% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 100% | \$ - | Recomm | endatio | n to remove | from schedule |
| 55 Owner Surrende | er | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56 Dog with veter | inarian records | Flat Fee | 16 | \$ | 50 | \$ 105 | 48% | \$ 1,673 | \$ 800 | \$ 873 | 77% | \$ 81 | \$ 1, | 288 \$ | 488 | \$ 385 |
| 57 Dog without ve | terinarian records | Flat Fee | 27 | \$ | 70 | \$ 105 | 67% | \$ 2,824 | \$ 1,890 | \$ 934 | 96% | \$ 100 | \$ 2, | 711 \$ | 821 | \$ 113 |
| 58 Cat with veteri | narian records | Flat Fee | 24 | \$ | 40 \$ | \$ 105 | 38% | \$ 2,510 | \$ 960 | \$ 1,550 | 77% | \$ 81 | \$ 1, | 933 \$ | 973 | \$ 577 |
| 59 Cat without ve | terinarian records | Flat Fee | 68 | \$ | 60 \$ | \$ 105 | 57% | \$ 7,111 | \$ 4,080 | \$ 3,031 | 96% | \$ 100 | \$ 6, | 827 \$ | 2,747 | \$ 284 |
| 60 Small animal | with vet records | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 28 \$ | \$ 105 | 26% | \$ 105 | \$ 28 | \$ 77 | 77% | \$ 81 | \$ | 81 \$ | 53 | \$ 24 |
| 61 Small animal | without vet records | Flat Fee | 16 | \$ | 40 \$ | \$ 105 | 38% | \$ 1,673 | \$ 640 | \$ 1,033 | 96% | \$ 100 | \$ 1, | 606 \$ | 966 | \$ 67 |
| 62 Livestock | | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | .10 \$ | \$ 105 | 105% | \$ 105 | \$ 110 | \$ (5) | 105% | \$ 110 | \$ | 110 \$ | (0) | \$ (5) |
| 63 Non-Napa Cou | nty resident charge | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 50 \$ | 105 | 48% | \$ 105 | \$ 50 | \$ 55 | 96% | \$ 100 | \$ | 100 \$ | 50 | \$ 4 |



| | | | | | | | Cur | rent | | | | | | F | Reco | ommendat | ions | ; | | |
|----------|---|--------------------|------------------|---------|-----|-----------|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-------------------|----|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|--------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|-------------------|
| | | | | Per U | | Per Unit | Unit | | Annual | | | | Per | Unit | | | Annual | | | |
| Ord | Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume | Current | Fee | Full Cost | Current Recovery % | Annual Cost | | Annual Revenue | | Annual Subsidy | Recovery Level | Fee @ Policy Level | | Annual Revenue2 | | icreased Revenue | | mmended ubsidy |
| 64 Owne | er Requested Euthanasia & Disposal | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 65 Dog | Euthanasia Service | Flat Fee | 94 | \$ | 60 | \$ 66 | 91% | \$ 6,191 | \$ | 5,640 | \$ | 551 | 99% | \$ 6 | 5 \$ | 6,129 | \$ | 489 | \$ | 62 |
| 66 Dog | E Service & Disposal | Flat Fee | 90 | \$ | 100 | \$ 103 | 97% | \$ 9,284 | \$ | 9,000 | \$ | 284 | 97% | \$ 10 |) \$ | 9,005 | \$ | 5 | \$ | 279 |
| 67 Cat E | uthanasia Service | Flat Fee | 72 | \$ | 50 | \$ 51 | 98% | \$ 3,668 | \$ | 3,600 | \$ | 68 | 98% | \$ 50 |) \$ | 3,594 | \$ | (6) | \$ | 73 |
| 68 Cat E | Service & Disposal | Flat Fee | 66 | \$ | 75 | \$ 81 | 93% | \$ 5,331 | \$ | 4,950 | \$ | 381 | 99% | \$ 80 |) \$ | 5,278 | \$ | 328 | \$ | 53 |
| 69 Smal | II Animal Euthanasia Service | Flat Fee | 6 | \$ | 25 | \$ 51 | 49% | \$ 306 | \$ | 150 | \$ | 156 | 58% | \$ 30 |) \$ | 177 | \$ | 27 | \$ | 128 |
| 70 Smal | II Animal E Service & Disposal | Flat Fee | 6 | \$ | 65 | \$ 73 | 89% | \$ 440 | \$ | 390 | \$ | 50 | 89% | \$ 6 | 5 \$ | 392 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 48 |
| 71 Surch | harge for Animal Control Officer transport to shell | DELETE FEE | - | \$ | 38 | \$ - | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ - | F | Recommenda | tion | to remove f | rom sc | hedule |
| 72 Fees | for Fence Checks for Animal Service Agencies | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 73 Fenc | e inspection | DELETE FEE | - | \$ | 24 | \$ - | 0% | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ - | F | Recommenda | tion | to remove | from s | chedule |
| 74 Fees | For Rental of Small Animal Traps | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 75 72-h | our small animal trap rental | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 6 | \$ 27 | 22% | \$ 27 | \$ | 6 | \$ | 21 | 0% | \$ - | Ş | - | \$ | (6) | \$ | 27 |
| 76 Refu | ndable security deposit | Flat Fee | 2 | \$ | 50 | \$ 55 | 92% | \$ 109 | \$ | 100 | \$ | 9 | 275% | \$ 150 |) \$ | 300 | \$ | 200 | \$ | (191) |
| 77 Fees | for Quarantine of Animals | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 78 Daily | y quarantine fees (10 day minimum, cost per day) | Flat Fee | 41 | \$ | 30 | \$ 90 | 34% | \$ 3,670 | \$ | 1,230 | \$ | 2,440 | 50% | \$ 4 | 5 \$ | 1,835 | \$ | 605 | \$ | 1,835 |
| 80 Admi | inistration fees | Flat Fee | 1 | \$ | 60 | \$ 209 | 29% | \$ 209 | \$ | 60 | \$ | 149 | 29% | \$ 6 | 1 \$ | 61 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 149 |
| 81 Kenn | el Fees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 82 Hour | rly Rate for Inspection | DELETE FEE | 4 | \$ | 95 | \$ - | 0% | \$ - | \$ | 380 | \$ | (380) | 100% | \$ - | Ş | - | \$ | (380) | \$ | - |
| 83 Annu | ual operting permit | Flat Fee | 4 | \$ | 190 | \$ 209 | 91% | \$ 837 | \$ | 760 | \$ | 77 | 100% | \$ 209 | 9 \$ | 837 | \$ | 77 | \$ | - |
| 84 Late | fee for annual operating permit | Penalty | 1 | \$ | 238 | \$ 284 | 84% | \$ 284 | \$ | 238 | \$ | 46 | 100% | \$ 28 | 4 \$ | 284 | \$ | 46 | \$ | - |
| Tota | l User Fees | | | | | | | \$584,91 | 5 | \$243,206 | | \$341,710 | | | | \$262,404 | | \$19,249 | | \$322,442 |
| % of | Full Cost | | | | | | | | | 42% | | 58% | | | | 45% | | 8% | | 55% |



Agriculture Commission and Weights & Measures

| Napa County | 1 |
|--------------|--------|
| Agriculture | |
| FY 2023-2024 | Budget |

| | | | | | Curre | nt | | | Recommendations | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | Per Unit | | | Annual | | Per Unit | | | Annu | al | | | | |
| Ord Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volum 🕶 | Current Fe | Full Cost | Current Recovery (| Annual Coct | Annual Revenue | Annual Subsidy 💌 | Recovery Fee @ Po Level Level | | Annual Revenue: | Increase Revenu | | ecommended Subsidy | | | |
| 1 Notification Fees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 Structural Pest Control Business, Initial Branch 1 | State | 3 | \$ 25 | \$ 73 | 34% | \$ 218 | \$ 75 | \$ 143 | 34% \$ | 25 \$ | \$ 75 | \$ - | - \$ | 143 | | | |
| 3 Structural Pest Control Business, Initial Branch 2-3 Structural Pest Control Business, Amendment, Branch | State | 95 | \$ 10 | \$ 73 | 14% | \$ 6,894 | \$ 950 | \$ 5,944 | 14% \$ | 10 \$ | \$ 950 | \$. | - \$ | 5,944 | | | |
| 4 1 | State | - | \$ 10 | \$ 36 | 28% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 28% \$ | 10 \$ | \$ - | \$. | . \$ | - | | | |
| Structural Pest Control Business, Amendment, Branch 5 2-3 | State | - | \$ 10 | \$ 36 | 28% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 28% \$ | 10 \$ | \$ - | \$. | - \$ | ; - | | | |
| 6 Registration Fees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 Farm Labor Contractor | Set by BOS | - | \$ 72 | \$ 144 | 50% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 73% \$ | 105 \$ | \$ - | \$ - | - \$ | - | | | |
| 8 Maintenance Gardener | State Hourly Rate | 13 | \$ 25 | \$ 144 | 17% | \$ 1,871 | \$ 325 | \$ 1,546 | 17% \$ | 25 \$ | \$ 325 | \$ - | - \$ | 1,546 | | | |
| 9 Pest Control Advisor - In County | State | - | \$ 10 | \$ 73 | 14% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 14% \$ | 10 \$ | \$ - | \$. | . \$ | - | | | |
| 10 Pest Control Advisor - Out of County | State | - | \$ 5 | \$ 73 | 7% | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 7% \$ | 5 \$ | \$ - | \$. | . \$ | ; - | | | |
| 11 Pest Control Business | Set by BOS | 171 | \$ 72 | \$ 109 | 66% | \$ 18,614 | \$ 12,312 | \$ 6,302 | 96% \$ | 105 \$ | \$ 17,955 | \$ 5,6 | 543 \$ | 659 | | | |
| 12 Pilot - In County | State | 3 | \$ 10 | \$ 218 | 5% | \$ 653 | \$ 30 | \$ 623 | 5% \$ | 10 \$ | \$ 30 | \$ - | - \$ | 623 | | | |
| 13 Pilot - Out of County | State | 10 | \$ 5 | \$ 218 | 2% | \$ 2,177 | \$ 50 | \$ 2,127 | 2% \$ | 5 \$ | \$ 50 | \$ - | - \$ | 2,127 | | | |
| 14 Apiary | State | 1 | \$ 10 | \$ 73 | 14% | \$ 73 | \$ 10 | \$ 63 | 14% \$ | 10 \$ | \$ 10 | \$ - | . \$ | 63 | | | |



| Napa County |
|---------------------|
| Agriculture |
| FY 2023-2024 Budget |

| | | | Current | | | | | | | | | Recommendations | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|----------|-----|---------------------|-------------|----|---------------------|----|---------------------|-------------------|-------|---------------------|------|-------------------|----|-------------------|----|--------------------|
| | | | | | Per Unit | | | | | Annual | | | Pei | r Uni | | | | A | nnual | | |
| Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volum 🔻 | Cur | rent Fee | Full C | ost | Current Recovery | Annual Cort | | Annual Revenue 🔻 | | Annual Subsidy 🔻 | Recovery Level | | e @ Policy Level | | Annual evenue: | | reased venue 🔻 | | mmended Jubsidy |
| 15 Hourly Rate Activities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | State Set Hourly | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 Certified Farmers' Market Certificates | Rate | 2 | \$ | 60 | \$ | 144 | 42% \$ | 288 | \$ | 120 | \$ | 168 | 42% | 6 \$ | 60 | \$ | 120 | \$ | - | \$ | 168 |
| | State Set Hourly | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 Certified Producer's Certificates | Rate | 13 | \$ | 60 | \$ | 144 | 42% \$ | 5 1,871 | Ş | 780 | \$ | 1,091 | 42% | 6 Ş | 60 | \$ | 780 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,091 |
| 18 W&M Non-Commercial Device Testing | Hourly Rate | - | \$ | 107 | \$ | 144 | 74% | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | 6 \$ | 144 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| W&M Standby Rate / Missed Appointments / | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 Rescheduling | Hourly Rate | - | \$ | 107 | \$ | 144 | 74% \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | 6 \$ | 144 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| W&M Testing / Reinspection of Devices & POS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 Systems | Hourly Rate | - | \$ | 107 | \$ | 144 | 74% \$ | · - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | 6 Ş | 144 | · \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 21 Phytosanitary Certificates | Hourly Rate | 19 | \$ | 96 | \$ | 144 | 67% \$ | 2,735 | \$ | 1,824 | \$ | 911 | 100% | 6 \$ | 144 | \$ | 2,735 | \$ | 911 | \$ | - |
| 22 Quarantine Compliance Certificates | Hourly Rate | - | \$ | 96 | \$ | 144 | 67% \$ | ; - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | 6 \$ | 144 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 23 Re-inspection of Sealed Goods | Hourly Rate | - | \$ | 96 | \$ | 144 | 67% \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | 6 \$ | 144 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 24 Environmental Impact Report Review | Hourly Rate | - | \$ | 111 | \$ | 144 | 77% \$ | . | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | 6 \$ | 144 | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | - |
| Investigation/Abatement of Unpermitted/Non- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 Compliant Rooster Keeping Site | Hourly Rate | - | \$ | 111 | \$ | 144 | 77% \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | 6\$ | 144 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 26 Requests for Public Information | Hourly Rate | - | \$ | 111 | \$ | 144 | 77% \$ | ; - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | 6 \$ | 144 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 27 Wine Grape Inspection | Hourly Rate | - | \$ | 44 | \$ | 68 | 64% \$ | ; - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | 6 \$ | 68 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 28 Media Fees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 Public Record Copying Fees - First 5 pages | Flat Fee | - | \$ | 3 | \$ | _ | 0% \$ | ; - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | 6 \$ | 3 | \$ | - | \$ | _ | \$ | - |
| Public Record Copying Fees - Each additional page of | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 that same document. | per page | - | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | 6 \$ | 0.10 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 31 CD/DVDs | per CD + hourly la | - | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | - | 0% \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | 6 \$ | 0.20 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 32 Returned Item/Check Fee | peritem | - | \$ | 45 | \$ | - | 0% \$ | . | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | 6 \$ | 45 | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | - |



Napa County
Agriculture
FY 2023-2024 Budget

| | | | Current | | | | | | | | | | Recommendations | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|---------|--------|-----------|-----------------------|-----|----------|----|------------------|----|------------------|-----------------|--------|------------------------------|----|--------------------|----|---------------------|----|----------------------|--|
| | | | | | Per Unit | | | | An | nual | | | P | er Uni | it | | | | Annual | | | |
| Ord. Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volume ▼ | Curren | nt Fee | Full Cost | Current Recovery (| Ann | ual Cost | | nnual venue 🔻 | | nnual bsidy 🔻 | Recovery Lev | al Fi | ee @ Policy Level | | Annual tevenue: | | ncreased Revenue | | commended Subsidy | |
| 33 Weights & Measures / Devices | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 W&M Testing: Non-Commercial Device Testing W&M Testing: Standby Rate/Missed | Per Hour | - | \$ | 107 | \$ 144 | 74% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 1009 | % \$ | 144 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| 35 Appt/Rescheduling W&M Testing: Test & Reinsp fees for Devices & POS | Per Hour | - | \$ | 107 | \$ 144 | 74% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 1009 | % \$ | 144 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| 36 Systems | Per Hour | - | \$ | 107 | \$ 144 | 74% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 1009 | % \$ | 144 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | |
| 37 CA W&M Admin: Electric, Vapor or Water Sub-Meter | Per Device | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 CA W&M Admin: CNG Meter | Per Device | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 39 CA W&M Admin: Fabric, Cordage, Wire Meter | Per Device | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 CA W&M Admin: Grease and Lube Meter | Per Device | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 41 CA W&M Admin: Odometer | Per Device | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 42 CA W&M Admin: Retail Motor Fuel Dispenser | Per Device | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | No cost an | | | • | | | | |
| 43 CA W&M Admin: Retail Meter | Per Device | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | The State A | | r fees are a ection 1224: | | | | | | sions Code | |
| 44 CA W&M Admin: Retail Water Meter | Per Device | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45 CA W&M Admin: Tank (Liquid Test) | Per Device | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 46 CA W&M Admin: Taximeter | Per Device | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | _ | \$ | - | \$ | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47 CA W&M Admin: Vehicle Meter | Per Device | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | _ | \$ | - | \$ | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48 CA W&M Admin: Wholesale Meter | Per Device | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 49 CA W&M Admin: Misc Measuring Device | Per Device | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50 CA W&M Admin: Weighing Devices less than 2,000 lbs | Per Device | - | \$ | - | \$ - | 0% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| CA W&M Admin: Weighing Devices between 2,000 - 51 9,999 lbs | Per Device | - | \$ | _ | \$ - | 0% | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| CA W&M Admin: Weighing Devices 10,000 lbs or 52 greater | Per Device | _ | \$ | _ | \$ - | 0% | Ś | | \$ | | \$ | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | Curr | | | Recommendations | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------|----|-----------|---------------------|-----|-----------|-----------------|------------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|------|--------------------|--------|-------------------|----|---------------------|
| | | | Per Unit | | | | | A | nnual | | | Per Unit | | | | | Annual | | | |
| Ord Service Name | Fee Description | Annual Volum <mark>▼</mark> | Current Fee | F | Full Cost | Current Recovery | Anr | nual Cost | | nnual evenue | Ann Sub | nual sidy 🔻 | Recovery Level | Fee @ Polic Level | | Annual Revenue: | | creased evenue | | ommended Subsidy |
| 53 Device Registr: Business Location | State Capped | - | \$ 120 | \$ | 120 | 100% | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 100% | \$ 12 | 0 \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 54 Device Registr: Electric Utility Submeters | State Capped | 259 | \$ 3 | \$ | 68 | 4% | \$ | 17,577 | \$ | 777 | \$ 1 | 6,800 | 4% | \$ | 3 \$ | 777 | \$ | - | \$ | 16,800 |
| 55 Device Registr: Vapor (Gas) Utility Submeters | State Capped | 247 | \$ 10 | \$ | 163 | 6% | \$ | 40,278 | \$ | 2,472 | \$ 3 | 7,806 | 6% | \$ 1 | 0 \$ | 2,472 | \$ | - | \$ | 37,806 |
| 56 Device Registr: Water Utility Submeters | State Capped | 557 | \$ 6 | \$ | 132 | 5% | \$ | 73,761 | \$ | 3,344 | \$ 7 | 0,417 | 5% | \$ | 6 \$ | 3,344 | \$ | - | \$ | 70,417 |
| 57 Device Registr: LPG (Liquid Petroleum Gas) Meters | State Capped | 45 | \$ 185 | \$ | 397 | 47% | \$ | 17,868 | \$ | 8,325 | \$ | 9,543 | 47% | \$ 18 | 5 \$ | 8,325 | \$ | - | \$ | 9,543 |
| 58 Device Registr: Livestock Scales: 2,000 - 9,999 lbs | State Capped | 3 | \$ 100 | \$ | 435 | 23% | \$ | 1,306 | \$ | 300 | \$ | 1,006 | 23% | \$ 10 | 0 \$ | 300 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,006 |
| 59 Device Registr: Livestock Scales: 10,000 lbs or greater | State Capped | 1 | \$ 150 | \$ | 435 | 34% | \$ | 435 | \$ | 150 | \$ | 285 | 34% | \$ 15 | 0 \$ | 150 | \$ | - | \$ | 285 |
| 60 Device Registr: Prescription/Jewelry Scales | State Capped | 6 | \$ 80 | \$ | 97 | 83% | \$ | 581 | \$ | 480 | \$ | 101 | 83% | \$ 8 | 0 \$ | 480 | \$ | - | \$ | 101 |
| 61 Device Registr: Miscellaneous Weighing Devices | State Capped | 18 | \$ 20 | \$ | 97 | 21% | \$ | 1,742 | \$ | 360 | \$ | 1,382 | 21% | \$ 2 | 0 \$ | 360 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,382 |
| 62 Device Registr: 1 - 3 POS Registers | Flat Fee | 206 | \$ 180 | \$ | 252 | 71% | \$ | 51,890 | \$ | 37,080 | \$ 1 | 4,810 | 100% | \$ 25 | 2 \$ | 51,890 | \$ | 14,810 | \$ | - |
| 63 Device Registr: 4 - 9 POS Registers | Flat Fee | 52 | \$ 269 | \$ | 360 | 75% | \$ | 18,712 | \$ | 13,988 | \$ | 4,724 | 100% | \$ 36 | 0 \$ | 18,712 | \$ | 4,724 | \$ | - |
| 64 Device Registr: 10+ POS Registers | Flat Fee | 20 | \$ 329 | \$ | 432 | 76% | \$ | 8,636 | \$ | 6,580 | \$ | 2,056 | 100% | \$ 43 | 2 \$ | 8,636 | \$ | 2,056 | \$ | - |
| 65 Device Registr: Wholesale and Vehicle Meters | State Capped | 31 | \$ 75 | \$ | 433 | 17% | \$ | 13,412 | \$ | 2,325 | \$ 1 | 1,087 | 17% | \$ 7 | 5 \$ | 2,325 | \$ | - | \$ | 11,087 |
| 66 Device Registr: Computing Scales less than 100 lbs Device Registr: Weighing Devices between 101 and | State Capped | 377 | \$ 25 | \$ | 120 | 21% | \$ | 45,425 | \$ | 9,425 | \$ 3 | 6,000 | 21% | \$ 2 | 5 \$ | 9,425 | \$ | - | \$ | 36,000 |
| 67 2,000 lbs Device Registr: Weighing Devices between 2,001 and | State Capped | 50 | \$ 50 | \$ | 145 | 34% | \$ | 7,257 | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 4,757 | 34% | \$ 5 | 0 \$ | 2,500 | \$ | - | \$ | 4,757 |
| 68 9,999 lbs | State Capped | 469 | \$ 150 | \$ | 452 | 33% | \$ | 211,912 | \$ | 70,350 | \$ 14 | 1,562 | 33% | \$ 15 | 0 \$ | 70,350 | \$ | - | \$ | 141,562 |
| 69 Device Registr: Weighing Devices 10,000 lbs or greater | State Capped | 194 | \$ 250 | \$ | 542 | 46% | \$ | 105,188 | \$ | 48,500 | \$ 5 | 6,688 | 46% | \$ 25 | 0 \$ | 48,500 | \$ | - | \$ | 56,688 |
| 70 Device Registr: Other/Misc Measuring Devices | State Capped | 1,365 | \$ 26 | \$ | 109 | 24% | \$ | 148,274 | \$ | 35,490 | \$ 11 | 2,784 | 24% | \$ 2 | 6 \$ | 35,490 | \$ | - | \$ | 112,784 |
| Total User Fees % of Full Cost | | | | | | | ! | \$799,648 | : | \$258,922 32% | \$54 | 40,726 68% | | | | \$287,066 36% | | \$28,144 11% | | \$512,582 64% |

