"A"

Appeal Packet (including County notations on extrinsic evidence documents)





NAPA COUNTY CLERK OF THE BOARD'S OFFICE 1195 Third Street, Suite 310, Napa, California, 94559 (707) 253

APPEAL PACKET COVER SHEET

(Section 2.88.050 of Napa County Code)

SEP 16 2024

A Tradition of Stewardship A Commitment to Service

NAPA COUNTY

Deadline for filing with the Clerk of the Board's office: no later than 2 p.m. on the form working day CE after the filing of the Notice of Intent to Appeal. (Napa County Code sec. 2.88.050(A).)

		and the latest and th			
	MPLETED BY APPELL ase type or print legibly)	ANT	g /r Jaigdy	W B.F	flor tea
Action Being Appealed: KEVIN & ANN MORRI EXCEPTION TO THE CONSERVATION REGUL P23-00101-UP & EXCEPTION TO THE NAPA Commission Hearing - September 21, 2024 - Not	SON TR / HILLWA ATIONS NO. P23- OUNTY ROAD & S	00239-UP, US STREET Plan	E PERMIT N ning and Zo	IO. nina	1 POY LA
Permitee Name: Kevin and Ann Morrison.		ma not compr)	TTILL LILL LAVV		
project applicant, adjacent property owner, other (des					
Permittee Address: 405 Alexander Avenue, Lark					
	•				
Project Site (Address/Location if Different than Permitt 1871 Mount Veeder Road, Napa, CA 94558	ee Address)				
Assessor's Parcel No.: 034-110-047.					
Access to the property through: APNS 034-100-020, 0	34-100-043, and 034	-110- 059.			
Permit No.: NO. P23-00239-UP, USE PERMIT NO. P2					
Date of Decision: September 21, 2024				1000	
Nature of Permit or Decision: Adopt the revised Mitigat				orting	
Program and approve the Exception to the Conservation				-1 NO F	222
and Street Standards (RSS), and a Winery Use Permit 00239-UP, USE PERMIT NO. P23-00101-UP	subject to the recon	imenaea condit	ions or approv	ai. NO. F	23-
50208-01-, OSE FERMIT NO. F23-00101-0F					
Appellant's Name: Water Audit California					
Appellant's legal status (check one): Individual Individual on behalf of unofficial organization Specify organization name:	(e.g., neighborhoo	ation/registere d group, speci		oup, etc	;.)
)	Fax #: (_				
Telephone #: (<u>530)</u> 575-5335					
E-Mail Address: legal@waterauditca.org					
-Mail Address. legal@wateradditca.org					
MI SANIKI CACA	nik Smarter kar	198 Jana 191 A		'Doe's'	
Mailing Address: 952 School Street, PMB 316	Napa, CA 94559	· La carrier de			
		City	State No.	Zip	Street
Appellant's Qualification as Interested Person no financial interest	: Submitted Comme	ent for hearing	held on Septe	mber 21	, 2024,
tank withhill to the part of t	that I have been	127 500 0000	Print to arre	V-11-10	
Primary Point(s) of Contact for Appellant:	X Check here if	Appellant is	sole point of	contac	t
	nd will be the pre				
Contact # 1 Name: William McKinnon, Water A	and the same of th				
Telephone # (530) 575-5335	Email:legal@w	aterauditca.o	rg		
Mailing Address: 952 School Street, PMB 316					
No. Street		City	State	Zip	
X Check here if this contact will be your	r representative at	t the preheari	ng conferen	ice	
	v				

Contact # 2 Name: Valerie Stephan Telephone # () Email: vstephan@w	aterauditca.org
Mailing Address: 952 School Street, PMB 316 Napa, CA 94559	
No. Street City	State Zip
Check here if this contact will be your representative at the	prehearing conference
Attach additional sheet(s) for additional contacts if needed.	
Reason for Appeal. Be specific – factual or legal basis for such ground stated or they are waived. At a minimum, you must include: (1) identific factual or legal determination(s) made as part of the decision that are the assertion of all arguments, contentions, and facts that form the grounds for appeal will be, in whole or in part, that there was a prejudicial abuse of disauthority, or that there was a lack of a fair and impartial hearing, this must sheets and/or supporting documentation if necessary)	ation and description of the specific focus of the appeal; and (2) express or your appeal. If the basis of the scretion on the part of the approving
Please see attached	
Are you appealing a decision relating to real property? (check one) If Yes, please ensure that you attach the required Ownership Reports (See See See See See See See See See Se	
If Yes, please ensure that you attach the required Ownership Repo	
If Yes, please ensure that you attach the required Ownership Reports Assessor's map page(s) as indicated on the Checklist.	ort, including list of owners and
If Yes, please ensure that you attach the required Ownership Report Assessor's map page(s) as indicated on the Checklist. Evidence of payment of required fees (check one): Attached * Pursuant to Napa County Code sec. 2.88.050, evidence of payment mu	ort, including list of owners and

CHECKLIST FOR APPEAL PACKET

Did you remember to include the following:	
a Name and address of the permittee or the subject of the decision being appealed, along identifying information about the permittee and/or subject property.	with
b Name and address of appellant.	
c Appellant's status as an individual or entity.	
d Basis for Appellant's qualification as an interested person.	
e Names, addresses, telephone numbers, and email addresses of the Appellant's primary point(s) of contact and the designated representative(s) of the Appellant for the mandatory prehe conference.	aring
f Identification and description of the specific factual or legal determination(s) made as part the decision that are the focus of the appeal.	t of
g A description of all asserted grounds for the appeal and all arguments, contentions and f that you believe support the appeal and/or show that the decision was in some manner erroneou	acts is.
 h. If the decision involved real property, an Ownership Report prepared by a title company, enging architect, radius search service, or ownership listing service, that includes the following: 	neer,
 A list, from within the past 6 months, that identifies by name, address, and asserparcel number, the owners of all real property located within 1,000 feet of any and all portions of real property that is the subject of the appeal. 	ssor's the
 A copy of the Assessor's Map Book Pages that show all real property which is the subject of the appeal and all properties to which the list of property owners within 1,000 feet of th subject property. 	e ie
To ensure that your Ownership Report contains the necessary components and is provide the proper format, please provide the following instructions to the preparing company or individual	ed in al:
INSTRUCTIONS FOR OWNERSHIP REPORT	
Please prepare an original and two copies of the property owners' list as follows:	

- 1. Type the property owners' names, parcel numbers and mailing addresses on an 8½" by 11" sheet of Avery #5160 Laser Labels so that this information can be readily used in mailing by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
- Submit a full page copy of the assessors' map book page(s) and a copy of the latest equalized assessment roll used to compile the property owners' list. Please indicate the location of all parcels listed, by check mark or colored parcel number circled on the pages.

i Evidence that payment of the relevant fees has been made with the Napa County Treas Tax Collector. Evidence of payment must be obtained and provided to the Clerk of the Board's prior to the 2 p.m. deadline. If you are unsure of the fees due, you must contact the Clerk of the Board's office to obtain a fee estimate and make the appropriate payment prior to the 2 p.m. de	office e
j If you are filing by email, you must submit to the Clerk of the Board's office, prior to the 2 deadline, a copy of the signature page of the Appeal Packet Cover Sheet with your original ("we signature.	2 p.m. et")

HOW TO FILE THE APPEAL PACKET

The completed Notice of Intent to Appeal and evidence of payment must be *received* by the Clerk of the Board's office *prior to* the 2 p.m. deadline.

By Hand Delivery	By Mail				
Deliver the completed form and evidence of payment to: Napa County Clerk of the Board County Administration Building, 3 rd Floor 1195 Third Street, Suite 310 Napa, CA 94559	Mail the completed form and evidence of payment to: Napa County Clerk of the Board Attention: 2.88 Appeals County Administration Building 1195 Third Street, Suite 310 Napa, CA 94559				
By Ema	ail				
Scan the signed completed form and attach it, along with evidence of payment, as PDF format to an email addressed to: clerkothelpoard@countyoinapa.org. If filing by email, you must additionally provide by hand delivery or mail a copy of the signature page of this Appeal Packet Cover Sheet with your original ("wet") signature. **You are responsible for ensuring that delivery is effective. Any errors or misspellings of the clerk's email address shall not be cause for extending the deadline.**					

Please Note: County staff is *not authorized* to grant any exceptions, including any extension of the deadlines or alterations of the fees, to the requirements for the Appeal Packet as set forth in Napa County Code Chapter 2.88. It is your responsibility to ensure that you have complied with all requirements and that the Clerk of the Board's office receives your Appeal Packet, supporting documentation, and evidence of payment prior to the 2 p.m. deadline. County staff cannot provide advice or legal assistance with this or any other appeal process.







Company A Public Benefit Corporation

NAPA COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICE

SEP 16 2024

952 SCHOOL STREET #316 NAPA CA 94559 VOICE: (707) 681-5111 EMAIL: General@WaterAuditCA.org

September 16, 2024

Napa County Board of Supervisors 1195 Third Street, Ste. 310 Napa, CA 94559

APPEAL PACKET - ADDITIONAL SHEETS (Appellant Water Audit California)

Appealing the September 21, 2024, decision of the Napa County Planning Commission to Adopt the KEVIN & ANN MORRISON TR / HILLWALKER VINEYARDS WINERY revised Mitigated Negative Declaration/Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program and approve the Exception to the Conservation Regulations, Exception to the County Road and Street Standards (RSS), and a Winery Use Permit subject to the recommended conditions of approval. NO. P23-00239-UP, USE PERMIT NO. P23-00101-UP

Appellant Name and Contact Information:

Water Audit California 952 School Street, PMB 316 Napa, California 94559 legal@waterauditca.org 530-575-5335

Water Audit California ("Water Audit") appeals the September 21, 2024, decision of the Napa County Planning Commission's approval of KEVIN & ANN MORRISON TR / HILLWALKER VINEYARDS WINERY revised Mitigated Negative Declaration/ Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program and approval of the Exception to the Conservation Regulations, Exception to the County Road and Street Standards (RSS), and a Winery Use Permit subject to the recommended conditions of approval. NO. P23-00239-UP, USE PERMIT NO. P23-00101-UP, captioned above (collectively the "Application").

Napa County Board of Supervisors Water Audit California Hillwalker Vineyards Winery Page 2 of 13

Water Audit appeals on its own behalf, on behalf of the general public and in the public interest. Water Audit has standing to appeal based on the submission of comment for the September 21, 2024 hearing. (see Napa County Code sec. 2.88.010 (G).)

A. Introduction.

Water Audit is a public benefit organization dedicated to advocating for the public trust and other public interests. Our concerns regarding this matter are greater than the subject decision alone.

B. Standard for Review.

If initial study demonstrates that a project may have significant environmental impact, a mitigated negative declaration may be appropriate – however, only when revisions to a project will avoid or mitigate the significant environmental impacts, as identified in the initial study, "to a point where clearly no significant effect on the environment would occur, and...there is no substantial evidence in light of the whole record before the public agency that the project, as revised, may have a significant effect on the environment." (emphasis added) (Public Resources Code, §§ 21064.5 and 21080(c)(2); *Mejia v. City of Los Angeles* (2005) 130 Cal.App.4th 322, 331.) "May" in this context means a reasonable possibility of a significant effect on the environment. (Pub. Resources Code, §§ 21082.2(a), 21100, 21151(a); *Pocket Protectors*, supra, 124 Cal.App.4th 903, 927; *League for Protection of Oakland's etc. Historic Resources v. City of Oakland* (1997) 52 Cal.App.4th 896, 904–905.)

The "fair argument" standard requires an EIR if any substantial evidence in the record suggests that a project may have adverse environmental impacts; even under circumstances where opposing evidence is offered that supports an agency's decision. (CEQA Guidelines, § 15064(f)(1); *Pocket Protectors*, supra, 124 Cal.App.4th 903, 931;

WATER AUDIT CALIFORNIA
A California Public Benefit Corporation

952 School Street, #316 Napa, CA 94559 Voice: (707) 681-5111

Email:

General@WaterAuditCA.org

Napa County Board of Supervisors Water Audit California Hillwalker Vineyards Winery Page 3 of 13

Stanislaus Audubon Society v. County of Stanislaus (1995) 33 Cal.App.4th 144, 150-15; Quail Botanical Gardens Found., Inc. v. City of Encinitas (1994) 29 Cal.App.4th 1597, 1602.) Rather than issuing negative declarations or notices of CEQA exemption, the "fair argument" standard is a "low threshold" that encourages environmental review through an EIR (*Pocket Protectors*, supra, 124 Cal.App.4th at 928.) It is opposite of the deference generally provided to agencies.

In describing the scope of judicial review of an agency's application of the fair argument standard, the Supreme Court has stated:

"If there [is] substantial evidence that the proposed project might have a significant environmental impact, evidence to the contrary is not sufficient to support a decision to dispense with preparation of an EIR and adopt a negative declaration, because it [can] be "fairly argued" that the project might have a significant environmental impact. Stated another way, if the [reviewing] court perceives substantial evidence that the project might have such an impact, but the agency failed to secure preparation of the required EIR, the agency's action is to be set aside because the agency abused its discretion by failing to proceed "in a manner required by law.' " " (Citation omitted.) "The fair argument standard thus creates a low threshold for requiring an EIR, reflecting the legislative preference for resolving doubts in favor of environmental review. [Citation.]" (Save the Agoura Cornell Knoll v. City of Agoura Hills (2020) 46 Cal.App.5th 665, 675-7 (Emphasis added).)

"[I]t is a question of law, not fact, whether a fair argument exists, and the courts owe no deference to the lead agency's determination. Review is *de novo*, with a preference for resolving doubts in favor of environmental review." (*Pocket Protectors*, supra, 124 Cal.App.4th 903, 928 [emphasis in original].)

The California Supreme Court found that "[i]f no EIR has been prepared for a nonexempt project, but substantial evidence in the record supports a fair argument that the project may result in significant adverse impacts, the proper remedy is to order preparation of an EIR." (Communities for a Better Environment v. South Coast Air Quality Management Dist. (2010) 48 Cal.4th 310, 319-320, citing, No Oil, Inc. v. City of

952 School Street, #316 Napa, CA 94559 Voice: (707) 681-5111

Email:

General@WaterAuditCA.org

Napa County Board of Supervisors Water Audit California Hillwalker Vineyards Winery Page 4 of 13

Los Angeles (1974) 13 Cal.3d 68, 75, 88; Brentwood Assn. for No Drilling, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles (1982) 134 Cal.App.3d 491, 504–505.) "The 'foremost principle' in interpreting CEQA is that the Legislature intended the act to be read so as to afford the fullest possible protection to the environment within the reasonable scope of the statutory language." (Communities for a Better Environment v. Calif. Resources Agency (2002) 103 Cal.App.4th 98, 109 ["CBE v. CRA"].)

An EIR is essential to CEQA. (*Bakersfield Citizens for Local Control v. City of Bakersfield* (2004) 124 Cal.App.4th 1184, 1214; *Pocket* Protectors, supra, 124 Cal.App.4th 903, 927.) An EIR provides accountability that "demonstrate[s] to an apprehensive citizenry that the agency has, in fact, analyzed and considered the ecological implications of its action." (*Laurel Heights Improvements Assn. v. Regents of University of California* (1988) 47 Cal.3d 376, 392.)

An EIR is required if "there is substantial evidence, in light of the whole record before the lead agency, that the project may have a significant effect on the environment." (Pub. Resources Code, § 21080(d); see also *Pocket Protectors*, supra, 124 Cal.App.4th 903, 927.) In few conditions, an agency may issue a negative declaration and avoid an EIR (see 14 Cal. Code Regs., § 15371 ["CEQA Guidelines"]), but only under the circumstances where there is not a "fair argument" that the project will have a significant environmental effect. (Pub. Resources Code, §§ 21100, 21064.)

As a matter of law, "substantial evidence includes . . . expert opinion." (Pub. Resources Code, § 21080(e)(1); CEQA Guidelines, § 15064(f)(5).) When "experts" present conflicted evidence on the potential of environmental impacts, an agency must consider impacts (i.e. effects) as significant and prepare an EIR. (CEQA Guidelines § 15064(f)(5); Pub. Res. Code, § 21080(e)(1); *Pocket Protectors*, supra, 124 Cal.App.4th 903, 935.) "Significant environmental effect" is defined as "a substantial or potentially substantial adverse change in the environment." (Pub. Resources Code, § 21068; see also CEQA Guidelines, § 15382.) Effects do not necessarily need to reach a threshold

Napa County Board of Supervisors Water Audit California Hillwalker Vineyards Winery Page 5 of 13

of "momentous" to qualify as CEQA "significant", as the criterion is that the effects are "not trivial." (*No Oil, Inc.*, 13 Cal.3d at 83.)

"[N]either the lead agency nor a court may 'weigh' conflicting substantial evidence to determine whether an EIR must be prepared in the first instance." (*Pocket Protectors*, supra, 124 Cal.App.4th 903, 935.) In the presence of a disagreement on the appropriateness of a negative declaration, the courts require an EIR. "It is the function of an EIR, not a negative declaration, to resolve conflicting claims, based on substantial evidence, as to the environmental effects of a project." (*Id.*)

Absent an accurate description of the baseline physical environment, a proper CEQA decision cannot be made. CEQA Guidelines section 15125(a) states, in pertinent part, that a lead agency's environmental review under CEQA:

...must include a description of the physical environmental conditions in the vicinity of the project, as they exist at the time [environmental analysis] is commenced, from both a local and regional perspective. This environmental setting will normally constitute the baseline physical conditions by which a Lead Agency determines whether an impact is significant.

(See Save Our Peninsula Committee v. County of Monterey (2001) 87 Cal.App.4th 99, 124-125].)

C. Grounds for Appeal

1. The Notice of Determination (NOD) filed with CEQA names both the PBES and "Planning Commission" as Lead Agencies. Prima facie, IF TRUE, the Planning Commission was without jurisdiction to consider the project, as it is a fundamental principle of American law that no one may be the judge of their own matter. To draw and analogy, this matter has conflated the role of a prosecutor and a judge. Lead agencies have duties which have not been performed here, and the Planning Commission cannot determine whether they, themselves, have performed them. It is strongly asserted that they have not.

"A Lead Agency is also responsible for complying with all of the process-related

Napa County Board of Supervisors Water Audit California Hillwalker Vineyards Winery Page 6 of 13

aspects of CEQA, including the preparation and filing of all required notices, conducting all required public outreach activities, and the distribution of documents. Finally, the Lead Agency has a responsibility to consult with Responsible and Trustee Agencies, as described below.

Coordination with Responsible and Trustee Agencies:

The Lead Agency's decision whether to prepare an ND, MND, or an EIR is binding on all Responsible and Trustee Agencies, except in unusual circumstances (PRC Section 21080.1(a); State CEQA Guidelines Section 15050(c)). Therefore, a Lead Agency is required to consult with and involve all Responsible and Trustee Agencies throughout the CEQA process. First, the Lead Agency must consult with Responsible and Trustee Agencies prior to determining whether a negative declaration or an EIR is required for a project (PRC Section 21080.3(a); State CEQA Guidelines Section 15063(g)). If a Lead Agency determines an EIR is required for a project, the Lead Agency must send a Notice of Preparation to all Responsible and Trustee Agencies, who will then specify to the Lead Agency "the scope and content of the environmental information that is germane to the statutory responsibilities" of that agency in connection with the proposed project and which must be included in the EIR (PRC Section 21080.4; State CEQA Guidelines Section 15082(b))

Next, the Lead Agency must send every Responsible and Trustee Agency a Notice of Preparation (NOP) prior to undertaking an EIR (PRC Section 21092; State CEQA Guidelines Section 15082(a)). Within 30 days of receiving the NOP, each Responsible and Trustee Agency and OPR must provide the Lead Agency with detail about the scope and content of the environmental information related to the agency's area of statutory responsibility to be included in the draft EIR. (Pub. Res. Code § 21080.4(a); State CEQA Guidelines Section 15082(b).) Prior to completing an EIR, the Lead Agency must again consult with and invite comments from all Responsible and Trustee Agencies (PRC Sections 21104(a), 21153(a); State CEQA Guidelines Section 15086). If a Lead Agency intends to adopt an ND or MND, the Lead Agency must send a Notice of Intent (NOI) to every Responsible and Trustee Agency (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15073(c)). In addition to reaching out to Responsible and Trustee Agencies, other agencies that

Napa County Board of Supervisors Water Audit California Hillwalker Vineyards Winery Page 7 of 13

a Lead Agency must consult and request comments from include:

- Any other state, federal, or local agency that has jurisdiction by law with respect to the project or that exercises authority over resources which may be affected by the project (PRC Sections 21104(a), 21153(a); State CEQA Guidelines Section 15086(a)(3)); and
- Every city or county bordering the city or county within which the project is located (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15086(a)(4).)

Lead Agencies may also have special consultation requirements with other agencies in very specific situations-for example, when certain categories of large projects would affect water supplies, the Lead Agency has certain obligations to consult with the agency that would provide water to the project (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15086(a)(3)).) Similarly, for a subdivision project within one mile of a State Water Resources Development System facility, a Lead Agency preparing an EIR must consult with Department of Water Resources (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15086(a)(7)).) For projects of "statewide, regional, or areawide significance" (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15206(b)), a Lead Agency must consult with transportation planning agencies and public agencies that have transportation facilities (including public transit agencies, if they have facilities within ½ mile of the project) within their jurisdictions that could be affected by the project (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15086(a)(5))

https://ceqaportal.org/tp/CEQA%20Lead%20Agency%20Responsible%20Trustee %202020%20Update.pdf, page 5/6

Proper communication with Responsible and Trustee agencies by the Lead Agency is not discretionary. In *Fall River Wild Trout Foundation v. County of Shasta* (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 482, 492-93 the Third District Court of Appeal held that a County's failure to send a copy of the mitigated negative declaration to CDFW as Trustee Agency deprived the County of information necessary to informed decision-making and informed public participation, and thus constituted prejudicial abuse of discretion.

The project access embraces for approximately one-half mile a tributary stream to Pickle Creek. Drawings show a clear infringement to the riparian way however the

Napa County Board of Supervisors Water Audit California Hillwalker Vineyards Winery Page 8 of 13

relevant segment of the stream was not encompassed in the posted CEQA documents. Further, the Application discloses the blocking of a watercourse, and the diversion of a spring, neither of which was disclosed in the CEQA postings.

The application states that PBES and staff will review it for completeness and reasonableness, however there is no staff report.

2. Errors in the record

- (a) Graphics submitted to CEQA do not have necessary dimension or detail. For example, see Graphics "K" which do not show distances of driveway length and from stream or cistern, or between extraction of water and adjacent wells or watercourses. CEQA documents do not disclose two tributaries to Redwood Creek within one thousand feet of the site.
- (b) Clearinghouse do not include any water availability, do not disclose the diversion of a spring, do not disclose the proximity
- (c) CEQA State Clearing House Notice of Determination "Access to the property is through APNs 034-100-020, 034-100-043, and 034-110-059" which differs from the documents submitted. Clearinghouse documents are inconsistent. CEQA State Clearing House Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal includes "Access to the property is through APNs 034-100-020, 034-100-043, and 034-110-059" however CEQA State Clearing House Notice of Intent "Access to the property is through APNs 034-110-029 and 034-100-020." The former APN should be -"059". It omits 034-100-043. This error is reflected in the CDFW comment on the project. Simply put, the entire project was not submitted for review, and therefore agency review is incomplete.
- (d) CEQA Hillwalker Vineyards IS-MND and MMRP_OCR names five (5) wells, and

Napa County Board of Supervisors Water Audit California Hillwalker Vineyards Winery Page 9 of 13

"Tier II and III Analysis are not required for the project," however the Water Availability acknowledges only two wells, and does not address the diversion of a spring. At page 4 footnote 2: WAA Procedure: "For the purposes of this procedure, surface waters are defined to include only those surface waters known or likely to support special status species or surface waters with an associated water right; however, as with all of the procedures in this WAA, there may be unique circumstances that require additional site-specific analysis to adequately evaluate a project's potential impacts on surface water bodies."

Because springs originate as groundwater, springs are eligible for WAA Tier 2 analysis. It is required that any proposed project wells within 1,500 feet9 of natural springs that are being used for domestic or agricultural purposes be evaluated to assess potential connectivity between the part of the aquifer system from which groundwater is planned to be produced and the spring(s). Springs exist in complex hydrogeologic environments. Other substantial evidence in the record may result in the need for such an analysis even though the spring(s) is located a greater distance from the planned well site. Where evaluation of potential connectivity between the project well(s) and springs is required, site-specific spring interference criteria will be established as appropriate for the springs(s) under consideration.

The latter and the impairment of the riparian way, if disclosed, would have required a Lake and Stream Alteration Agreement from CDFW pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 1602 et seq. Both were concealed on CEQA.

The California Department of Fish & Wildlife (CDFW):

... is California's Trustee Agency for the State's fish, wildlife, and plant resources. CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitats necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species. For the purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on projects and

WATER AUDIT CALIFORNIA
A California Public Benefit Corporation

952 School Street, #316 Napa, CA 94559 Voice: (707) 681-5111

Email:

General@WaterAuditCA.org

Napa County Board of Supervisors Water Audit California Hillwalker Vineyards Winery Page 10 of 13

related activities that have the potential to adversely affect fish and wildlife resources.

https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Environmental-Review/CEQA

There are adjacent wells and blue water streams within 1,500 feet that require Tier II and Tier III analyzes. There are no geological studies, well completion reports, or well pump test data,

(e) The Water Availability Analysis and Water Use Estimate calculations not in conformity with County's WAA Guidance Document 2015, nor with current Water Availability Analyses. At Agenda Packet pdf page 434/5 there are omissions and inaccurate calculations. At one location in the application it states that the vineyards are dry farmed, in another it states water consumption for vineyard and winery. Winery water consumption stated is one half of the County standards, guest use is one third of County standards, landscape use is misstated, and there is an omitted pool. The cumulative aversely differences impacts the water neutrality determination,

D. The Public Trust

The project watercourses are supportive of federal and state designated special status species that constitute the *res* of the public trust.

The public trust fulfills the basic elements of a trust: intent, purpose, and subject matter. (*Estate of Gaines* (1940) 15 Cal.2d 255, 266.) It has beneficiaries, the people of the state, and trustees, the agencies of the state entrusted with public trust duties. Fish and wildlife form a critical part of the *res* of the public trust. In the limited circumstances of the alienation of components of the public trust into private hands, the private party becomes bound with trustee duties pursuant to Public Resources Code § 6009.1.

The essential idea of the public trust doctrine is that the government holds and protects certain natural resources in trust for the public benefit. (See *Illinois Central*

Napa County Board of Supervisors Water Audit California Hillwalker Vineyards Winery Page 11 of 13

Railroad v. Illinois (1892) 146 U.S. 387, 452, 456; National Audubon Society v. Superior Court (Audubon) (1983) 33 Cal.3d 419, 441; Berkeley v. Superior Court (1980) 26 Cal.3d 515, 521.)

Public trust theory has its roots in the Roman and common law (*United States v.* 11.037 Acres of Land (N.D. Cal. 1988) 685 F. Supp. 214, 215) and its principles underlie the entirety of the State of California. Upon its admission to the United States in 1850, California received the title to its tidelands, submerged lands, and lands underlying inland navigable waters as trustee for the benefit of the public. (*People v. California Fish Co.* (*California Fish*) (1913) 166 Cal. 576, 584; *Carstens v. California Coastal Com.* (1986) 182 Cal.App.3d 277, 288.) The People of California did not surrender their public trust rights; the state holds land in its sovereign capacity in trust for public purposes. (*California Fish*, Ibid.)

The courts have ruled that the public trust doctrine requires the state to administer, as a trustee, all public trust resources for current and future generations, specifically including the public trust in surface waters and the life that inhibits our watercourses. These trust duties preclude the state from alienating those resources into private ownership.

The beneficiaries of the public trust are the people of California, and it is to them that the trustee owes fiduciary duties. As Napa County is a legal subdivision of the state, it must deal with the trust property for the beneficiary's benefit. No trustee can properly act for only some of the beneficiaries – the trustee must represent them all, taking into account any differing interests of the beneficiaries, or the trustee cannot properly represent any of them. (*Bowles v. Superior Court* (1955) 44 C2d 574.) This principle is in accord with the equal protection provisions of the Fourteenth Amendment to the US Constitution.

An agency of the State "may not approve of destructive activities without giving due regard to the preservation of those [public trust] resources." (Center for Biological

WATER AUDIT CALIFORNIA A California Public Benefit Corporation 952 School Street, #316 Napa, CA 94559 Voice: (707) 681-5111

Email:

General@WaterAuditCA.org

Napa County Board of Supervisors Water Audit California Hillwalker Vineyards Winery Page 12 of 13

Diversity, Inc. v. FPL Group, Inc. (Bio Diversity) (2008) 166 Cal.App.4th 1349, 1370, fn. 19, 83 Cal.Rptr.3d 588.) [Emphasis added]

Agencies of the state must not engage in unlawful conduct. "It is a fundamental principle of our constitutional scheme that government, like the individual, is bound by the law." (*Alderman v. United States* (1968) 394 U.S. 165, 202.) When lawless conduct occurs, the Government may not profit from its fruits. (*Weeks v. United States*, (1914) 232 U.S. 383.) The County's duty is to obey the law, which among other things requires that it not harm public trust resources by its decisions and requires the state to use its best efforts for the long-term preservation of public trust resources for the public benefit. (*Audubon*, supra, 33 Cal.3d 419, 440-441; *Surfrider Foundation v. Martins Beach 1, LLC* (2017) 14 Cal.App.5th 238, 249-251; Public Resources Code, § 6009.1.)

Common law imposes public trust considerations upon the County's decisions and actions pertaining to trust assets. (*Bio Diversity*, supra, 166 Cal.App.4th 1349; *Environmental Law Foundation v. State Water Resources Control Board (ELF)* (Cal. Ct. App. 2018) 26 Cal.App.5th 844.) The courts have recognized the State's responsibility to protect public trust uses whenever feasible. (See, e.g., *Audubon*, supra. 33 Cal.3d 419, 435; *California Trout, Inc. v. State Water Resources Control Bd.* (*Cal. Trout I*) (1989) 207 Cal.App.3d 585, 631; *California Trout, Inc. v. Superior Court* (*Cal. Trout II*) (1990) 218 Cal.App.3d 187, 289.) Napa County has an affirmative duty to administer the natural resources held by public trust solely in the interest of the people of California.

Napa County must manage its public trust resources so as to derive the maximum benefit for its citizenry. Article X of the California Constitution and the public trust doctrine hold that no water rights in California are truly "vested" in the traditional sense of property rights.

Regardless of the nature of the water right in question, no water user in the State "owns" any water. The owner of "legal title" to all water is the State in its capacity as a trustee for the benefit of the public. There can be no vested rights in water use that

Napa County Board of Supervisors Water Audit California Hillwalker Vineyards Winery Page 13 of 13

harm the public trust. A "water right" grants the holder only the right to use water, an "usufructuary right." All water rights are usufructuary only and confer no right of private ownership in the water or the watercourse, which belongs to the State. (*People v. Shirokow* (1980) 26 Cal.3d 301 at 307.)

E. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Water Audit California appeals the adoption of the above stated Mitigated Negative Declaration and granting of exception the Conservation Regulations and respectfully requests either that the matter be returned for further proceedings consistent with this appeal, or in the alternative that the applicant be directed to prepare a full EIR.

Respectfully,

William McKinnon

General Counsel

Water Audit California

	, ,	
		77 100
		East collection of the

Date: 4/16/2006 Time: 12:20:26 PM

To: Jason Hacheder From: Mark Holler

JUN 1 5 2006

Jason,
Here are the driller's reports for the
two highest flow wells. #1 is 15 gpm and #3
is 3-5 gpm. There are two other wells at 5 gpm and the man duy well around that rate too in late summer. Right now they will pump water as fast as the pumps will

Mark Holler.
Meane forward these to the county and see if they will be adequate.

From: Mark Holler To: Gary Catanzard

DAVE BESS PUMP & WELL

Date: 6/6/2006 Time: 7:41:02 AM

1115 MT GEORGE AVE. NAPA, CALIF. 94558 (707) 253-0574 LIC.# C-57-C-10-487027

"WATER WELL TEST"

DATE: 04/25/2006 REPORT NO. W-06-006

LOCATION (well address): TEST REQUESTOR:

1822 MT. VEEDER RD NAPA_CA. 94558 MARK HOLLER

SURFACE INSPECTION

CASING DIA_5" PVC EST. AGE OF WELL 2000 DEPTH OF WELL 305 FT PRESSURE TANKS (FUNCTIONAL) SANITARY SEAL (FUNCTIONAL) PIPING SYSTEM (FUNCTIONAL) ELECTRICAL SYSTEM (FUNCTIONAL) SIZE OF PUMP (HP) EST. AGE OF PUMP: 5 YRS OPERATING VOLTS: 240 AMPS: LI 8.5 L II 8.5

METHOD OF TEST: 2 HOUR OPEN FLOW DISCHARGE TEST USING THE INSTALLED PUMP AND EXISTING EQUIPMENT. (TEST EQUIPMENT USED), 2" FLOW METER, 1/4" THROTTLING DISCHARGE VALVE, 0/200 PRESSURE GAGE AND A POWERS WELL DEPTH STATIC METER.

TIME	RATE (GPM)	WATER LEVEL
10:00	_12_	46 FT
10:30	12	80 FT
11:00	10	108 FT
11:30	10	116 FT
12:00	10	116 PT
12:30	10	116 FT

STATIC LEVEL PRIOR TO TEST 46 FT STATIC LEVEL @ END OF TEST 116 FT TOTAL DRAW DOWN DURING THIS TEST WAS 70 FT (AVG.)GALLONS PER MIN. 10 FOR 21/2 HOURS OF TESTING

GENERAL COMMENTS

This test may not be representative of the well's long term yield

Well and well equipment in working condition @ time of testing. Remaining life expectancy for pump and components unknown @ this time.

TEST CONDUCTED BY: / 4 (optional) CHEMICAL TEST PERFORMED: YES

DATE: 4/25/06

Extrinsic Evidence - Not Part of the Record


ORIGINAL	-	STAT	TE OF CALL	PORNIA	DAR USE DALY - DO NOT FIL BI								
File with DWR	TARE MADE AND COMPANY OF THE STATE OF THE ST												
Page 1 of 1	** Well No. DEWATER #3 No. 792117												
Date Work Began	11/23/02	Ended 11/24/02			LATITUDE LONGITUDE								
Local Permit A	GENCY NAPA COUNT	CY ENVIRONMENTA	_DEPT_		APPLICATION								
Permit No. 9	6-12266 GEOLOGIC	Permit Date 1	1/20/02		WELL OWNER								
				Name Casta	· Owner								
ORIENTATION (X)	DRILLING ROTARY	REZONTAL ANGLE _	Mailing Addre	S COMMAND TO THE STATE OF THE S									
DEPTH FROM	104	ESCRIPTION											
Pt. to Ft.	Describe mater	rial, grain, stor, color,	etc.	CITY	ACT ASSESSED LOCATION STATE ZP								
	DESCRIBE MAINTAIL, grain, see, color, see. DESCOMPOSED SANDSTONE CALY HARDER DECOMPOSED SANDSTONE City NAPA CA 94558												
The same of the sa	SANDSTONE / CLAY		COUNTY NAPA										
	HARDER BLUE SH	TE		APN Book 34	Page 110 Parcel 47								
58 80	HARD SHALE			Township									
	<u> </u>			Latitude	MAN BEC. DEG. MAN. SEC.								
					CATION SKETCH ACTIVITY (Z)								
				1	MODIFICATION/FEPAIR — Deepon — Other (Specify) — DESTROY (Describe Prizadates and Melvice Under Yellow)								
	<u> </u>			*/	Deepen — Other (Second)								
 	<u> </u>		 	/ \	34								
 	<u> </u>			1/	DESTROY (Describe Princedures and Middell Under "GEOLOGIC LO								
				1	PLANNED USES (±								
				l_ ′	WATER SUPPLY								
				WEST	3 - inflation - Industr								
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			MONTORNG								
					CATHODIC PROTECTION_								
					PERT PUBLICATION OF THE PROPERTY PUBLICATION OF THE								
<u> </u>					NEDWOOD MECTION _								
 					RG WOOR EXTRACTION								
				2 - 2 - 2 - 2	BOUTH								
				Pencer, Merry, dc. sed	Citizence of theil from Roads, Indidings, a classic a may the additional paper if all ACCIDENTS & COMPLETE. DEWATERING.								
				and the state of t	R LEVEL & YIELD OF COMPLETED WELL								
<u> </u>		/			WATER 21 (PI) BELOW SUFFACE 1								
 		/		DEPTH OF STATIC	44/94800								
				WATER LEVEL _21	TO 5 (OPIN) & TEST TYPE AIR LIFT								
TOTAL DEPTH OF E	ORING 80 (Peet)											
	OMPLETED WELL 80	(Post)			resentative of a well's long-term yield.								
	1/	CARDIG (S)		DEPTH ARRULAR MATERIAL								
FROM SURFACE	HOLE TYPE CL		T	T	FROM SURFACE TYPE								
	Carping) MARY WITH STATE (AND STATE OF THE S	MATERIAL / BITERNAL DIMETER			FL to FL MENT TOWNTE FELL (TYPE/SIZE)								
Ft. to Ft		(Brohee)	THICHMENS		(x) (x) (x)								
		480 PVC 5	1		0 24 24 80 3/8" PEA GRA								
24: 80	83/4" F	480 PVC 5		- 436									
				1									
/1					HON STATEMENT								
ATTACHIN	E2(E) (₹)	1, the undersigned, certify th	of though ciril to	complete and accurate (pitc best of my insulating and belief.								
	ruction Diegrata	MAME DAVE BESS	R CORPORATE	VELL ION) (TYPED OR PRI	NTED)								
	Chemical Analysis	1115 MT GEORGE	LAVE		NAPA CA SOCI								
ATTACH ADDITIONAL INI	CORMATION, IF IT EXISTS.	I sime V Ki	WILLIAM STATE	REPRESENTATIVE	02/05/05 C-10/C-57 487027 DATE SIGNED C-57 LICENSE MARKE								
OWR 185 REV. 11-97	E ADDITION	WAL SPACE IS NEEDED	, USE NEXT	CONSECUTIVELY									
	W3												

Extrinsic Evidence - Not Part of the Record DWR STATE OF CALIFORNIA WELL COMPLETION REPORT File with DWR 1 1. STATE WELLINDY STATION Refer to Instruction Pamphlet Pege 1 of 1 No. 792116 Owner's Well No. DEWATER #2 __, Ended 11/22/02 LATITUDE Date Work Began 11/20/02 Local Permit Agency NAPA COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL DEPT Permit No. 98-12265 GEOLOGIC LOG -WELL OWNER Name VERTICAL ____ HORIZONTAL ____ ANGLE ____(SPECIFY) ORIENTATION DRILLING ROTARY Mailing Address - FLUID AIR DEPTH FROM DESCRIPTION SURFACE CITY STATE Describe material, grain, size, color, etc. Address 1871 MT VEEDER RD LOCATION 18 SOFT SANDSTONE CLAY "ORANGEISH" 0 City NAPA CA 94558 26 HARD SANDSTONE 18 60 GUMBO SHALE 70% CLAY 30% SOLIDS 26 County NAPA Parcel 47 Page 110 APN Book 34 Township .. Section Range Latitude DEG. DEG. -ACTIVITY (Z) LOCATION SECTOR A NEW WELL NORTH MODIFICATION/REPAIR --- Deepen - Other (Specify) DESTROY (Describe Procedures and Moterials Under "GEOLOGIC LOG") PLANNED USES (1) WATER SUPPLY .ac. Domes Industrial ____ industrial MONITORING -TEST WELL ATHORIC PROTECTION _ REDWOOD HEAT EXCHANGE . DIRECT PUBH. Rd. IMPCTION . VAPOR EXTRACTION .. SPARGING Blustede or Describe Distance of Wall from Roads, Building Fozon, Rivers, sic. and stinch a snap. Use additional paper if PLEASE BE ACCURATE & COMMILEY. REMEDIATION OTHER (SPECIFY) .. DEWATERING WATER LEVEL A YIELD OF COMPLETED WELL 1 DEPTH TO FIRST WATER 18 (FL) BELOW SURFACE DEPTH OF STATIO ESTIMATED YOLD . 10 2 (GPM) & TEST TYPE PUMP TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING 60 - (Feet) _____(Rip.) TOTAL DRAWDOWN 60 ______(Ft.) TEST LENGTH 1 TOTAL DEPTH OF COMPLETED WELL 60 (Feet) May not be representative of a well's long-term yield. CASING (5) FROM SURFACE ANNULAR MATERIAL DEPTH FROM SURFACE BORE HOLE TYPE (4) INTERNAL GAUGE SLOT SIZE DIA. MATERIAL / FILTER PACK B X DIAMETER OR WALL IF ANY (Inches) MENT TONTE FILL GRADE (Inches) (TYPE/SIZE) (Inches) (K) (X) 11 1/2" 0 24 0 24 F480 PVC 5" 265 3/8" PEA GRAV 24 8 3/4" 5" 265 032 24 60 60 F480 PVC

			1 1	- 1					_	l													
	ATTACHMEN	TS	(<u> </u>	-			71	-						TIFICA					and a second distance	-			
	. Geologic log						11	t, the u	nderolg	ned, certify t		report is co	implete and	accurate t	in the bes	it of my f	anamiodiga	and bell	ef.				
	. Well Constructi	on Di	agran)				NAM	E_DA	WE BES	<u>s pu</u>	MP & W	ELL								$\overline{}$		
	. Geophysical Lo	Q(3)					11			ON, FIRM,			N) (TYPEC	OR PRI	NTED)	NAP				CA	\ \ <u>\</u>	4558	
	SoftWater Che	mical	Analy	rals						GEORG	EAV	<u> </u>				- Desc	CITY			STATE		TEP	
	other						11	ADDRI		· Le	-0	w	13_					2/03/0	3			-67.48	7027
ATTACH ADD	TIONAL INFORM	MATIC	W, IF	ΠĐ	asts	ι	IL	Signac	WELL	DRILLER	AUTHO	RIZED RE	PRESENTA	TIVE			DA	TE SIG	ED_		C-57 LIC	CENSE N	UMBER


DWR 188 REV. 11-97

Extrinsic Evidence - Not F	
	ate: 4/16/2006 Time: 12:20:20 FM
SEP-12-00 TUE 01:15 PM BAUE BESS PU STATE OF CALIF	PANIA
WILL COMETER	ON REPORT
The state of the s	الماليالاليالاليالاليالاليالالالالالالال
Onder's Well No. 15 100 Engled 2-20-90	LOVA LONGITUDE
Co of Naga Enguerado	APATTORIO TIMES
Permit No. 1605 Permit Dete 8-15	-00 WELL OWNER
CEGLOGIC LOC	Name
ORIGINAL POPACE RUO	Molling Andrew
Describe material, grain size culor, etc.	COTY STATE ST
0 25 Grove Class	Address 1821 AT Vente Rel
25 26 Red Clay	Chy Mapa Naga
35 48 Soft Saldstone	APN Book 34 Page LIB Parel 47
113 160 Sand Stave	Township Range Section
160 215 Hand Ble Cheer Bearing	Latitude des has sec. Larginarie des sec.
Shale	LOCATION SEETCE ACCATTS (2)
216 220 Hand Rhe Shale	dr & MODIFICETOR BORNIA
260 290 Saft Blu State Clay	Ministe . a comming
290 305 Gualo stale Cha	_ passage disease
	Cartestants.
	PERSON OF THE PE
	classes
	actempnées
	Campoor Healthin in
	Relland My con concentration
	20 20
	wireless and a series and a ser
	and the second s
	Shadesh or Decembe (Redesty of 11 of Start Rents Shadesh San Office establish France, Fig. and establish in the Start St
	WATER LEVEL & MELD OF COMPLETED WELL
	DOWN TO FIRST WATER
	DEPTH OF STATIC OF THE BOARD OF THE MEASURES
4	BETTOUTED YELD - A TON THE ALLE THE THE
TOTAL DEPTH OF SORING JOB (Feet:	TEST LEMESTA
	DEPTH ARRELAN-MATERIAL M.
FROM SURFACE NO. E TYPE ()	PROM SURFACE TYPE
DIA N E W MATERIAL OFFERMAL CAN	OR MAY SHE CO RECT POINT POL FLIER MONEY
W W V B B B S S (textual policie	MESS (KE) (KE) (KE)
0 60 11 X POCHUSO 5 20	1 11/2
- 125 A 24 A 14	2
- Well Construction Diagrams - MASSE PUBLISH rest: ST CHINGING	a since of the same of the sam
_ spread Charles Impres	Borge the Medica Troops
_ 6mi	75 9-0-00 Heres
PATRON ADDITIONAL IMPORTATION, F AT STREET, SPACE IS RECORD, USE N	PUT COMMENT OF THE MARKETS SOME
My Passind Brus	sec (B) Bess Phone#
Wil Received Du	ger (13) Bess Phone# 707-253-0574
WY Received Buy	sec MA





RECEIVED



A Commitment to Service

PL Doc. No. 109747; Ver. 1/2024

CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION DISCLOSURE FORM PROJECT APPEALS

NAPA COUNTY

NAPA COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICE

(To be included with Appeal Packet)

Effective January 1, 2023, California Senate Bill 1439 expanded requirements of Government Code Section 84308, also known as the "Levine Act," to prohibit public officials from participating in any proceeding involving a license, permit, or other entitlement for use pending before the agency if the official has willfully or knowingly received contributions totaling more than two hundred fifty dollars (\$250) within the preceding 12 months from a party to the proceeding or their agent. The same prohibition applies to contributions from any participant in the proceeding or their agent, if the public official knows or has reason to know that the participant has a financial interest in the decision. The Levine Act requires parties to disclose contributions made by them and their agents to public officials, and to make the disclosure part of the record of the proceeding.

Appellant Name:				·	me or Number:	HILLWALKER VIN EXCEPTION TO T REGULATIONS NO	NN MORRISON TR / LER VINEYARDS WINERY / N TO THE CONSERVATION ONS NO. P23-00239-UP, USE PERMIT 101-UP & EXCEPTION TO THE NAPA OAD & STREET			
Appellant Organi	zation or F	irm (if different	than above):			•				
Have you, your o Napa County pub to determine if th	olic official	within the last 1	ngent of any of them 12 months? Please a met.	n, made any o aggregate any	campaign contr contributions	ibutions of more t made over the pre	han \$250 to any vious 12 months			
	Yes:		No:		(cł	neck one)				
If no, please sign If yes, please pro	and date bo	elow. lowing informat	tion and then sign a	and date below	w. Use additiona	al sheets if necessa	nry.			
Date of Contribution		Name of Public	: Official	N	Name of Contri	ibutor	Amount of Contribution			
to Napa County p disclosure form, appealed. This of	oublic office and within oligation pe	ials from mysel 12 months follo ertains only to N	f, my organization owing the County's fapa County public	or firm, or an final decision officials who	n agent of any or n on the license o have jurisdict	f them, after the d , permit, or entitle on over the appea	ate of signing this ement being			
							innon Attorney			
Organization/Firm	n name: V	ater Audii	. Camorma _{Ap}	pellant Name	e (printed):	or Water Au	innon, Attorney dit California			
For questions or a	additional i	nformation, plea	se visit https://ww	w.fppc.ca.go	v/learn/pay-to-p	lay-limits-and-pro	dit California			





SUBJECT TO THE EXCLUSIONS FROM COVERAGE, AND THE GUARANTEE CONDITIONS ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART OF THIS GUARANTEE,

FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

a Nebraska corporation, herein called the Company

GUARANTEES

the Assured named in Schedule A of this Guarantee

against loss or damage not exceeding the Amount of Liability stated in Schedule A sustained by the Assured by reason of any incorrectness in the Assurances set forth in Schedule A.

First American Title Insurance Company

Kenneth D. DeGiorgio

Greg L. Smith Secretary

Authorized Countersignature



Property Owner's Notice Guarantee

First American Title Insurance Company

GUARANTEE NUMBER

Schedule A

50077800-0001045E

File No.: T0022087-006-006-KD

Date of Guarantee: September 10, 2024 at 7:30 AM

Amount of Liability: \$1,000.00

Fee: \$500.00

Name of Assured: 1.

County of Napa

ASSURANCES: 2.

According to the last equalized Assessment Roll ("Assessment Roll") in the office of Napa County a. Assessor/Tax Collector as of the Date of Guarantee,

The persons listed below as "Assessed Owner" are shown on the Assessment Roll as owning i. real property within 1,000 feet of the land identified on the Assessment Roll as Assessor's Parcel Number(s): 034-110-047, 034-100-043, 034-100-020, 034-110-059

The Assessor's Parcel Number and any addresses shown below are as shown below are as ii. shown on the Assessment Roll.

034-060-026-000 PAUL R SINGREY TR ETAL 11436 FLORINDO RD SAN DIEGO CA 92127

034-100-017-000 FRANCISCAN VINEYARDS INC 207 HIGH POINT DR BLDG 100 VICTOR NY 14564

034-100-018-000 STEPHEN LAGIER CAROLE MEREDITH PO BOX 3167 NAPA CA 94558

034-100-021-000 **GARY & PENELOPE SCHNEIDER** 1631 BRYCE CT NAPA CA 94558

034-100-040-000 DANIEL & MARIE GALVIN 140 PINE PL SAINT HELENA CA 94574 034-100-046-000 POTT ART! LLC **1849 PINE ST** SAINT HELENA CA 94574

034-110-019-000 JEFFRY & JANET MILLER 4715 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558

034-110-020-000 **RAYMOND & NETA THORNELL** 4545 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558

034-110-049-000 M & H VINEYARDS INC 1 CALIFORNIA DR **YOUNTVILLE CA 94599-1426**

034-120-003-000 MARK PAUL TYLER TR ETAL 1385 PLAZA ST NW SALEM OR 97304-4052

034-120-004-000 RANDAL BRYANT PO BOX 20 RUTHERFORD CA 94573-0020 034-120-005-000 THOMAS CASTLE 314 EMMET PL CONCORD CA 94521 Guarantee No.: 50077800-0001045E File No.: T0022087-006-006-KD

SCHEDULE A (Continued)

034-120-006-000 SUSAN CRAIG 3870 MUIR MILL RD WILLITS CA 95490-9654 034-120-007-000 JULIO ARANOVICH 3701 SACRAMENTO ST SAN FRANCISCO CA 94118 034-120-014-000 SAN PASQUAL FIDUCIARY TRUST COMPANY TR 400 S HOPE ST STE 1300 LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2809

034-120-015-000 CHARLES WALTER & BARBARA **ZOELLNER** 8870 SE 70TH TERRACE **OCALA FL 34472**

034-120-017-000 PETER HIXSON 1591 LAUREL ST #4 SAN CARLOS CA 94070-5129 034-120-019-000 STEWART BOYD 3756 WILLIS DR NAPA CA 94558

034-230-001-000 **GERALD CASEY** 1483 MOUNT VEEDER RD NAPA CA 94558

034-230-020-000 NAPA MOUNTAIN VINEYARDS INC PO BOX 4140 NAPA CA 94558

034-260-023-000 ARLENE WOOLERY TR ETAL 228 ALDEN AVE **ROHNERT PARK CA 94928**

034-100-025-000 MT VEEDER FARMS LLC 1945 MT VEEDER RD NAPA CA 94558

034-100-035-000 **ROBERT & CHRISTINA JENNINGS** 1945 MOUNT VEEDER RD NAPA CA 94558-9773

034-120-008-000 STEPHEN HARLEY 4520 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558

034-120-018-000 STEPHEN HARLEY 4520 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558

Guarantee No. 50077800-0001045E Order No. T0022087-006-006-KD

GUARANTEE EXCLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS (06-05-14) EXCLUSIONS FROM COVERAGE

Except as expressly provided by the assurances in Schedule A, the Company assumes no liability for loss or damage by reason of the following:

- (a) Defects, liens, encumbrances, adverse claims or other matters affecting the title to any property beyond the lines of the Land.
- (b) Defects, liens, encumbrances, adverse claims or other matters, whether or not shown by the Public Records (1) that are created, suffered, assumed or agreed to by one or more of the Assureds; or (2) that result in no loss to the Assured.
- Defects, liens, encumbrances, adverse claims or other matters not shown by the Public Records.
- The identity of any party shown or referred to in any of the schedules of this Guarantee.
- The validity, legal effect or priority of any matter shown or referred to in any of the schedules of this Guarantee.
- (1) Taxes or assessments of any taxing authority that levies taxes or assessments on real property; or, (2) proceedings by a public agency which may result in taxes or assessments, or notices of such proceedings, whether or not the matters excluded under (1) or (2) are shown by the records of the taxing authority or by the Public Records.
- (g) (1) Unpatented mining claims; (2) reservations or exceptions in patents or in Acts authorizing the issuance thereof; (3) water rights, claims or title to water, whether or not the matters excluded under (1), (2) or (3) are shown by the Public Records.

GUARANTEE CONDITIONS

Definition of Terms.

The following terms when used in the Guarantee mean:

- (a) the "Assured": the party or parties named as the Assured in Schedule A, or on a supplemental writing executed by the Company.
- (b) "Land": the Land described or referred to in Schedule A, and improvements affixed thereto which by law constitute real property. The term "Land" does not include any property beyond the lines of the area described or referred to in Schedule A, nor any right, title, interest, estate or easement in abutting streets, roads, avenues, alleys, lanes, ways or waterways.
- (c) "Mortgage": mortgage, deed of trust, trust deed, or other security instrument.
- "Public Records": those records established under California statutes at Date of Guarantee for the purpose of imparting constructive notice of matters relating to real property to purchasers for value and without knowledge.
- "Date of Guarantee": the Date of Guarantee set forth in Schedule A.
- "Amount of Liability": the Amount of Liability as stated in Schedule A.

Notice of Claim to be Given by Assured.

The Assured shall notify the Company promptly in writing in case knowledge shall come to the Assured of any assertion of facts, or claim of title or interest that is contrary to the assurances set forth in Schedule A and that might cause loss or damage for which the Company may be liable under this Guarantee. If prompt notice shall not be given to the Company, then all liability of the Company shall terminate with regard to the matter or matters for which prompt notice is required; provided, however, that failure to notify the Company shall in no case prejudice the rights of the Assured under this Guarantee unless the Company shall be prejudiced by the failure and then only to the extent of the prejudice.

3. No Duty to Defend or Prosecute.

The Company shall have no duty to defend or prosecute any action or proceeding to which the Assured is a party, notwithstanding the nature of any allegation in such action or proceeding.

Company's Option to Defend or Prosecute Actions; Duty of Assured to Cooperate.

Even though the Company has no duty to defend or prosecute as set forth in Paragraph 3 above:

- (a) The Company shall have the right, at its sole option and cost, to institute and prosecute any action or proceeding, interpose a defense, as limited in Paragraph 4 (b), or to do any other act which in its opinion may be necessary or desirable to establish the correctness of the assurances set forth in Schedule A or to prevent or reduce loss or damage to the Assured. The Company may take any appropriate action under the terms of this Guarantee, whether or not it shall be liable hereunder, and shall not thereby concede liability or waive any provision of this Guarantee. If the Company shall exercise its rights under this paragraph, it shall do so diligently.
- If the Company elects to exercise its options as stated in Paragraph 4(a) the Company shall have the right to select counsel of its choice (subject to the right of the Assured to object for reasonable cause) to represent the Assured and shall not be liable for and will not pay the fees of any other counsel, nor will the Company pay any fees, costs or expenses incurred by an Assured in the defense of those causes of action which allege matters not covered by this Guarantee.
- (c) Whenever the Company shall have brought an action or interposed a defense as permitted by the provisions of this Guarantee, the Company may pursue any litigation to final determination by a court of competent jurisdiction and expressly reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to appeal from an adverse judgment or order.
- (d) In all cases where this Guarantee permits the Company to prosecute or provide for the defense of any action or proceeding, the Assured shall secure to the Company the

Page 4

CLTA Property Owner's Notice Guarantee

Guarantee No. 50077800-0001045E Order No. T0022087-006-006-KD

right to so prosecute or provide for the defense of any action or proceeding, and all appeals therein, and permit the Company to use, at its option, the name of the Assured for this purpose. Whenever requested by the Company, the Assured, at the Company's expense, shall give the Company all reasonable aid in any action or proceeding, securing evidence, obtaining witnesses, prosecuting or defending the action or lawful act which in the opinion of the Company may be necessary or desirable to establish the correctness of the assurances set forth in Schedule A or to prevent or reduce loss or damage to the Assured. If the Company is prejudiced by the failure of the Assured to furnish the required cooperation, the Company's obligations to the Assured under the Guarantee shall terminate.

5. Proof of Loss or Damage.

- (a) In the event the Company is unable to determine the amount of loss or damage, the Company may, at its option, require as a condition of payment that the Assured furnish a signed proof of loss. The proof of loss must describe the defect, lien, encumbrance, or other matter that constitutes the basis of loss or damage and shall state, to the extent possible, the basis of calculating the amount of the loss or damage.
- (b) In addition, the Assured may reasonably be required to submit to examination under oath by any authorized representative of the Company and shall produce for examination, inspection and copying, at such reasonable times and places as may be designated by any authorized representative of the Company, all records, books, ledgers, checks, correspondence and memoranda, whether bearing a date before or after Date of Guarantee, which reasonably pertain to the loss or damage. Further, if requested by any authorized representative of the Company, the Assured shall grant its permission, in writing, for any authorized representative of the Company to examine, inspect and copy all records, books, ledgers, checks, correspondence and memoranda in the custody or control of a third party, which reasonably pertain to the loss or damage. All information designated as confidential by the Assured provided to the Company pursuant to this paragraph shall not be disclosed to others unless, in the reasonable judgment of the Company, it is necessary in the administration of the claim. Failure of the Assured to submit for examination under oath, produce other reasonably requested information or grant permission to secure reasonably necessary information from third parties as required in the above paragraph, unless prohibited by law or governmental regulation, shall terminate any liability of the Company under this Guarantee to the Assured for that claim.

Options to Pay or Otherwise Settle Claims: Termination of Liability.

In case of a claim under this Guarantee, the Company shall have the following additional options:

(a) To pay or tender payment of the Amount of Liability together with any costs, attorneys' fees, and expenses incurred by the Assured that were authorized by the Company up to the time

- of payment or tender of payment and that the Company is obligated to pay.
- (b) To pay or otherwise settle with the Assured any claim assured against under this Guarantee. In addition, the Company will pay any costs, attorneys' fees, and expenses incurred by the Assured that were authorized by the Company up to the time of payment or tender of payment and that that the Company is obligated to pay; or
- (c) To pay or otherwise settle with other parties for the loss or damage provided for under this Guarantee, together with any costs, attorneys' fees, and expenses incurred by the Assured that were authorized by the Company up to the time of payment and that the Company is obligated to pay.

Upon the exercise by the Company of either of the options provided for in 6 (a), (b) or (c) of this paragraph the Company's obligations to the Assured under this Guarantee for the claimed loss or damage, other than the payments required to be made, shall terminate, including any duty to continue any and all litigation initiated by the Company pursuant to Paragraph 4.

Limitation of Liability. 7.

- (a) This Guarantee is a contract of Indemnity against actual monetary loss or damage sustained or incurred by the Assured claimant who has suffered loss or damage by reason of reliance upon the assurances set forth in Schedule A and only to the extent herein described, and subject to the Exclusions From Coverage of this Guarantee.
- If the Company, or the Assured under the direction of the Company at the Company's expense, removes the alleged defect, lien or, encumbrance or cures any other matter assured against by this Guarantee in a reasonably diligent manner by any method, including litigation and the completion of any appeals therefrom, it shall have fully performed its obligations with respect to that matter and shall not be liable for any loss or damage caused thereby.
- In the event of any litigation by the Company or with the Company's consent, the Company shall have no liability for loss or damage until there has been a final determination by a court of competent jurisdiction, and disposition of all appeals
- (d) The Company shall not be liable for loss or damage to the Assured for liability voluntarily assumed by the Assured in settling any claim or suit without the prior written consent of the Company.

Reduction of Liability or Termination of Liability.

All payments under this Guarantee, except payments made for costs, attorneys' fees and expenses pursuant to Paragraph 4 shall reduce the Amount of Liability under this Guarantee pro tanto.

Payment of Loss.

(a) No payment shall be made without producing this Guarantee for endorsement of the payment unless the Guarantee has been lost or destroyed, in which case proof of loss or destruction shall be furnished to the satisfaction of the Company.

Page 5

(b) When liability and the extent of loss or damage has been definitely fixed in accordance with these Conditions, the loss or damage shall be payable within thirty (30) days thereafter.

10. Subrogation Upon Payment or Settlement.

Whenever the Company shall have settled and paid a claim under this Guarantee, all right of subrogation shall vest in the Company unaffected by any act of the Assured.

The Company shall be subrogated to and be entitled to all rights and remedies which the Assured would have had against any person or property in respect to the claim had this Guarantee not been issued. If requested by the Company, the Assured shall transfer to the Company all rights and remedies against any person or property necessary in order to perfect this right of subrogation. The Assured shall permit the Company to sue, compromise or settle in the name of the Assured and to use the name of the Assured in any transaction or litigation involving these rights or remedies.

If a payment on account of a claim does not fully cover the loss of the Assured the Company shall be subrogated to all rights and remedies of the Assured after the Assured shall have recovered its principal, interest, and costs of collection.

11. Arbitration.

Either the Company or the Assured may demand that the claim or controversy shall be submitted to arbitration pursuant to the Title Insurance Arbitration Rules of the American Land Title Association ("Rules"). Except as provided in the Rules, there shall be no joinder or consolidation with claims or controversies of other persons. Arbitrable matters may include, but are not limited to, any controversy or claim between the Company and the Assured arising out of or relating to this Guarantee, any service of the Company in connection with its issuance or the breach of a Guarantee provision, or to any other controversy or claim arising out of the transaction giving rise to this Guarantee. All arbitrable matters when the amount of liability is \$2,000,000 or less shall be arbitrated at the option of either the Company or the Assured. All arbitrable matters when the amount of liability is in excess of \$2,000,000 shall be arbitrated only when agreed to by both the Company and the Assured. Arbitration pursuant to this Guarantee and under the Rules shall be binding upon the parties. Judgment upon the award rendered by the Arbitrator(s) may be entered in any court of competent jurisdiction.

12. Liability Limited to This Guarantee; Guarantee Entire Contract.

(a) This Guarantee together with all endorsements, if any, attached hereto by the Company is the entire Guarantee and

- contract between the Assured and the Company. In interpreting any provision of this Guarantee, this Guarantee shall be construed as a whole.
- (b) Any claim of loss or damage, whether or not based on negligence, or any action asserting such claim, shall be restricted to this Guarantee.
- No amendment of or endorsement to this Guarantee can be made except by a writing endorsed hereon or attached hereto signed by either the President, a Vice President, the Secretary, an Assistant Secretary, or validating officer or authorized signatory of the Company.

13. Severability

In the event any provision of this Guarantee, in whole or in part, is held invalid or unenforceable under applicable law, the Guarantee shall be deemed not to include that provision or such part held to be invalid, but all other provisions shall remain in full force and effect.

14. Choice of Law; Forum

(a) Choice of Law: The Assured acknowledges the Company has underwritten the risks covered by this Guarantee and determined the premium charged therefor in reliance upon the law affecting interests in real property and applicable to the interpretation, rights, remedies, or enforcement of Guaranties of the jurisdiction where the Land is located.

Therefore, the court or an arbitrator shall apply the law of the jurisdiction where the Land is located to determine the validity of claims that are adverse to the Assured and to interpret and enforce the terms of this Guarantee. In neither case shall the court or arbitrator apply its conflicts of law principles to determine the applicable law.

(b) Choice of Forum: Any litigation or other proceeding brought by the Assured against the Company must be filed only in a state or federal court within the United States of America or its territories having appropriate jurisdiction.

15. Notices, Where Sent.

All notices required to be given the Company and any statement in writing required to be furnished the Company shall include the number of this Guarantee and shall be addressed to the Company at First American Title Insurance Company, Attn: Claims National Intake Center, 1 First American Way, Santa Ana, California 92707. Claims.NIC@firstam.com Phone: 888-632-1642 Fax: 877-804-7606.



034-060-026-000 PAUL R SINGREY TR ETAL 11436 FLORINDO RD SAN DIEGO CA 92127

034-100-021-000 GARY & PENELOPE SCHNEIDER 1631 BRYCE CT NAPA CA 94558

034-110-019-000 JEFFRY & JANET MILLER 4715 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558

034-120-003-000 MARK PAUL TYLER TR ETAL 1385 PLAZA ST NW SALEM OR 97304-4052

034-120-006-000 SUSAN CRAIG 3870 MUIR MILL RD WILLITS CA 95490-9654

034-120-015-000 CHARLES WALTER & BARBARA ZOELLNER 8870 SE 70TH TERRACE OCALA FL 34472

034-230-001-000 GERALD CASEY 1483 MOUNT VEEDER RD NAPA CA 94558

034-100-025-000 MT VEEDER FARMS LLC 1945 MT VEEDER RD NAPA CA 94558

034-120-018-000 STEPHEN HARLEY 4520 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558 034-100-017-000 FRANCISCAN VINEYARDS INC 207 HIGH POINT DR BLDG 100 VICTOR NY 14564

034-100-040-000 DANIEL & MARIE GALVIN 140 PINE PL SAINT HELENA CA 94574

034-110-020-000 RAYMOND & NETA THORNELL 4545 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558

034-120-004-000 RANDAL BRYANT PO BOX 20 RUTHERFORD CA 94573-0020

034-120-007-000 JULIO ARANOVICH 3701 SACRAMENTO ST SAN FRANCISCO CA 94118

034-120-017-000 PETER HIXSON 1591 LAUREL ST #4 SAN CARLOS CA 94070-5129

034-230-020-000 NAPA MOUNTAIN VINEYARDS INC PO BOX 4140 NAPA CA 94558

034-100-035-000 ROBERT & CHRISTINA JENNINGS 1945 MOUNT VEEDER RD NAPA CA 94558-9773 034-100-018-000 STEPHEN LAGIER CAROLE MEREDITH PO BOX 3167 NAPA CA 94558

034-100-046-000 POTT ART! LLC 1849 PINE ST SAINT HELENA CA 94574

034-110-049-000 M & H VINEYARDS INC 1 CALIFORNIA DR YOUNTVILLE CA 94599-1426

034-120-005-000 THOMAS CASTLE 314 EMMET PL CONCORD CA 94521

034-120-014-000 SAN PASQUAL FIDUCIARY TRUST COMPANY TR 400 S HOPE ST STE 1300 LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2809

034-120-019-000 STEWART BOYD 3756 WILLIS DR NAPA CA 94558

034-260-023-000 ARLENE WOOLERY TR ETAL 228 ALDEN AVE ROHNERT PARK CA 94928

034-120-008-000 STEPHEN HARLEY 4520 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558





034-060-026-000 PAUL R SINGREY TR ETAL 11436 FLORINDO RD SAN DIEGO CA 92127

034-100-021-000 GARY & PENELOPE SCHNEIDER 1631 BRYCE CT NAPA CA 94558

034-110-019-000 JEFFRY & JANET MILLER 4715 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558

034-120-003-000 MARK PAUL TYLER TR ETAL 1385 PLAZA ST NW SALEM OR 97304-4052

034-120-006-000 SUSAN CRAIG 3870 MUIR MILL RD WILLITS CA 95490-9654

034-120-015-000 CHARLES WALTER & BARBARA ZOELLNER 8870 SE 70TH TERRACE OCALA FL 34472

034-230-001-000 GERALD CASEY 1483 MOUNT VEEDER RD NAPA CA 94558

034-100-025-000 MT VEEDER FARMS LLC 1945 MT VEEDER RD NAPA CA 94558

034-120-018-000 STEPHEN HARLEY 4520 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558 034-100-017-000 FRANCISCAN VINEYARDS INC 207 HIGH POINT DR BLDG 100 VICTOR NY 14564

034-100-040-000 DANIEL & MARIE GALVIN 140 PINE PL SAINT HELENA CA 94574

034-110-020-000 RAYMOND & NETA THORNELL 4545 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558

034-120-004-000 RANDAL BRYANT PO BOX 20 RUTHERFORD CA 94573-0020

034-120-007-000 JULIO ARANOVICH 3701 SACRAMENTO ST SAN FRANCISCO CA 94118

034-120-017-000 PETER HIXSON 1591 LAUREL ST #4 SAN CARLOS CA 94070-5129

034-230-020-000 NAPA MOUNTAIN VINEYARDS INC PO BOX 4140 NAPA CA 94558

034-100-035-000 ROBERT & CHRISTINA JENNINGS 1945 MOUNT VEEDER RD NAPA CA 94558-9773 034-100-018-000 STEPHEN LAGIER CAROLE MEREDITH PO BOX 3167 NAPA CA 94558

034-100-046-000 POTT ART! LLC 1849 PINE ST SAINT HELENA CA 94574

034-110-049-000 M & H VINEYARDS INC 1 CALIFORNIA DR YOUNTVILLE CA 94599-1426

034-120-005-000 THOMAS CASTLE 314 EMMET PL CONCORD CA 94521

034-120-014-000 SAN PASQUAL FIDUCIARY TRUST COMPANY TR 400 S HOPE ST STE 1300 LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2809

034-120-019-000 STEWART BOYD 3756 WILLIS DR NAPA CA 94558

034-260-023-000 ARLENE WOOLERY TR ETAL 228 ALDEN AVE ROHNERT PARK CA 94928

034-120-008-000 STEPHEN HARLEY 4520 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558



034-060-026-000 PAUL R SINGREY TR ETAL 11436 FLORINDO RD SAN DIEGO CA 92127

034-100-021-000 GARY & PENELOPE SCHNEIDER 1631 BRYCE CT NAPA CA 94558

034-110-019-000 JEFFRY & JANET MILLER 4715 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558

034-120-003-000 MARK PAUL TYLER TR ETAL 1385 PLAZA ST NW SALEM OR 97304-4052

034-120-006-000 SUSAN CRAIG 3870 MUIR MILL RD WILLITS CA 95490-9654

034-120-015-000 CHARLES WALTER & BARBARA ZOELLNER 8870 SE 70TH TERRACE OCALA FL 34472

034-230-001-000 GERALD CASEY 1483 MOUNT VEEDER RD NAPA CA 94558

034-100-025-000 MT VEEDER FARMS LLC 1945 MT VEEDER RD NAPA CA 94558

034-120-018-000 STEPHEN HARLEY 4520 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558 034-100-017-000 FRANCISCAN VINEYARDS INC 207 HIGH POINT DR BLDG 100 VICTOR NY 14564

034-100-040-000 DANIEL & MARIE GALVIN 140 PINE PL SAINT HELENA CA 94574

034-110-020-000 RAYMOND & NETA THORNELL 4545 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558

034-120-004-000 RANDAL BRYANT PO BOX 20 RUTHERFORD CA 94573-0020

034-120-007-000 JULIO ARANOVICH 3701 SACRAMENTO ST SAN FRANCISCO CA 94118

034-120-017-000 PETER HIXSON 1591 LAUREL ST #4 SAN CARLOS CA 94070-5129

034-230-020-000 NAPA MOUNTAIN VINEYARDS INC PO BOX 4140 NAPA CA 94558

034-100-035-000 ROBERT & CHRISTINA JENNINGS 1945 MOUNT VEEDER RD NAPA CA 94558-9773 CAROLE MEREDITH
PO BOX 3167
NAPA CA 94558
034-100-046-000

034-100-018-000

STEPHEN LAGIER

POTT ART! LLC 1849 PINE ST SAINT HELENA CA 94574

034-110-049-000 M & H VINEYARDS INC 1 CALIFORNIA DR YOUNTVILLE CA 94599-1426


034-120-005-000 THOMAS CASTLE 314 EMMET PL CONCORD CA 94521


034-120-014-000 SAN PASQUAL FIDUCIARY TRUST COMPANY TR 400 S HOPE ST STE 1300 LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2809


034-120-019-000 STEWART BOYD 3756 WILLIS DR NAPA CA 94558


034-260-023-000 ARLENE WOOLERY TR ETAL 228 ALDEN AVE ROHNERT PARK CA 94928


034-120-008-000 STEPHEN HARLEY 4520 REDWOOD RD NAPA CA 94558














APPEAL FEE SCHEDULE WORKSHEET

(April 2023)

Required Select one ▶ COSTS TOTAL 1 NOTICE BY MAIL (COB) \$49.00 \$ 49.00 100 addresses or less \$173.00 101 to 400 addresses \$261.00 Over 400 addresses .54/Per Add. \$27.00 Costs associated w notice by mail/per address # 50 2 NOTICE BY PUBLICATION (COB) * \$198.00 \$ 198.00 Cost of publication in newspaper * \$63.00 \$ 63.00 Costs associated with notice of publication * \$60.00 \$ 60.00 Preparation of agenda Court Reporter per diem (if requested) actual cost 3 COST OF RECORD ON APPEAL (COB) \$91.00 250 pages or less \$184.00 Over 250 pages \$3.00 Duplication of record - \$3 first 5 pages (.60 per page) # \$0.10 Duplication of record - \$.10 each additional page actual cost Transcript cost actual cost Maps and special needs 4 FEES (DEPARTMENT) Notice of Intent - Appeal to the Board of Supervisors \$1,000.00 \$ 1,000.00 Applicable Department Based on record or de novo \$ 1,488.60 **GRAND TOTAL** 08/30/24 \$ 1,000.00 Date and amount previously received

I understand the breakdown of the fees charg	ed.
(5.0)	9/16/24
- College Sple	
Signature	Date

Balance due and form of payment

\$ 486.00

Water Audit California 952 School St # 316 Napa CA 94559-2826	01	
PAY TO THE ORDER OF AND ONLY A	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	04
Bank of Marin 1715 Second Street Napa, CA 94559 Memo	Tierre Doll	ARS V
1:1211418771: 25798400#	0139	

RECEIP	T DATE	09/10/24	No.	396348
RECEIVED FROM Wal	er Aud	it California		\$ 488.00
OFOR RENT Appear ? acket! Hillwalker Vineyards				
ACCOUNT PAYMENT OLG C	CASH	FROM	ΤΟ	
BAL. DUE	ORDER ORBEIT CARD	By Anthony William		3-11