



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 23-1938 **Version:** 1

Type: Resolution **Status:** Agenda Ready

File created: 11/17/2023 **In control:** Board of Supervisors

On agenda: 12/5/2023 **Final action:**

Title: District Engineer requests adoption of a Resolution approving and submitting an argument in favor of LBRID Measure "U," establishing the appropriations limit of Lake Berryessa Resort Improvement District.

Sponsors: Board of Supervisors

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Resolution

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

TO: Members of the Governing Board

FROM: Chris Silke, District Engineer

REPORT BY: Thomas Zeleny, Chief Deputy County Counsel

SUBJECT: Argument in favor of LBRID Measure "U"

RECOMMENDATION

District Engineer requests adoption of a Resolution approving and submitting an argument in favor of LBRID Measure "U," establishing the appropriations limit of Lake Berryessa Resort Improvement District.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

California Elections Code section 9162 authorizes the Governing Board of LBRID to submit a written argument for or against a ballot measure. The Board approved submitting arguments in favor of prior measures to establish the appropriations limit for LBRID in 2016 (Measure "S") and 2020 (Measure "T"). This proposed action would approve the argument in favor of LBRID Measure "U" attached as Exhibit "A" to the resolution, and direct the Clerk of the Board to submit the argument to the Registrar of Voters on behalf of the Board for inclusion in the ballot materials.

FISCAL & STRATEGIC PLAN IMPACT

Is there a Fiscal Impact? No

Is it Mandatory or Discretionary? Discretionary

Discretionary Justification: The argument helps explain to the public that the measure is necessary for the District to spend its existing revenue.

Is the general fund affected?	No
Consequences if not approved:	An argument submitted by the Board has priority. Another person may submit an argument in favor if the Board does not.
County Strategic Plan pillar addressed:	Effective and Open Government

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: This proposed activity is not subject to CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15060(c)(3) because the activity is not a project pursuant to section 15378, and because it will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment pursuant to section 15060(c)(2).

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

California Elections Code section 9162 authorizes the Governing Board of LBRID to submit a written argument for or against a ballot measure. The Board approved submitting arguments in favor of prior measures to establish the appropriations limit for LBRID in 2016 (Measure “S”) and 2020 (Measure “T”). This proposed action would approve the argument in favor of LBRID Measure “U” attached as Exhibit “A” to the resolution, and direct the Clerk of the Board to submit the argument to the Registrar of Voters on behalf of the Board for inclusion in the ballot materials. The argument in favor of LBRID Measure “U” in Exhibit “A” is substantially the same as the Board approved in 2016 and 2020.

The appropriations limit must be approved by voters in LBRID every four years. LBRID Measure “U” seeks voter approval to establish the appropriations limit for LBRID at \$510,000 for Fiscal Years 2024-2025 through 2027-2028. The appropriations limit will be adjusted after the first fiscal year to account for increases in the cost of living or population. The appropriations limit for LBRID for Fiscal Year 2023-2024 was \$507,899. If Measure “U” is not approved, the appropriations limit for LBRID will fall to \$55,870 for Fiscal Year 2024-2025, making it difficult for LBRID to continue providing water and sewer services.