#### Napa Valley Subbasin Groundwater Pumping Reduction Workplan Implementation Update

Napa County GSA TAG Meeting



## Overview

- 1. GPR Implementation
- 2. NCGSA Water Certification Partnership
- 3. Program Incentives Concepts
- 4. Outreach and Education Updates
- 5. Benchmarking Program Updates
- 6. Extended Replant Concept
- 7. Next Steps



#### GROUNDWATER PUMPING REDUCTION WORKPLAN IMPLEMENTATION



# **GPR Workplan Implementation**

#### Guiding Framework:

- Focus on voluntary actions that achieve groundwater benefits for the Subbasin
- Assess the costs and benefits of alternative actions and focus on those that are most cost-effective
- Leverage existing programs and opportunities to generate value from a suite of voluntary actions
- Include adaptive management to adjust the program as data and sustainability indicators evolve
- Mandatory measures if voluntary programs do not achieve measurable reductions in groundwater pumping (e.g., mandatory metering/reporting)



## **Development & Implementation Timeline**

Q4 24	Q1 25	Q2 25	Q3 25	Q4 25	Q1 26	Q2 26
Component 1: Education and Outreach; Feasibility Analysis						
D	D	D	D	Ι	Ι	Ι
D	D	D	D	D	D	D
D	D	D	D	Ι	Ι	Ι
D	D	D	D	D	Ι	Ι
D	D	D	D	D	D	D
D	D	D	D	Ι	Ι	Ι
D	D	D	D	D	Ι	Ι
D	D	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι
	n; Feasibili D D D D D D D D	h; Feasibility Analys DDDDD DDDD DDDD DDD DDD DD	Feasibility AnalysisDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD	h; Feasibility Analysis         D       D       D         D       D       D         D       D       D         D       D       D         D       D       D         D       D       D         D       D       D         D       D       D         D       D       D         D       D       D         D       D       D         D       D       D         D       D       D         D       D       D         D       D       D          D       D       D          D       D       D          D       D       D          D       D       D	Feasibility AnalysisDD	n; Feasibility Analysis D D D D D I I I D D D D D D D D D D D D

D = Development, I = Implementation

**ERA** Economics


Environment • Resources • Agriculture

#### NCGSA WATER CERTIFICATION PARTNERSHIP CONCEPT



## Water Certification Partnership

- Certification concept updates
  - Voluntary, incentive-driven partnership to encourage expansion of water conservation practices
  - Partnership with existing certification programs or other third parties (e.g., Farm Bureau, Napa RCD, etc.) to recognize vineyards and wineries implementing practices
  - One component of a suite of NCGSA programs





## **Updated Program Overview**

Partnership: working with existing certification programs or third parties

**Practices:** irrigation management, recycling/wastewater use, processes and technology

Metering: required (as a condition of receiving subsidy for certification costs)

Verification: combination of audits and self reporting

Data: third party receives data and aggregates it at AVA level

Calculations: quantify water savings for practices implemented at AVA level

**Reporting:** aggregate measures of impact/benefit across AVAs and Napa Valley Subbasin



### Updates Overview – I



- Typos and text editsAdd urban practices
- Add additional discussion for GPR and how certification program fits
- Clarify measurement and reporting

Land pract
Seled mant
Wate mort
Recy
Clar

**Conservation** Practices

• Landscape irrigation practices

- Selected vineyard management practices
- Water and soil moisture monitoring
- Recycled water
- Clarify best practices

Audits
 Solf rop

Verification Requirements

- Self reporting
- Points based systems
- 3<sup>rd</sup> party verification



Editorial Changes

## Updates Overview – II



- Expanding Partnerships
- Existing certification programs
- New partners (e.g., Farm Bureau)
- New partnerships between multiple entities





- Elements for implementation:
  - Conservation practice audit/verification
  - Data gathering, assembly, and reporting
- Calculate/verify water conservation



Incentives

Jtowet

- Financial incentives
  - Fees
  - Offset/subsidize certification costs
- Technical assistance
  - Grants
  - Irrigation management
  - Data collection and reporting
- Timing of any payments



## **Program Partnership Entities**

Entity that develops and verifies water conservation practices

Entity/software for data collection, aggregation, and reporting

• Existing certification programs

• Existing certification programs

• Other entities (e.g., Farm Bureau, RCD)

- Other entities (e.g., Farm Bureau, RCD)
- Data vendor / software solution
- Other new entity

• New entity

#### Entity for water conservation verification and analysis

- Existing certification programs
- Other entities (e.g., Farm Bureau, RCD)
- Third party
- GSA



## **Potential Program Incentives**




Napa County GSA TAG Meeting | March 13, 2025

Environment • Resources • Agriculture

#### PROGRAM INCENTIVES CONCEPTS



## **Current Status**



- Included in the certification partnership concept
- Expanding service and financial incentives
  - Includes summary of funding mechanisms
- 5 types of incentives:
  - Nudges
  - Planning
  - Implementation
  - Branding and Recognition
  - Partnership



### **Grower-Focused Incentives**

GSP/GSA partial fee deferral

Certification costs (and costs for other potential GPR programs)

Water conservation practice costs

Data management and reporting costs (water tracking technology)

Other water data costs (e.g., meters, sensors, or remote sensing)

Other permitting / regulatory assistance




#### OUTREACH AND EDUCATION UPDATES



### **Outreach and Education Program Goals**


- Expanding strategy to coordinate receiving feedback and building partners
- Voluntary expansion of water conservation through education and outreach:





#### **Ongoing Outreach and Education and Partnerships**

#### Outreach and Education, October 2023 to February 2025



\*Excludes coordination meetings, other public meetings (e.g., TAG, GSA), and technical meetings



## **Examples of Ongoing Outreach**

- Industry members
- Napa Valley Grapegrowers
- Napa Valley Vintners
- Napa Valley Winegrowers
- Napa Valley Farmworker
   Foundation
- Napa County Farm Bureau

- Local AVA Organizations
- Abuelitos Foundation
- Fish Friendly Farming
- Napa Green
- SIP Certified
- California Sustainable
   Winegrowing Alliance




#### BENCHMARKING PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT UPDATES



# **Current Status**

Benchmarking allows growers to compare their estimated water use over time to an anonymous peer group.

- Refining methodology and estimation process
- Designing and developing scorecard output
- Assessing performance in collaboration with test site






#### VOLUNTARY EXTENDED REPLANTING CONCEPT



#### **Extended Replant Water Conservation**

- Program concept
  - Delay replanting for an extended period (e.g., 1, 2+ years)
  - Calculate and offer incentives to encourage participation
- Considerations
  - Current wine/winegrape market conditions
  - Opportunities for replant
  - Funding mechanisms
- Quantifying program water savings
  - Duration of program
  - Shifting replants






# **Extended Replanting Concept**

- Incentive concept components
  - Groundwater Savings. Costs incurred (or avoided) and forgone income
  - Other Transition Practices. Potential additional incentive payments could be included for specific practices
  - Other Benefits. Payments for other public benefits. For example, other programs have payments for specific areas (e.g., near domestic wells)

Selected Estimated Age Distribution of Vineyards by Class, 1984-2024, Napa Valley Subbasin





#### NEXT STEPS



## **Next Steps**

Continue to implement the GPR Workplan

- Outreach
- Certification
- Incentives
- Benchmarking
- Pilot Sites

Certification document, incentive document (target: April TAG meeting)



#### DISCUSSION AND QUESTIONS



#### **Discussion and Questions**

- 1. Should other incentive categories be included in the GPR incentives?
- 2. Are there other outreach and education opportunities that should be considered for the strategy?
- 3. How should the extended replant program concept be refined?

