AMENDMENT NO. 2

OF

NAPA COUNTY AGREEMENT NO. 170727B PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

THIS AMENDMENT NO. 2 OF NAPA COUNTY AGREEMENT NO. 170727B is made and entered into as of this _____ day of _______, 2023, by and between NAPA COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of California, hereinafter referred to as "COUNTY", and BIGGS CARDOSA & ASSOCIATES, INC., a California corporation, whose mailing address is 865 THE ALAMEDA, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95126, hereinafter referred to as "CONTRACTOR" or "CONSULTANT". The COUNTY and CONSULTANT may be referred to below collectively as "Parties" and individually as "Party."

RECITALS

WHEREAS, COUNTY entered into Napa County Agreement No. 170727B with CONSULTANT on January 24, 2017 (the "Agreement"), to obtain specialized services, as authorized by Government Code section 31000, in order to provide civil, structural, traffic, and geotechnical engineering services; right-of-way acquisition; and construction support; and

WHEREAS, the parties amended the Agreement on March 22, 2022 ("Amendment No. 1") to increase the maximum compensation amounts payable to CONTRACTOR by \$340,160 from \$707,515 to \$1,047,675 to provide additional engineering and environmental services and to extend the Agreement term; and

WHEREAS, numerous requirements relating to the project have been changed, requiring off-site mitigation, hazardous material survey, additional permitting services; and

WHEREAS, the parties now desire to amend the Agreement to increase the maximum compensation amounts payable to CONTRACTOR by \$105,275 from \$1,047,675 to \$1,152,950 to provide additional engineering and environmental services;

TERMS

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of which are hereby acknowledged, COUNTY and CONSULTANT hereby amend the Agreement as follows:

1. Paragraph 2 of the Agreement is amended in its entirety to read in full as follows:

Scope of Services. CONTRACTOR shall provide COUNTY those services set forth in Exhibits "A" (original Agreement), "B-3" (Amendment No. 1) and "B-4" (Amendment No. 2) attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein.

2. Paragraph 3 (a) of the Agreement is amended in its entirety to read in full as follows:

Compensation.

- (a) <u>Rates.</u> In consideration of CONTRACTOR's fulfillment of the promised work, COUNTY shall pay CONTRACTOR at the rates set forth in Exhibits "B", "B-3", and "B-4", attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein, for the respective services described therein. The consideration to be paid to CONTRACTOR as provided herein, shall be in compensation for all of CONTRACTOR's expenses incurred in the performance hereof, including travel and per diem, unless otherwise expressly so provided.
- 3. Paragraph 3 (c) of the Agreement is amended in its entirety to read in full as follows:

Compensation.

- (c) <u>Maximum Amount.</u> Notwithstanding subparagraphs (a) and (b), the maximum payments under this Agreement shall be a total of ONE MILLION ONE HUNDRED FIFTY-TWO THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS (\$1,152,950.00); provided, however, that such amounts shall not be construed as guaranteed sums, and compensation shall be based upon services actually rendered and reimbursable expenses actually incurred. Each task set forth in Exhibits "A", "B-3", and "B-4" shall be subject to the maximum not to exceed fee for the task as set forth respectively in Exhibit "B" and "B-3", and "B-4", unless prior written consent to exceed a task fee has been authorized in writing by the Project Manager. Any approval by the Project Manager to exceed a task fee shall not alter the maximum payments for services and expenses under this Agreement.
- 4. Exhibit "B-4", attached hereto, is hereby added to and incorporated into the Agreement.
- 5. Except as provided in (1), (2), (3), and (4), above, all other provisions of the Agreement and its Amendment No. 1 shall remain in full force and effect as previously approved and amended.

//
//
//
//
//
//
//
//

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, COUNTY and CONSULTANT have executed this Amendment No. 2 of Napa County Agreement No. 170727B as of the date first above written.

	BIGGS CARDOSA & AS	SOCIATES, INC
	By A	mm
	MAHVASH M. HARN	MS, Vice President
	By Man B. DANIEL B. DEVLIN,	Secretary
	"CONSUL"	ΓΑΝΤ"
	NAPA COUNTY, a politic the State of California	cal subdivision of
	Ву	
	BELIA RAMOS, Chai	r
	Board of Supervisors	•
	"COUNTY	"
APPROVED AS TO FORM	APPROVED BY THE	ATTEST: NEHA HOSKINS
Office of County Counsel	NAPA COUNTY	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
By <u>Ryan FitzGerald (e-sign)</u>	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	
County Counsel, Deputy	Date:	By:
	Processed By:	
Date: September 20, 2023		
PL No. 100729	Deputy Clerk of the Board	
	1	

EXHIBIT "B-4"

ADDITIONAL WORK REQUEST DATED AUGUST 27, 2023

BIGGS CARDOSA ASSOCIATES INC STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS

Attachment "B-4"

865 The Alameda San Jose, CA 95126-3133 Telephone 408-296-5515 Facsimile 408-296-8114

August 27, 2023 2015260A

Mr. James Reese, PE Napa County Public Works 1195 Third Street, Suite 101 Napa, CA 94559

Subject:

Chiles-Pope Valley Road Bridge Replacement at Chiles Creek, Napa County, CA

Additional Work Request No. 2

[Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination / Additional Environmental Permitting

Services / Off Site Mitigation Services]

Dear Mr. Reese:

The County of Napa (County) contracted with Biggs Cardosa Associates, Inc. (BCA) to provide design services for the Chiles Pope Road Bridge Replacement project. Parikh Consultants Inc (PCI)was subcontracted to BCA to provide geotechnical engineering services, GPA was subcontracted to BCA to provide environmental services, including California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation and regulatory permit acquisition, and Merril Morris Partners (MMP) was subcontracted to BCA to provide landscape architectural services.

1) **HAZARDOUS MATERIALS (ASBESTOS) DETERMINATION** - As required by the NEPA Environmental Commitment Records (ECR), a Certified Asbestos Consultant (CAC) is required to make the determination of the presence or absence of the hazardous material, asbestos.

Additional Services for Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination involves the following subtask breakdown.

2.4.2 Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination - PCI will engage a Certified Asbestos Consultant (CAC) to survey the bridge and determine the presence or absence of asbestos on the bridge and incorporate the appropriate mitigation process if required into the construction documents.

Based on this, we are requesting an amendment to the current contract, as described below.

- 1. Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination [Task 2.4.2]
 - a. Bridge Survey and Testing
 - b. Asbestos Determination Report

We estimate that the additional budget required to perform the extra work associated with <u>Hazardous</u> <u>Materials (Asbestos) Determination</u> to be summarized and broken down as follows:

PROPOSED BUDGET - Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination

BCA (See Attachment 1 for task/hourly breakdown)	\$1,097.00
PCI (See Attachment 2 for task/hourly breakdown)	\$4,178.00
Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination - SUBTOTAL:	\$5,275.00



Chiles-Pope Valley Road Bridge Replacement at Chiles Creek, Napa County, CA
Additional Service Request No. 2
[Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination / Additional
Environmental Permitting Services / Off Site Mitigation Services]
August 27, 2023 (Page 2 of 9)

2) ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITING SERVICES – To date, GPA has completed preparation of technical studies including the Mitigation Monitoring and Report Plan (MMRP), Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration required to comply with CEQA and has initiated the regulatory permitting process.

Upon receiving the draft CDFW 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement (SAA) Permit, the County requested GPA to update the MMRP with regulatory permit mitigation requirements as well as engage in additional negotiations with CDFW to minimize the tree replacement mitigation requirements so the project could determine the viability of an off-site mitigation alternative.

As a result of the on-going permitting effort completed for the SAA Permit, the County received unforeseen notification from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) that the project may result in the take of a state threatened species; Strix Occidentalis Caurina [Northern Spotted Owl (NSO)]. During the NEPA/CEQA environmental clearance effort, prior to the permit application effort, the project underwent Caltrans review and completed USFWS consultation resulting in a no effect determination of NSO. To address CDFW's assertion of potential NSO impact by the project, a two-stepped approach is proposed;

1st Step – Perform a NSO Habitat Assessment to validate/invalidate CDFW's assertion of the presence of suitable NSO habitat and potential take of NSO. If the NSO Habitat Assessment substantially disproves CDFW's assertion, the County will engage CDFW to address the NSO concern and demonstrate the invalidity of CDFW's assertion to complete and obtain the Section 1602 permit.

2nd Step – If the NSO Habitat Assessment validates CDFW's assertion of the presence of suitable NSO habitat, the County will engage CDFW to coordinate appropriate NSO mitigation measures to obtain the Section 1602 permit, which will include performing NSO Protocol-Level Surveys per the US Fish and Wildlife Services (USFWS) *Protocol for Surveying Proposed Management Activities That May Include Northern Spotted Owls (2012)* prior to construction.

As a result of the permitting efforts completed to date, the County has received notification from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) that the project may result in the take of state threatened northern spotted owl (Strix occidentalis caurina). To date, we have provided technical coordination support to the County to navigate/discuss options associated with northern spotted owl. In addition, County has received the Draft 1602 Agreement from CDFW and has elected to engage in negotiations with CDFW. Coordination for northern spotted owl and negotiations with CDFW for the Draft 1602 Agreement was not included in GPA's contract.

Based on this, we are requesting an amendment to the current contract, as described below.

- 1. Additional Project Management and Administration [Task 2.1]
 - a. Additional Project Administration/Budgeting/Cost Accounting
 - b. 4 Additional PDT Meetings & Agency Coordination
 - c. Project Schedule Updates
- 2. Environmental Permitting [Task 2.4]
 - a. CDFW 1602 SAA Permit Negotiations [Task 2.4.3]



Chiles-Pope Valley Road Bridge Replacement at Chiles Creek, Napa County, CA
Additional Service Request No. 2
[Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination / Additional
Environmental Permitting Services / Off Site Mitigation Services]
August 27, 2023 (Page 3 of 9)

- i. Preliminary Northern Spotted Owl Coordination & Habitat Assessment
- ii. Engagement with CDFW in an additional round of 1602 Permit Negotiations
- iii. Inclusion of Regulatory Conditions into the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan (MMRP)

Task 2.1 - Additional Project Management and Administration

With Amendments One (1) and Two (2) of this contract, the project schedule was previously extended to complete the design/permit and bid phase and start the construction phase in May 2023. To obtain the necessary permits, the permitting effort can potentially extend the project schedule by 11 months to start the construction phase in April 2024. Due to project design schedule extension, additional management hours and budget are required for continued project administration, updating project schedule, budgeting and invoicing, preparing progress reports with invoice, etc.

The current scope of work, based on the current project schedule, anticipated maximum of 35 project development team (PDT) meetings with County staff members. However, due to project extensions we anticipate up to a maximum of 4 additional PDT meetings and 11 schedule reviews & updates may be required before the start of construction in April of 2024.

Additional Services to incorporate Additional Project Management involves the following subtask breakdown

- 2.1.1 Project Administration/ Budgeting / Cost Accounting
- 2.1.2 Meeting/Agency Coordination
- 2.1.3 Project Schedule

Task 2.4 - Environmental Permitting

Task 2.4.3a - 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement Additional Negotiations

County has elected to engage in negotiations with CDFW for the 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement. GPA will review the Draft 1602 Agreement and will present potential concerns with County in a coordination call with the project team to discuss. GPA will summarize County's concerns and negotiation requests in up to one Draft 1602 Agreement Revision Request to CDFW in a matrix format, or similar, via EPIMS. GPA will attend up to one coordination phone meeting with CDFW, if requested. Once the formal revision request is submitted, GPA understands that County will accept CDFW's response without further negotiation and that no additional back and forth with CDFW will be conducted prior to finalizing the Agreement. GPA will also summarize the preliminary survey and reporting deadlines, as described in the Draft Agreement, in a bulleted list format for County's use in tracking key dates/deadlines.

Task 2.4.3b - Inclusion of Regulatory Conditions into the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan

At County's request, GPA will incorporate the final permitting conditions from the RWQCB Section 401, USACE Section 404, and CDFW 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement into the existing Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Plan. GPA assumes up to one review by County, with minor comments.



Chiles-Pope Valley Road Bridge Replacement at Chiles Creek, Napa County, CA
Additional Service Request No. 2
[Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination / Additional
Environmental Permitting Services / Off Site Mitigation Services]
August 27, 2023 (Page 4 of 9)

Task 2.4.3c - CDFW 1602 SAA Permit Negotiations for NSO

Northern Spotted Owl Preliminary Coordination and Support - The project underwent Caltrans review and completed USFWS consultation, resulting in a no effect determination for northern spotted owl. However, to date, GPA has provided extensive northern spotted owl coordination during the 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement application review process, which was not previously scoped. The design team provided NSO technical guidance, attended and facilitated focused NSO coordination calls internally and with CDFW and the County to develop an acceptable approach to address CDFW's assertion of potential NSO impact by the project, including procurement of specialized consultant to perform Spotted Owl Expert (SOE) services, and continued project specific support and coordination with the SOE to perform a NSO habitat assessment in the vicinity of the Chiles Pope Bridge Project. The assessment followed the guidelines included in the USFWS Protocol for Surveying Proposed Management Activities That May Include Northern Spotted Owls (2012). The initial desktop evaluation included a review of aerial photography of a buffer area extending 0.25 mile to estimate vegetation communities present within the buffer area. In addition, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) Vegetation Classification Mapping Program – Napa Vegetation Map of 2004 (2016 update) has been reviewed to determine vegetation communities that have been previously mapped within the buffer area. After completing the desktop evaluation, the qualified biologist / SOE conducted a field survey to ground truth the buffer area. Up to two locations within each mapped vegetation community has been visited to confirm the mapped vegetation community matches existing conditions within the buffer area. During the field survey, the qualified biologist / SOE refined the boundaries of the mapped vegetation communities, record plant species observed, and noted the canopy of the structure within the project buffer area, as well as any evidence that NSO may be present with the survey area.

A memo was prepared upon completion of the desktop evaluation and field survey that included the methods and results of the habitat assessment, and any recommendations regarding the potential for NSO to occur in the vicinity of the project area and submitted to CDFW for review and acceptance. This task includes time for pre-assessment coordination with the SOE, coordination with CDFW regarding the results of the habitat assessment, and response to any comments from CDFW on the assessment memo.

Biggs Cardosa and the County will coordinate access to private property within the buffer area and any related landowner notifications will be sent prior to the survey will be coordinated.

Additional Services to incorporate CDFW 1602 SAA Permit Negotiations involves the following subtask breakdown:

- 2.4.3a CDFW 1602 SAA Additional Negotiations
- 2.4.3b Inclusion of Regulatory Conditions into the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan
- 2.4.3c CDFW 1602 SAA Permit Negotiation for NSO

We estimate that the additional budget required to perform the extra work associated with <u>Additional Environmental Permitting Services</u> to be summarized and broken down as follows:



PROPOSED BUDGET for Additional Environmental Permitting Services

Additional Environmental Permitting Services – SUBTOTAL:	\$42,735.00
GPA (See Attachment 2 for task/hourly breakdown)	\$23,305.00
BCA (See Attachment 1 for task/hourly breakdown)	\$19,430.00

3) OFF-SITE MITIGATION DESIGN SERVICES – To date, we have completed preparation of technical studies, Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration required to comply with CEQA and has completed the regulatory permitting process.

The County's preference was to conduct all required mitigation onsite. However, as a result of the permitting efforts completed, it has become evident that compensatory mitigation will not be fully accomplished on-site and that an off-site location will be required. Until the regulatory permits were received, and mitigation requirements known, it was unclear if all mitigation could be accomplished onsite and/or what any special requirements would entail for an off-site location. Given the challenge in scoping for unknown requirements, inclusion of an off-site mitigation location was not included in our current scope of work contract.

Based on this, we are requesting an amendment to the current contract, as described below.

- 1. Project Management [Task 1.1]
 - a. Local Program Compliance / Project Funding Assistance [Task 1.1.4]
- 2. Final Plans, Specifications & Estimate [Task 2.3]
 - a. Checked Design Submittal (95% PS&E) [Task 2.3.2]
 - b. Final Design Submittal (100% PS&E) [Task 2.3.3]
- 3. Environmental Permitting [Task 2.4]
 - a. Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications [Task 2.4.1]

Task 1.1 - Project Management

Task 1.1.4 - Local Program Compliance / Project Funding Assistance

The Consultant will provide local programs assistance to the County to incorporate the off-site mitigation as a separate "child" project in accordance with the guidelines presented in the current Local Assistance Procedures Manual. The Local Assistance Procedures Manual provides guidelines for project development, project financing, environmental approval, contracting for design, standards for design, and project bidding and construction. Particular attention will be paid to Chapter 6 of the LAPG manual, which presents the requirements of the HBP program. The Consultant shall prepare all of the required local program's forms, including the scope/cost/schedule change, request for right-of-way authorization, right-of-way certification, construction authorization request and project closeout forms.

Deliverables:

✓ Signature Ready Forms as Required

Task 2.3 - Final Plans, Specifications & Estimate



Chiles-Pope Valley Road Bridge Replacement at Chiles Creek, Napa County, CA
Additional Service Request No. 2
[Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination / Additional
Environmental Permitting Services / Off Site Mitigation Services]
August 27, 2023 (Page 6 of 9)

Task 2.3.2 - Checked Design Submittal (95% PS&E)

Off-Site Mitigation Draft PS&E – Perform coordination and site visit to the Moore Park off-site mitigation area to determine appropriate location for planting and collecting soil samples. Estimate space required for replacement species based on quantity of plants needed at the mitigation site. Develop draft 95% landscape (design) plans, specifications and estimate of probable construction cost which will be a separate construction contract package from the onsite construction contract package. The required landscape plan sheets anticipated include tree protection, tree and plant revegetation, and irrigation plans and details.

Prepare and submit Checked Plans to the County and other agencies for final review and comment. Agencies will thoroughly review the details of the project. Work with the County and other agencies to resolve any conflicts between the comments of different reviewers.

Prepare a draft of the technical specifications using County and Caltrans Standard Specifications for Client to incorporate into "boilerplate" legal and contractual provisions of Bid Documents. The technical specifications shall reference County or Caltrans standard specifications sections for the various items of work, with specific consideration of measurement and payment provisions. Client shall be responsible for the completion of "boilerplate" general and standard provisions related to the contract.

Prepare the Estimate of Construction Cost for use in the Bid Documents using standard County and/or Caltrans items. The Preliminary Estimate of Construction Cost shall include all construction cost associated with the off-site mitigation.

Conduct an internal quality assurance review of the plans, specifications, and estimate; concurrent with review of the 95% Submittal by the County and other agencies. Consultant's quality assurance program shall provide for independent checking of individual tasks, as well as an independent review by experienced senior staff. The purpose of this review is to provide oversight to specific project details by professionals who are not closely involved in the design, and to review the constructability, cost-effectiveness and completeness of design features relative to the normal standard of professional care.

95% PS&E Deliverables:

- ✓ Up to five meetings for MMP
- ✓ Plan Set Drawings (PDF files)
- ✓ 95% Opinion of Probable Construction Costs (PDF files)
- ✓ Specifications (PDF files)

Task 2.3.3 - Final Design Submittal (100% PS&E)

Off-Site Mitigation Final PS&E – Develop Final 100% landscape (design) plans, specifications and estimate of probable construction cost which will be a separate construction contract package from the onsite construction contract package. The required landscape plan sheets anticipated include tree protection, tree and plant revegetation, and irrigation plans and details.

After agency review of the Checked Design Submittal (95%), Consultant shall prepare the Final Contract Documents in accordance with the County's instructions and provide the County and other agencies the opportunity to review the completed Bid Documents and



Chiles-Pope Valley Road Bridge Replacement at Chiles Creek, Napa County, CA
Additional Service Request No. 2
[Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination / Additional
Environmental Permitting Services / Off Site Mitigation Services]
August 27, 2023 (Page 7 of 9)

direct minor revisions. Prepare and submit a memo with "response to comments" received from the Checked Design Submittal. After County review of the 100% Final Submittal, incorporate any minor final revisions and prepare the Completed Contract Documents in accordance with the County's instructions. Submit final bid documents for signature.

100% PS&E Deliverables:

- ✓ Up to five meetings for MMP
- ✓ Complete hardcopy Bid Set Drawings Signed and Dated
- ✓ One (1) 22x34 set of original stamped and signed drawings on Mylar
- ✓ One (1) 11x17 stamped and signed copy of the final plans
- ✓ One (1) hardcopy set of final specifications with signed cover sheet
- One (1) electronic copy signed plans (in Auto CADD and in PDF format) and final specifications (in Microsoft Word and PDF formats)
- ✓ One (1) electronic copy of the Engineer's Construction Cost Estimate (PDF format)

Task 2.4 - Environmental Permitting

Task 2.4.1 - Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications

Project Coordination and Expectations - The County has identified Moore Creek Park as their preferred off-site location. GPA assumes no additional off-site locations will be required. To support County's 1602 off-site mitigation required for this project, GPA will attend up to one coordination phone meeting with CDFW. GPA assumes up to two phone meetings with the Project Team and/or County will be required in support of the off-site mitigation. Phone meetings will be attended by GPA's project manager and up to two biologists. Meetings are assumed to be up to two hours in length. Total effort by GPA would include up to 2 principal hours, 8 project manager hours, and 16 biologist hours.

Per the outcome of the CDFW 1602, no additional CESA support and/or compensatory habitat mitigation for northern spotted owl is expected. GPA assumes coordination with Caltrans and/or USFWS for northern spotted owl will not be required. At County's request, the scope does not include a site visit to the off-site location by GPA staff; therefore, site baseline conditions and existing site functions and values will be presumed consistent with that of the project site. No additional site visits to the on-site project location by GPA staff are expected to be required.

This task only includes coordination with CDFW and GPA does not anticipate coordination with RWQCB and/or USACE. A copy of the revegetation plan would be provided to RWQCB for their files, and no comments from RWQCB are expected.

Based on County direction, GPA understands that Caltrans will not require additional NEPA or CEQA clearances and/or documentation in support of the compensatory mitigation. If NEPA or CEQA clearances are requested, GPA will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.

CDFW Approved Riparian Revegetation Plan – Off-Site Mitigation Location - A Revegetation Plan is required as a condition of the 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement. Following receipt of the Draft 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement, GPA understands that County is unable to accommodate the quantity of trees and/or permanent compensatory mitigation requirements at the on-site location; therefore, an off-site location



Chiles-Pope Valley Road Bridge Replacement at Chiles Creek, Napa County, CA
Additional Service Request No. 2
[Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination / Additional
Environmental Permitting Services / Off Site Mitigation Services]
August 27, 2023 (Page 8 of 9)

will be required. County has identified Moore Creek Park as their preferred off-site location. Based on preliminary coordination with CDFW, GPA understands that CDFW is expected to accept locations of Moore Creek Park in proximity to the creek zone as a suitable location. At County's request, the scope does not include a site visit; therefore, site baseline conditions and existing site functions and values will be presumed consistent with that of the project site.

GPA will incorporate Moore Creek Park into the Riparian Revegetation Plan as the County's off-site location for submittal to CDFW. GPA will coordinate with the Project Team to discuss plan implementation and to confirm County's off-site implementation preferences. The Revegetation Plan will include off-site revegetation goals and success criteria; timing of the off-site implementation; rationale for expecting success at the off-site location; methods for site preparation; a proposed plant palette; description of irrigation methods; and proposed maintenance and monitoring methods and timing.

The level of internal coordination with the Project Team will not exceed 16 hours for GPA, which includes electronic communication and/or meetings with BCA, County, and/or Merril Morris. If coordination efforts exceed this amount, GPA will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.

The consultant team will review previous similar and successful project in Napa County and coordinate with the County, agency and within the team regarding preferred methods for seed application, soil stabilization and preparation, water truck logistics, maintenance requirements and schedule, monitoring schedule and staffing as required to prepare permit applications and incorporate into the PS&E construction documents. Planting plans, typical details, irrigation plans, specifications, and/or similar exhibits, should they be requested, will be prepared by MMP and/or BCA.

This task only includes coordination with CDFW and does not anticipate coordination with RWQCB and/or USACE. A copy of the revegetation plan would be provided to RWQCB for their files, and no comments from RWQCB are expected.

Environmental Permitting Deliverables:

- ✓ Up to two phone meetings for GPA and four meetings for MMP
- ✓ Inclusion of Moore Creek off-site Mitigation into the CDFW Approved Revegetation Plan

Additional Services to incorporate Off-Site Mitigation for the project involves the following subtask breakdown:

- 1.1 Project Management
 - 1.1.4 Local Program Compliance / Project Funding Assistance
- 2.3 Final Plans, Specifications & Estimate
 - 2.3.2 Checked Design Submittal (95% PS&E)
 - 2.3.3 Final Design Submittal (100% PS&E)
- 2.4 Environmental Permitting
 - 2.4.1 Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications

We estimate that the additional budget required to perform the extra work associated with <u>Off-Site</u> <u>Mitigation Design Services</u> to be summarized and broken down as follows:



PROPOSED BUDGET for Off-Site Mitigation Design Services

Off-Site Mitigation Design Services – SUBTOTAL:	\$57,265,00
MMP (See Attachment 3 for task/hourly breakdown)	\$30,620.00
GPA (See Attachment 2 for task/hourly breakdown)	\$8,579.00
BCA (See Attachment 1 for task/hourly breakdown)	\$18,066.00

BUDGET SUMMARY for Additional Service Request No. 2:

1)	Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination	\$5,275.00	
2)	Additional Environmental Permitting Services	\$42,735.00	
3)	Off-Site Mitigation Design Services	\$57,265.00	_
•	Additional Service Request No. 2 TOTAL	\$105,275.00	

If approved, the additional budget of \$105,265.00 for Chiles Pope Valley Road Bridge Amendment No. 2 will be added to the current Contract Agreement budget as follows.

	Total	\$1,152,950.00
•	Chiles Pope Valley Road Bridge Amendment No. 2	\$105,275.00
•	Chiles Pope Valley Road Bridge Amendment No. 1	\$340,160.00
•	Contract Agreement budget (January 24, 2017)	\$707,515.00

Chiles Pope Valley Road Bridge (Replace) – Design Services Budget = \$1,152,950.00

We look forward to continuing to work with you on this project. Should you have any questions or require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at (408) 781-4549, or by email at roen@biggscardosa.com.

Enclosure:

- Attachment 1 BCA_ Hazardous Material (Asbestos) Determination Fee Breakdown 05/12/22
- Attachment 2 PCI_ Hazardous Material (Asbestos) Determination Proposal 05/09/22
- Attachment 3 BCA_Additional Permitting Services Fee Breakdown 08/27/23
- Attachment 4 GPA_Additional Permitting Services Proposal 08/27/23
- Attachment 5 BCA_Off-Site Mitigation Design Services Fee Breakdown 08/27/2023
- Attachment 6 GPA_ Off-Site Mitigation Design Services Proposal 07/27/23
- Attachment 7 MMP_ Off-Site Mitigation Design Services Proposal 08/27/23

Sincerely,

BIGGS CARDOSA ASSOCIATES, INC.

Ron Oen, PE, QSD

Principal



	ZMAT (ACDECTOR) DETERMINATION	т					CA								Da	rikh					T
H	AZMAT (ASBESTOS) DETERMINATION	-				В	CA								Ра	ITIKI					
CHILE	POPE VALLEY ROAD BRIDGE OVER CHILES CREEK		Projec	ct Mar	nagen	ent &	Struc	ctural	Engin	eerin	9			(Geote	chnic	al				
	Engineering and Design Services Estimate of Labor Effort	Principal-in-Charge (QA)	Principal I (Project Manager)	Engineering Manager	yineer	gineer	Jeer	Engineer	ineer	Senior Computer Drafter	Secretarial Services	PE, GE	gineer, Certified	Senior Project Engineer	gineer	neer	neer	nician	CADD Drtaftsperson	s	
	ER	rincipal-ii	rincipal I	ngineerir	Senior Engineer	Project Engineer	Staff Engineer	Assistant Engineer	Junior Engineer	enior Co	ecretaria	Principal,	Project Engineer, C geologist	enior Pro	Project Engineer	Staff Engineer	Field Engineer	Lab. Technician	ADD Drt	Total Hours	Total Fee
	sk Description Staff Rate (Fully Loaded)		\$238	-	\$178				\$100			\$251	\$179	\$144		-		_	_	#	\$
Task 1	Project Management																				
1.1.1	Project Administration/ Budgeting/ Cost Accounting	Τ.									\neg					_				0	\$0
1.1.2	Meetings/Agency Coordination Project Schedule	+	\vdash	-	-			-		-	-	-+		-		+-	+	-	 	0	\$0 \$0
1.1.4	Local Program Compliance / Project Funding Assistance																			0	\$0
1.1.5	QA/QC											_	_			1	1 0	10	1	0	\$0
	Subtot	al U	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
Task 1	Planning and Project Development	-		<u> </u>	<u> </u>										-	-	+			0	\$0
1.2.1	Purpose and Need Research and Data Gathering	+	+	-	-	-		-		-				-	-	+	+	-	 	0	\$0
1.2.3	Surveying																			0	\$0
1.2.4	Aerial Topography (OPTIONAL) - See Reimb. Expenses	\perp									\Box						1	1		0	\$0
1.2.5	R/W Mapping	+	-		-	-			\vdash	\vdash	_			-	-	-	+	-	-	0	\$0 \$0
1.2.6	Utilities Search Base Mapping	+	+-		1	-	<u> </u>	-	\vdash	\vdash	-	-			_	\vdash	-	1	 	0	\$0
1.6.7	Subtota	al 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
Task 1	Preliminary Design Engineering / Concept Plans	1											_								
1.3.1	Roadway Approval Drawings	上																		0	\$0
1.3.2	Water Quality, Hydrology and Channel Hydraulics																			0	\$0
1.3.3	Geotechnical Studies and Preliminary Report	┼		-	-										<u> </u>	\vdash		-		0	\$0 \$0
1.3.4	Traffic Memorandum 35% Bridge Type Selection Project Memorandum	+-	+	_	+	-	 	-						-		+	+	+-		0	\$0
1.3.5	Subtota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
Task 1	CEQA/NEPA Environmental Approvals and Tech Studies																				
1.4.1	Project Environmental Initiation & Agency Coordination																			0	\$0
1.4.2	Biological Resources, Natural Environmental Study - Minimal Impacts,	Г																		0	\$0
	including Jurisdictional Delineation Forms	+	-					_			-				-	-		-		0	\$0
1.4.3	Cultural Resources, APE, HPSR, ASR - see reimb. Expenses (OPTIONAL) Hazardous Materials Technical Memorandum	-				-		-						_		1	+-	 	-	0	\$0
1.4.5	Water Quality Assessment Report Memorandum															t	T			0	\$0
1.4.6	Traffic Technical Memorandum (Construction)																			0	\$0
1.4.7	Biological Resources, Natural Environmental Study (OPTIONAL)	+		_	-									-	<u> </u>	-	₩	-		0	\$0 \$0
1.4.8	Land Use and Community Impacts Memorandum IS/EA Administrative, Draft and Final Reports	+	-		-	-			\vdash		-	-			-	 	+	+	\vdash	0	\$0
1.4.10	Endangered Species Act Consultation (OPTIONAL)																			0	\$0
	Subtota	al O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
Task 2.	Project Management																				
2.1.1	Project Administration/ Budgeting/ Cost Accounting	+-	-	<u> </u>	-				\vdash		\rightarrow	-		\vdash	-	-	+	-	\vdash	0	\$0 \$0
2.1.2	Meetings/Agency Coordination Project Schedule				<u> </u>				\vdash		-+			H			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\vdash	0	\$0
2.1.4	Local Program Compliance																			0	\$0
2.1.5	QA/QC										Ţ]			_	1 ^			0	\$0
Name of the last	Subtota	III U	1 0	U	1 0	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	-	0	U	U	\$0
Task 2.	Final Design Reports and Studies	-			-				$\vdash \vdash \vdash$				-		-	-	-	-	$\vdash\vdash$	0	\$0
2.2.1	Final Foundation Report Final Hydraulic Design and Report	+-	+		 	-	-		\vdash	-	\dashv	-		\vdash			_	_	\vdash	0	\$0
2.2.3	Preliminary Stormwater Management Plan																			0	\$0
2.2.4	Final Storm Water Management Report								\Box				\Box		_		-	-	\Box	0	\$0
2.2.5	Preliminary Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan_Draft SWPPP	+	-	-			\vdash	\vdash	\vdash		\dashv		-	\vdash	-	-	+	-	$\vdash\vdash$	0	\$0 \$0
2.2.7	Right-of-Way Engineering Right-of-Way Appraisal and Acquistion	+	 		<u> </u>															0	\$0
4.4.0	Subtota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
Task 2.	Final Plans, Specifications & Estimate																				
2.3.1	Unchecked Design Submittal (65% PS&E)																			0	\$0
2.3.2	Checked Design Submittal (95% PS&E)	-	-		-				\vdash				-				-	-	$\vdash\vdash\vdash$	0	\$0 \$0
2.3.3	Final Design Submittal (100% PS&E) Final Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)	+-	 			-					\dashv			\vdash		_	+	_	\vdash	0	\$0
	Subtota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
2.3.4													7								
7.3.4 Task 2.	Environmental Permitting	_																		0	\$0
Task 2. 2.4.1	Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications	╀			1	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	1 1	2	0	0	0	0	0	10	\$1,815 \$1,815
Task 2.	Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination		2	_ n	0			U	U				,				1 0			10	Ψ1,010
Task 2. 2.4.1 2.4.2	Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination Subtota	0	2	0	0	4	=														
Task 2. 2.4.1 2.4.2 Task 2.	Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination Subtota Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL)	0		0	0	4					-	\dashv	-			0				n	\$0
Task 2. 2.4.1 2.4.2 Task 2. 2.5.1	Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination Subtota Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL) Respond to Questions - Issue Addenda	0		0	0	4														0	\$0 \$0
Task 2. 2.4.1 2.4.2 Task 2.	Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination Subtota Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL)	0		0	0	4															
Task 2. 2.4.1 2.4.2 Task 2. 2.5.1 2.5.2	Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination Subtota Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL) Respond to Questions - Issue Addenda Attend Pre-bid and Bid Opening Review Bids Attend Pre-Construction Meeting		2																	0 0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Task 2. 2.4.1 2.4.2 Task 2. 2.5.1 2.5.2 2.5.3	Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination Subtota Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL) Respond to Questions - Issue Addenda Attend Pre-bid and Bid Opening Review Bids			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0 \$0
Task 2. 2.4.1 2.4.2 Task 2. 2.5.1 2.5.2 2.5.3	Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination Subtota Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL) Respond to Questions - Issue Addenda Attend Pre-bid and Bid Opening Review Bids Attend Pre-Construction Meeting		2					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0 0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Task 2. 2.4.1 2.4.2 Task 2. 2.5.1 2.5.2 2.5.3 2.5.4	Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination Subtota Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL) Respond to Questions - Issue Addenda Attend Pre-bid and Bid Opening Review Bids Attend Pre-Construction Meeting Subtota		2					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0 0 0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Task 2. 2.4.1 2.4.2 Task 2. 2.5.1 2.5.2 2.5.3 2.5.4	Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination Subtota Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL) Respond to Questions - Issue Addenda Attend Pre-bid and Bid Opening Review Bids Attend Pre-Construction Meeting Subtota Design Support During Construction Construction Support Services	al O	0	0	0	0	0													0 0 0 0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Task 2. 2.4.1 2.4.2 Task 2. 2.5.1 2.5.2 2.5.3 2.5.4	Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination Subtota Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL) Respond to Questions - Issue Addenda Attend Pre-bid and Bid Opening Review Bids Attend Pre-Construction Meeting Subtota Design Support During Construction Construction Support Services Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0 0 0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Task 2. 2.4.1 2.4.2 Task 2. 2.5.1 2.5.2 2.5.3 2.5.4	Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination Subtota Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL) Respond to Questions - Issue Addenda Attend Pre-bid and Bid Opening Review Bids Attend Pre-Construction Meeting Subtota Design Support During Construction Construction Support Services	0	0	0	0	0 0 4	0								0					0 0 0 0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

						В	CA								Par	ikh					
	CHILES POPE VALLEY ROAD BRIDGE OVER CHILES CREEK	F	Projec	t Mar	nagem	ent &	Struc	ctural	Engin	eering	9		G	eoteci	nnical	, Phas	e 1 IS	A			
	Engineering and Design Services Estimate of Labor Effort	Principal-in-Charge (QA)	Associate (Project Manager)	Engineering Manager	Senior Engineer	Project Engineer	Staff Engineer	Assistant Engineer	Junior Engineer	Senior Computer Drafter	Secretarial Services	Principal, PE, GE	Project Engineer, Certified geologist	Senior Project Engineer	Project Engineer	Staff Engineer	eld Engineer	b. Technician	ADD Drtaffsperson	ital Hours	ıtal Fee
	0-Adg-20	4	Ϋ́Ξ	<u>ū</u>	Š	<u>~</u>	Š	¥	3	Š	ű	4	<u> </u>	ν, I	م	Š	II.	تــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	O	ř	
	Plotting, Printing, Postage, and Travel Appraisal Review Services (2 additional @ \$2,400 ea.)							_			-									Vie con	
٣,	Title Reports (previously obtained, not part of original scope of work)													TI STATE				4000			\$0
SAI	Asbestos Survey	10,410													\$3,3	320		8 3 16	MARK		\$3,320
R N					NAME OF		J. F.	- 10					1000		\$1.	40		17.11	Ejest.	198	\$140
PHASE 1 with Phase 2 with Phase 3 with Phase	0% Salary Escalation Rate				-	\$	0								\$1	0					\$0
E E	Subconsultant Markup - 0 %					\$	0													4	\$0
	Project Total Reimbursable Expenses					\$	0								\$3,4	160					\$3,460
ட்	Task 1.1: Project Management		Salar 186- 1			\$	0			1 - T - Y	7050			. Bhu	\$	0		1211-10		Mu.	\$0
wit sks	Task 1.2: Planning and Project Development	The second				\$	0		THE STATE	5-53					\$						\$0
I Ta	Task 1.3: Preliminary Design Engineering / Concept Plans			161 (1)		\$	0	. It is			1	WE THE		heb.	\$		ALC: N	119		1	\$0
ASI	Task 1.4: CEQA/NEPA Environmental Approvals		No.		ALL	\$	0							Y TO	\$1	0	Marie Contract		2015		\$0
PH Opt	Total Project Fee Per Consultant					\$0	.00								\$3,4			575	41	derd	\$3,460
	DBE PARTICIPATION PERCENTAGES															12-12-	Was Individual				
	Task 2.1: Project Management	31 63			17.50		0	H-11		MEST		250	THE R		\$						\$0
는 S	Task 2.2: Final Design Reports and Studies		7/9/-				0				18.0				\$						
wi ask	Task 2.3: Final Plans, Specifications & Estimates						0								\$1						
al T	Task 2.4: Environmental Permitting					\$1,		114							\$7						
1AS ion Exp	Task 2.5: Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL)						0		11.5						\$1		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$3,320 \$140 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$140 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0				
P Opt	Task 6: Design Support During Construction	D. II S					0								\$7						
	Total Project Fee Per Consultant					\$1,	097									\$/18					
	HAZMAT (ASBESTOS) DETERMINATION FEE - TOTAL					\$1.	097								\$4.1	178					\$5,275



MEMORANDUM

To:

Biggs Cardosa Associates, Inc.

May 9, 2022 Job No. 2015-137-FDN

865 The Alameda San Jose, CA 95126

Attn:

Mr. Ron Oen, PE, QSD

From:

Y. David Wang, P.E., Senior Principal

Subject: Additional Scope of Work – Asbestos Testing

Chiles Pope Bridge Project, Napa County, CA

As discussed, PARIKH will engage a Certified Asbestos Consultant (CAC) to survey the bridge and determine the presence or absence of asbestos on the bridge and incorporate the appropriate mitigation process if required into the construction documents.

Geocon Consultants, Inc. (Geocon) has prepared a proposal for the proposed task, and additional hours are considered for coordination and contract management. We have estimated an approximate cost of \$4,178. The estimate and the proposal from Geocon are attached.

Please be advised that we are performing a professional service and that our finished report will be professional opinion only. All work done will be in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering principles and practices. No warranty, expressed or implied, of merchantability or fitness, is made or intended in connection with our work, by this proposal, or by the furnishing of oral or written reports or findings.

We are looking forward to working with you on this project. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact us at your convenience.

{2015-137 Chiles Pope CAC_2022-05-09}

PARIKH CONSULTANTS, INC.

Project Name: Chiles Pope Bridge Project No. 2015-137-EDN				-	Task: Asbestos 5	stos Survey																
Client: Napa County/8CA Location: Location				ď	Asbestos Survey Report	eport																
Taki: Asbestos Survey	5	UNIT HOU	HOURS	T. SUB.	Task 1.1 HR	Tasi	Task 1.2 HR	Ta COST	Task 1.3 HR	T	Task 1.4 HR	TSOO	Task 1.5 HR	COST	Task 1.6 HR	COST	Task 1.7 HR	COST	Task 1.8 HR	T COST	Task 1.9 HR	COST
PERSONNEL	2		,	TOTALS					v		V		V		v		v		v		v	
1. Project Manager	۸ ۷	77.80	^ ~	77.80	1.0 \$	77.80	n v1		n 40		· •	٠			· w		· «		· vs		S	9
3. Senior Geologist	· v	75.00	, ,,		S		· v		· s	,353	S	9	S		φ.		s/s		s		S	
4. Sr. Project Engineer/Geologist	· vs	71.85 2	. \$	143.70	2.0 \$	143.70	s		S		S		S	٠	S		S		s		v	
S. Project Engineer	S	56.72	s		s		s	٠	s	٠	S		S		S		ss.		S		S	
6. Project Geologist	s	49.01	s		S		S		s		S		S	٠	S		v,		vs ·		S	
7, Sr., Staff Engineer/PE	s	45.00	s		s		S		\$		v.		\$	*	\$		s,	8.	v 1		v. •	
8. Staff Engineer	s	40.84	S		S		S		s,		\$	*	S		v •		v. •		v «		v «	
9. Field Engineer/Geologist	s	52.76	S		S		s,		\$		s e		S		on c		on c		vs •		vs = 0	
10. Senior Technician	\$	38.36	s, i	•	· ·		v. (· ·		A 4		Λ υ		n v		n v		n v		0 0	
11. Lab Technician	· ·	30.32	v. v		v v		A 0		n v		0 0		0 0		2 02		• •		• •		· v	
12. Drafting/Cadd Tech	· ·	41.84	n .	75 77	n 0	75.77	n u		n v		2		3 0		s v1				· ·			
13. Contract Administration Anticipated Sal ARY INCREASES	۸	15.77	0 0	15.11			s 01		· ·	,	·				S	•	·		s		S	
DIRECT LABOR		4	. s	298.87	4.0 \$	298.87	· v		s		s		S		w		S		v		s	
OVERHEAD + FRINGES			v	480.97	w	480.97	s		v		v.		s/s		s		v	4	ø		s	
FIXED FEE (10.0% of labor + overhead + fringes)			s	77.98	v	77.98	s	×	s.		v		ν,		S		s		s		s,	
TOTAL LABOR COSTS			s	857.83																		
OTHER DIRECT COSTS																						
1. Per Diem	s,	250.00	s		\$5		s		45		\$		S		S	٠	\$		s		S	ě
2. Drilling Permits	v	200.00	s		S		v		s.		s	*	\$		S		v		s,		S	
3. Encroachment Permit	\$ 1,	1,000.00	s		s		S	٠	S		s.		S		S		S 6		vo v	*	vs «	
4. Private Utility Locator		1,600.00	S		so s		s c		s, c		vs u		s v		n v		n v		n vi		n vi	
S. Pavement Coring	2,	2,000.00	v v		n v		n v		s v1		n vn		0 00		0		· v		·		· v	
7 Drilling - Cost per Shift	2 0	4.000.00	s v		· ·		· vs	190	· v		S		S		S		v	v	v)		S	
8. Drilling - Grouting/Materials	· v	9:00	S		S		s		s		S	٠	S		S	٠	v,		s		S	
9. Drilling - Cutting Disposal (per drum)	v	300.00	s		S		s	٠	s,		v	ě	\$		S		v.		S		S	
10 Drilling - Per Diem		450.00	s.		S		s s		s, c		v. v		vs. v		us u		n u		A V		n v	
11. CPT - Mob/Demob	· ·	200.00	<i>s</i>		n u		n v		n v		2		s v		· v		· v		· v		S	
12. CPT - Cost per Shirt		2 00	· v		·		s on				· v		S		\$		vs.		s		s	
14. CPT - Per Diem		450.00	· so		. «		· vo		s	,	S		S		v)		vs.	·	s	0	S	٠
15. Pavement Restoration (per location)		2,300.00	S		s		v,		s	,	S		S	٠	S		vs.		S		S	
16. Asbestos Survey (by Geocon)	\$ 3		1 5	3,320.00	1.0 \$	3,320.00	s		v,		v		S		S		S		S		v,	4
17. Outside Laboratory Testing	s	250.00	s		s		s		S		S		v,		\$		· ·		S	4	v> «	
18. Traffic Control Plan		300.00	s		۰,		s.		vs +		v> «		v> 4		n 4		n •		^ u		n u	
19. Traffic Control	\$ 2,	2,250.00	v •		v .		v (n 4		n u	6 0	n 0	es 50	n v		n v		n v		s v1	
20. Reproduction	s	100.00	vs.		n		^		n		n		0		1		1		3		s	
MILESTONE SUBTOTALS					s	4,177.83	vs.		s		v		S		v		٧,		s	a	v	
TOTAL DIRECT COSTS			s	3,320.00																		
Charles a control period trace			J	A 177 83																		
TOTAL COST LANGE OF STREET																						



GEOTECHNICAL . ENVIRONMENTAL . MATERIALS



The scope of services for the Chiles-Pope Valley Road Bridge (Br. No. 21C-0075) over Chiles Creek in Napa County, California includes:

- Conducting an asbestos survey of the bridge structure;
- Collecting up to 12 bulk material samples for asbestos analysis by polarized light microscopy (PLM);
- Addionally analyzing up to two of the bulk asbestos samples by PLM point count methodology (1,000 points); and
- Preparing an asbestos survey report.

During the asbestos survey, our staff will:

- Conduct a walkthrough inspection of the bridge structure to identify and inventory suspect asbestos-containing materials (ACM).
- Collect representative bulk samples of suspect ACM and submit using chain-of-custody (COC) documentation to a laboratory accredited under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Plan for the analysis of asbestos in bulk material samples.
- Analyze suspect ACM samples collected during the survey on a 5-day turnaround time using PLM according to the EPA-recommended method.
- Prepare one electronic version of a final asbestos survey report that will include a general property description, sample/laboratory analytical results, ACM quantities, types, condition, and locations, photographs of identified ACM, and inspector/laboratory certification data. Bridge structure drawings illustrating sample locations will also be included in the report. The drawings will be proportional, but not to-scale.

A reasonable effort will be made to identify suspect ACMs at the bridge structure. However, this does not imply a guarantee that all possible sources will be identified as certain materials may be hidden by structural materials or may be otherwise inaccessible. During future renovation or demolition operations, suspect ACMs may be uncovered. These materials should be treated as ACM, until evaluation, sampling, and analysis indicate otherwise.

Our scope of services assumes that we will work under an encroachment permit prepared for the project by the Client and that traffic controls will not be required to perform bridge survey fieldwork.

PROPOSED FEE

We propose to perform the asbestos survey scope of services outlined herein for an estimated time and materials fee of \$3,320.

We will commence with the scope of services outlined herein upon receipt of your written authorization to proceed on the basis of, and conditions set forth in, Geocon's *Terms and Conditions* for *Performance of Services* and *2022 Schedule of Fees*. Services provided by Geocon will be pursuant to the *Terms and Conditions for Performance of Services* and *2022 Schedule of Fees* until or unless a mutually agreed upon, negotiated contract is finalized.

FEE ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

Chiles-Pope Valley Road Bridge (Br. No. 21C-0075)

LS-22-156

Proposal Number

Project Name

Project Scope

ACM Survey



TASK	QUANTITY	UNITS		RATE	MARKUP	AMOUNT
Field Activities						
Project Scientist	7	Hr	\$	145.00	1.00	\$ 1,015.00
Pick-up Truck	1	Days	\$	125.00	1.00	\$ 125.00
Level D	1	Days	\$	50.00	1.00	\$ 50.00
Sampling Equipment/Supplies	1	Days	\$	25.00	1.00	\$ 25.00
		,			Subtotal	\$ 1,215.00
Analytical Laboratory (Bridge S	Survey)					
Abestos (PLM)	12	Each	\$	20.00	1.00	\$ 240.00
Asbestos (1,000 Point Count)	2	Each	\$	80.00	1.00	\$ 160.00
(1,000)	_		•		Subtotal	\$ 400.00
Reporting and Project Manage	<u>ment</u>					
Senior Engineer	1	Hr	\$	175.00	1.00	\$ 175.00
Project Scientist	8	Hr	\$	145.00	1.00	\$ 1,160.00
Drafting	2	Hr	\$	100.00	1.00	\$ 200.00
Word Processing	2	Hr	\$	85.00	1.00	\$ 170.00
-					Subtotal	\$ 1,705.00

3,320.00 TOTAL: \$

Assumptions: Laboratory analysis will be requested on a 5-day turnaround time.

		nal Environmental Permitting Services	-					CA											onsulti							
СНІ	ILES PO	PE VALLEY ROAD BRIDGE OVER CHILES CREEK	<u> </u>	Projec	t Mar	nagem	nent &	Struc	tural	Engin	_	g		-			nviror	nment	tal, Pe	rmittir	ng		T=	_		
		Engineering and Design Services Estimate of Labor Effort	Manager - (MMH)	Project Manager (RLO)	Engineering Manager	ineer	gineer	eer	Assistant Engineer	ineer	nputer Drafter	Administrative Services	PM/Principal Planner (RG)	Sr Environmental Planner	Sr Associate Biologist (MS)	Sr Associate Biologist (JJ)	Senior Biologist (AS)	Senior Biologist (JH)	Associates Biologist (LPG)	Associates Biologist (VM)	AM)	Sr GIS Analyst (MR)	Sr Associate Architectural Historian (JK)	Associate Architectural Historian (EH)	S	
	3(QA/QC Ma	ject Ma	gineerin	Senior Engineer	Project Engineer	Staff Engineer	sistant E	Junior Engineer	Senior Computer	ministra	//Princip 3)	Environ	Associa S)	Associa	nior Bio	nior Bio	sociates G)	sociates	Biologist (MM)	GIS An	Associa	sociate storian (Total Hours	Fotal Fee
	Task De	27 Aug 20			ធិ៍ \$194		_	$\overline{}$					8250 \$250		స్ \$200			ගී \$150	\$109	¥ \$109				¥ ± \$109	₽ #	\$
1	1.1.1	Project Management Project Administration/ Budgeting/ Cost Accounting				\sqsubseteq																			0	\$0
1	1.1.3	Meetings/Agency Coordination Project Schedule					\vdash															\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	0	\$0 \$0 \$0
		Local Program Compliance / Project Funding Assistance QA/QC								Ô			_					0							0	\$0 \$0
Tar	ask 1.2	Subtota Planning and Project Development	1 0	I	1 0		0	1 0	0	0	1 0	0	U	0	0	-	-	-	0	۳	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
1	1.2.1	Purpose and Need Research and Data Gathering				\vdash	\vdash															\vdash		\vdash	0	\$0 \$0
1	1.2.3	Surveying				\vdash																\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	0	\$0 \$0
1	1.2.5	Aerial Topography (OPTIONAL) - See Reimb. Expenses R/W Mapping					二															\vdash	\vdash	=	0	\$0
		Utilities Search Base Mapping				上																			0	\$0 \$0
		Subtota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
1	1.3.1	Preliminary Design Engineering / Concept Plans Roadway Approval Drawings				二																			0	\$0
	1.3.3	Water Quality, Hydrology and Channel Hydraulics Geotechnical Studies and Preliminary Report																							0	\$0 \$0
		Traffic Memorandum 35% Bridge Type Selection Project Memorandum																				上		上	0	\$0 \$0
		Subtota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
	1.4.1	CEQA/NEPA Environmental Approvals and Tech Studies Project Environmental Initiation & Agency Coordination																				\vdash			0	\$0
1		Biological Resources, Natural Environmental Study - Minimal Impacts, including Jurisdictional Delineation Forms																						L	0	\$0
		Cultural Resources, APE, HPSR, ASR - see reimb. Expenses (OPTIONAL) Hazardous Materials Technical Memorandum	F	\vdash		\vdash			_			\vdash	\vdash			\vdash		-	\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	_			0	\$0 \$0
1	1.4.5	Water Quality Assessment Report Memorandum Traffic Technical Memorandum (Construction)				\vdash	\Box															\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	0	\$0 \$0
1	1.4.7	Biological Resources, Natural Environmental Study (OPTIONAL)					\vdash															\vdash	\vdash	\vdash	0	\$0 \$0
1	1.4.9	Land Use and Community Impacts Memorandum IS/EA Administrative, Draft and Final Reports											\vdash												0	\$0 \$0
1,	.4.10	Endangered Species Act Consultation (OPTIONAL) Subtota	0	0	0	0	0	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		\$0
		Project Management Project Administration/ Budgeting/ Cost Accounting	22			\vdash						11				\vdash		F				\vdash	\vdash		33	\$10,48
2	2.1.2	Meetings/Agency Coordination Project Schedule	4			8	\vdash														_				12	\$2,98 \$2,16
2	2.1.4	Local Program Compliance																				\vdash			0	\$0 \$0
2	2.1.5	QA/QC Subtota	26	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	\$15,63
		Final Design Reports and Studies Final Foundation Report	\vdash	F		\vdash					\vdash	\vdash				\vdash			-			\vdash		_	0	\$0
2	2.2.2	Final Hydraulic Design and Report					\Box					H				\vdash			\vdash	F			\vdash	\vdash	0	\$0 \$0
2	2.2.4	Preliminary Stormwater Management Plan Final Storm Water Management Report Preliminary Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Draft SWPPP										\vdash				\Box						\vdash			0	\$0 \$0
2	2.2.7	Right-of-Way Engineering														П									0	\$0 \$0
2	2.2.8	Right-of-Way Appraisal and Acquistion Subtota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
Tas		Final Plans, Specifications & Estimate Unchecked Design Submittal (65% PS&E)											\vdash												0	\$0
2	2.3.2	Checked Design Submittal (95% PS&E) Final Design Submittal (100% PS&E)						H				\Box	H			H				\vdash		=	\vdash	\vdash	0	\$0 \$0
2	2.3.4	Final Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)			0	_	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0 \$0
Tas	sk 2.4	Subtota Environmental Permitting		0	U		Ĕ	Ü	U	-	U			U	U	Ŭ		Ĕ		Ť	Ľ	Ť	Ě	Ě	Ť	
2	2.4.1	Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications Hazardous Materials (Asbestos) Determination	F				尸	\vdash			\vdash	\vdash	\Box				\Box							\vdash	0	\$0 \$0
2		CDFW 1602 SAA Permit Negotiations for NSO Additional CDFW 1602 SAA Permit Negotiations		0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	6 3	50 20	2	2	68 38	2	56 36		12	0	0	0	242 123	\$37,78
	2.4.3b 2.4.3c	Incusion of Regulatory Conditions into MMRF CDFW 1602 SAA Permit Negotiations for NSC				Ę						\vdash	1 2	8		2	10 20		20					\vdash	41 78	\$
2		NEPA Revalidation for Permit Requirements			0	-	0	^	^	0	^	0	6		2	2	68		56	16			0	0	0 242	\$0
Tass 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	sk 2.5	Subtota Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL)	20	0	9	-	0_	J	U	U			0	50			00		36	10	14		J	Ĕ	242	ψ31,10
2	2.5.1	Respond to Questions - Issue Addenda				\Box	\Box	H			H	П				H	H					\vdash		\vdash	0	\$0 \$0
2	2.5.3	Attend Pre-bid and Bid Opening. Review Bids						H																	0	\$0
2	2.5.4	Attend Pre-Construction Meeting Subtota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0 \$0
Та		Design Support During Construction																							0	00
	(Construction Support Services																							0	\$0 \$0
		Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	\$0
		Project Total Labor Total Hours Per Consultani		0	0	27	Contract of the last	0	0	0	0	11	б	50	2	2	68	2		16	12	0	0	0	298 298	\$53,41

						В	CA									GP	A Co	nsultii	ng							
	CHILES POPE VALLEY ROAD BRIDGE OVER CHILES CREEK	F	Projec	t Man	agei	nent 8	k Struc	ctural	Engin	eerin	9				Er	viron	menta	al, Per	mittin	g						
	Engineering and Design Services	er - (MMH)	er (RLO)	nager	Ĺ	1		ieer		er Drafter	Services	anner	Sr Environmental Planner	ologist	Associate Biologist (JJ)	t (AS)	t (JH)	logist	Associates Biologist (VM)		(MR)	Architectural	Architectural EH)			
	Estimate of Labor Effort	Manage	Project Manager	Engineering Manager	Engineer	Project Engineer	Staff Engineer	ssistant Enginee	Junior Engineer	Computer	Administrative	PM/Principal Planner (RG)	ronmen	Sr Associate Biologist (MS)	ociate Bi	Senior Biologist (AS)	Biologist (JH)	Associates Biologist (LPG)	ates Bio	Biologist (MM)	Sr GIS Analyst	Sr Associate A Historian (JK)	Associate Arch Historian (EH)	lours	.0	
	36R 27-Aug-23	aAvac	Project	Engine	Senior	Project	Staff E	Assista	Junior	Senior	Admini	PM/Pri (RG)	Sr Env	Sr Ass (MS)	Sr Ass	Senior	Senior	Associ (LPG)	Associ	Biologi	Sr GIS	Sr Ass Historia	Associate Historian (Total Hours	Total Fee	
	Plotting, Printing, Postage, and Travel						1-																		\$0	
u _i	Appraisal Review Services (2 additional @ \$2,400 ea.)							-				-				_									\$0	
ES ES	Title Reports (previously obtained, not part of original scope of work)							6.4.			-											_			\$0 \$0	
S S S	Asbestos Survey												1.00				_								\$0	
AB AY	Kleinfelder - Qualified Biologist / SOE Services 0% Salary Escalation Rate		-		-		\$0			_		_	-				Si	0		-					\$0	
REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES	Subconsultant Markup - 0 %				-		\$0					1		-	7	0				-		-			\$0	
LE .		Project Total Reimbursable Expenses \$0 \$0																\$0								
<u> </u>	Project Total Reimbursable Expenses						-		-	40																
E 4	Task 1.1: Project Management						\$0							117			\$1						The same	5	\$0	
wii 18ks	Task 1.2: Planning and Project Development					\$0									\$0											\$0
E T a	Task 1.3: Preliminary Design Engineering / Concept Plans	\$0					\$0									1	\$0									
PHASE 1 with Optional Tasks & Expenses	Task 1.4: CEQA/NEPA Environmental Approvals						\$0										\$1								\$0	
포용	Total Project Fee Per Consultant								\$0																	
	DBE PARTICIPATION PERCENTAGES																#UI	V/01				_				
	Task 2.1: Project Management					\$15	5,631					1000	27.	The same			\$1	0		e a b			No. of the	1	\$15,631	
_ 45	Task 2.1: Project Management Task 2.2: Final Design Reports and Studies Task 2.3: Final Plans, Specifications & Estimates Task 2.4: Environmental Permitting Task 2.5: Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL) Task 6: Design Support During Construction				\$0								\$0										\$0			
with	Task 2.3: Final Plans, Specifications & Estimates	\$0							\$0									\$0								
Ta I Ta	Task 2.4: Environmental Permitting				\$8,657							\$29,132									\$37,789					
ASE	Task 2.5: Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL)	\$0 \$0												\$0												
PH	Task 6: Design Support During Construction	do.					\$0				100	1					\$1	-							\$0	
0	Total Project Fee Per Consultant					\$24	1,288										\$29,	,132							\$29,132	
	[County Mandated 20% Discount]					(\$4	,858)				-						(\$5,8	826)						20020 - 11		
	ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITTING SERVICES - TOTAL					\$19	9,430										\$23,	,305							\$42,735	



Amendment Request # 2:

Environmental Services for

Chiles Pope Road Bridge Replacement

Napa County, CA

I. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND UNDERSTANDING

The County of Napa (County) contracted with Biggs Cardosa Associates, Inc. (BCA) to provide design services for the Chiles Pope Road Bridge Replacement project. GPA was subcontracted to BCA to provide environmental services, including California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation and regulatory permit acquisition.

To date, GPA has completed preparation of technical studies, Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration required to comply with CEQA, and has initiated the regulatory permitting process.

As a result of the permitting efforts completed to date, the County has received notification from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) that the project may result in the take of state threatened northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*). To date, GPA has provided technical coordination support to the County to navigate/discuss options associated with northern spotted owl. In addition, County has received the Draft 1602 Agreement from CDFW and has elected to engage in negotiations with CDFW. Coordination for northern spotted owl and negotiations with CDFW for the Draft 1602 Agreement was not included in GPA's contract. Based on this, GPA is requesting an amendment to the current contract, as described below.

II. SCOPE OF WORK: Regulatory Permitting

Task 1.1: Project Management and Meetings

GPA assumes up to two phone meetings with the project team and/or County will be required in support of the northern spotted owl habitat assessments and/or protocol surveys. Phone meetings will be attended by the project manager and up to two biologists. Meetings are assumed to be up to two hours in length. In addition to phone meetings, coordination with the northern spotted owl specialists (Kleinfelder) for transfer of knowledge, cohesiveness in project approach, and project guidance/support communication. Total efforts would include up to 2 principal hours, 12 project manager hours, and 32 biologist hours.

Deliverables: Up to two phone meetings

Task 2.4 Environmental Permitting

1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement Negotiations

County has elected to engage in negotiations with CDFW for the 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement. GPA will review the Draft 1602 Agreement and will present potential concerns with County in a coordination call with the project team to discuss. GPA will summarize County's concerns and negotiation



requests in up to one Draft 1602 Agreement Revision Request to CDFW in a matrix format, or similar, via EPIMS. GPA will attend up to one coordination phone meeting with CDFW, if requested. Once the formal revision request is submitted, GPA understands that County will accept CDFW's response without further negotiation and that no additional back and forth with CDFW will be conducted prior to finalizing the Agreement. GPA will also summarize the preliminary survey and reporting deadlines, as described in the Draft Agreement, in a bulleted list format for County's use in tracking key dates/deadlines.

Inclusion of Regulatory Conditions into the Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Plan

At County's request, GPA will incorporate the final permitting conditions from the RWQCB Section 401, USACE Section 404, and CDFW 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement into the existing Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Plan. GPA assumes up to one review by County, with minor comments.

Deliverables:

One electronic copy of the Draft 1602 Agreement Revision Request, one summary of the preliminary surveys and reporting requirements, and one copy of the updated Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Plan

Task 2.5. Northern Spotted Owl Preliminary Support

The project underwent Caltrans review and completed USFWS consultation, resulting in a no effect determination for northern spotted owl. However, to date, GPA has provided extensive northern spotted owl coordination during the 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement application review process, which was not previously scoped. GPA has provided northern spotted owl technical guidance and attended/facilitated coordination calls with County, BCA, and CDFW focused on northern spotted owl concerns.

Deliverables: Northern Spotted Owl Preliminary Support

I. ASSUMPTIONS

This scope has been prepared based on the following assumptions:

- GPA assumes up to two phone meetings with the project team and/or County will be required in support of the northern spotted owl concerns. Phone meetings will be attended by the project manager and up to two biologists.
- Per County direction, CESA support for northern spotted is not included. If an Incidental Take Permit is requested, GPA will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.
- GPA assumes no site visits by GPA staff will be required.
- To support County's 1602 negotiations, GPA will attend up to one coordination phone meeting with CDFW, if requested. GPA assumes County will undergo one round of negotiations with CDFW before finalizing the Agreement.
- GPA assumes coordination with Caltrans and/or USFWS for northern spotted owl will not be required.
- GPA assumes others will conduct northern spotted owl habitat assessments and/or protocol-level surveys. At County direction, GPA's scope does not include review of northern spotted owl habitat assessments, protocol level survey, or other northern spotted owl deliverables prepared by others.
- No additional Section 106 consultation, identification efforts, updated records search, or updated Archaeological Survey Report (ASR) are anticipated to be needed for the NEPA Revalidation. No new

GPA

properties will require evaluation in a Historic Resources Evaluation Report (HRER). No new potential effects will require evaluation.

- Changes to the approved APE are not expected. Should project design changes be necessary and require changes to the approved APE, GPA will provide additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.
- GPA assumes that Caltrans will prepare the NEPA Revalidation and will not require any supporting documentation from GPA.

Task 1.1 Project Management and Meetings
Task 1.1 Project Management
Task 1.1 Project Management
Task 1.1 Project Management
Task 1.1 Meetings
Task 2.4 Environmental Permitting
Task 2.4 Environmental Permitting - 1602 SAA Negotiations
Task 2.4 Environmental Permitting - Inclusion of Regulatory
Conditions into the MMRP
Task 2.5 Northern Spotted Owl Prelimnary Support OTAL HOURS 6 50

TOTAL COST \$ 1,500.90 \$ 7,507.50 \$ Richard Galvin
Principal Environmental Planner Laura Comstock
Senior Environmental Planner 400.88 \$ Marieka Schrader

Senior Associate Biologist 400.88 Jennifer Johnson

Senior Associate Biologist Fee Proposal
Chiles-Pope Bridge Rehabilitation- Amendment 2
GPA Consulting Angela Scudiere Senior Biologist Joseph Huang Scnior Biologist 2 12 2 56 16 12 300.30 \$ 6,095.04 \$ 1,741.44 \$ 974.64 \$ Lizbeth Pliego Guzman
Associate Biologist Victoria Masjuan Associate Biologist Mario Mayo Biologist Martin Rose Senior GIS Analyst Jenna Kachour 92 Senior Associate Architectural Historian Emma Haggerty
Associate Architectural Historian 214 \$ Total Hours Other Direct Costs - \$ 29,131.78 \$ 29,131.78

County mandated 20% Discount = (\$5,826)

TOTAL = \$23,305 Subconsultants 4,003.60 \$
6,445.94 \$
9,123.92 \$ 3,678.30 \$ 5,880.02 \$ Total GPA Labor Costs 9,123.92 4,003.60 6,445.94

3,678.30 5,880.02

ATTACHMENT 4 08/27/2023

Grand Total (Labor, Subs, ODCs

1	OFF-S	BITE MITIGATION DESIGN SERVICES					В	CA						GPA	Consu	ulting			MI	/IP			
	CHIL	ES-POPE VALLEY ROAD BRIDGE (REPLACE)] ,	Projec	ct Mai	nagem	nent &	Struc	ctural	Engir	neerin	g	Env	ironm	ental, I	Permit	ting		Lands	caping			
		Engineering and Design Services				L _a	Ja G			50	ter				ate	st		(ar	(Ç				
		Estimate of Labor Effort	÷ ô		guin .	Engineer	Project Engineer	Staff Engineer	[Junior Engineer	Senior Computer Drafter	Secretarial Services	nental	Senior Environmental	Senior Associate Biologist	Senior Biologist		Senior LA III (JP)	A III (VC)			nrs	o o
			Principal-in- Charge (QA)	Principai 1 (Project	Engineering Manager	Senior E	ject E	aff Eng	Assistant Engineer	nior El	nior C	cretar	ncipal vironn	vironn	nior A ologist	nior B	Biologist	nior L	Senior LA	≥ 0	= 9	Total Hours	Total Fee
	Task D	escription Staff Rate (Fully Loaded)	ිසි පි \$301	\$238			\$155			통 \$100	ගී <u>ධි</u> \$152	\$99	£ டு எ \$250	இ ப் எ \$150	<u>స్జ్</u> \$200	တီ \$150		တ္တိ \$179			\$110	P #) \$
(0	Task 1.1	Project Management	Ī																				
STUDIES	1.1.1 1.1.2	Project Administration/ Budgeting/ Cost Accounting Meetings/Agency Coordination			-	-	-			-	-	_	\vdash					-	\vdash	-		0	\$0 \$0
	1.1.3 1.1.4	Project Schedule Local Program Compliance / Project Funding Assistance		4		16																0 20	\$0 \$3,798
TECHNICAL	1.1.5	QA/QC Subtotal	0			16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0 \$3,798
CHN	Task 1,2	Planning and Project Development	T		I	1	T -		I	I						Ť		Ť		Ť	Ť	20	\$0,730
D TE	1.2.1	Purpose and Need																				0	\$0 \$0
AND	1.2.2 1.2.3	Research and Data Gathering Surveying																				0	\$0
DOCUMENTS	1.2.4 1.2.5	Aerial Topography (OPTIONAL) - See Reimb. Expenses R/W Mapping		-		-	-				_							_	-	-	-	0	\$0 \$0
IWO:	1.2.6	Utilities Search								-												0	\$0 \$0
	1.2.7	Base Mapping Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
ENVIRONMENTAL	Task 1.3	Preliminary Design Engineering / Concept Plans																				0	\$0
MEN	1.3.1 1.3.2	Roadway Approval Drawings Water Quality, Hydrology and Channel Hydraulics																				0	\$0
RON	1.3.3 1.3.4	Geotechnical Studies and Preliminary Report Traffic Memorandum	-																			0	\$0 \$0
INNI	1.3.5	35% Bridge Type Selection Project Memorandum Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0 \$0
	Task 1.4	CEQA/NEPA Environmental Approvals and Tech Studies	1 0	T -	T	1	I					0	U	0						-		Ĕ	3 U
DESIGN,	1.4.1	Project Environmental Initiation & Agency Coordination																				0	\$0
RY C	1.4.2	Biological Resources, Natural Environmental Study - Minimal Impacts, including Jurisdictional Delineation Forms																				0	\$0
PRELIMINARY	1.4.3 1.4.4	Cultural Resources, APE, HPSR, ASR - see reimb. Expenses (OPTIONAL) Hazardous Materials Technical Memorandum																				0	\$0 \$0
ELIN	1.4.5	Water Quality Assessment Report Memorandum																				0	\$0 \$0
1: PR	1.4.6 1.4.7	Traffic Technical Memorandum (Construction) Biological Resources, Natural Environmental Study (OPTIONAL)																				0	\$0
	1.4.8	Land Use and Community Impacts Memorandum IS/EA Administrative, Draft and Final Reports	-			-	_		 						_	\vdash	-			-		0	\$0 \$0
PHASE	1.4.10	Endangered Species Act Consultation (OPTIONAL) Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0 \$0
	Task 2.1	Project Management												Ü	_	Ŭ	Ť					Ť	
R/W	2.1.1 2.1.2	Project Administration/ Budgeting/ Cost Accounting Meetings/Agency Coordination					-															0	\$0 \$0
E)],	2.1.3	Project Schedule																				0	\$0 \$0
(PS&E)], R/W	2.1.4 2.1.5	Local Program Compliance QA/QC																				0	\$0
TES (Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
IMATES	Task 2.2 2.2.1	Final Design Reports and Studies Final Foundation Report																				0	\$0
EST RT	2.2.2	Final Hydraulic Design and Report Preliminary Stormwater Management Plan														-	\dashv				\vdash	0	\$0 \$0
AND	2.2.4	Final Storm Water Management Report Preliminary Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan_Draft SWPPP															=					0	\$0 \$0
SNS	2.2.5 2.2.6	Right-of-Way Engineering																				0	\$0
ATIC I BID	2.2.7	Right-of-Way Appraisal and Acquistion Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0 \$0
PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS AND EST & CONSTRUCTION BID SUPPORT	Task 2.3	Final Plans, Specifications & Estimate																					
RUC	2.3.1 2.3.2	Unchecked Design Submittal (65% PS&E) Checked Design Submittal (95% PS&E)		8	4	24	8				8	\vdash						11	48	45	24	180	\$0 \$28,300
NST.	2.3.3	Final Design Submittal (100% PS&E) Final Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)		8		16					2	=					=	8	23	21	17	95 0	\$15,126 \$0
PLA S	4.5.4	Subtotal	0	16	4	40	8	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	71	66	41	275	\$43,426
GN [Task 2.4 2.4.1	Environmental Permitting Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications		8		12					2		2	16	4	36	20		21	22	25	168	\$24,390
ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES	6,4,1	Subtotal	0		0		0	0	0	0	2	0	2	16	4		20	0	21	22	25	168	\$24,390
NG E	Task 2.5	Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL) Respond to Questions - Issue Addenda																				0	\$0
EER	2.5.1 2.5.2	Attend Pre-bid and Bid Opening																				0	\$0
N O	2.5.3 2.5.4	Review Bids Attend Pre-Construction Meeting	-									-		-			\dashv					0	\$0 \$0
	2.0.7	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
PHASE 2:	Task 6	Design Support During Construction																				0	\$0
РНА		Construction Support Services																				0	\$0
		Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
		Project Total Labor Total Hours Per Consultant	0	28	4	68	8 12	0	0	0	12	0	2	16	78	36	20	19	92	88 5	66	463 463	\$71,614
		Total Hours of Consultant	_					-			_												

						ВС	A						GPA	Consu	ulting			MM	IP			
	CHILES-POPE VALLEY ROAD BRIDGE (REPLACE)	ı	Projec	t Ma	nagem	ent &	Struc	tural	Engin	eering		Env	ironm	ental, i	Permit	ting		Landsc	aping			
	Engineering and Design Services	narge (QA)	oject	lanager	er	ser		neer	Je .	ıter Drafter	Services	Principal Environmental Planner	Senior Environmental Planner	ate	st		(JP)	III (VC)				
	Estimate of Labor Effort	i-C-	(Pre	Ing N	ngine	ingine	jineer	t Engi	ngine	ombr	ial Se	Envi	nviro	ssoci	iologi) =	LA III (nus	Φ
	36 27-Aug-23	Principal-in-Charge	Principal I (Project Manager)	Engineering Manager	Senior Engineer	Project Engineer	Staff Engineer	Assistant Engineer	Junior Engineer	Senior Computer	Secretarial	Principal Planner	Senior E Planner	Senior Associate Biologist	Senior Biologist	Biologist	Senior LA III	Senior L	LD IV	= 0	Total Hours	Total Fee
	Plotting, Printing, Postage, and Travel																					\$0
пi	Drilling						1010															\$0
REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES	Aerial Topography (OPTIONAL)					MIDE											-					\$0
RS/ NSI	Cultural Resources; HPSR/ASR (OPTIONAL)															01/100	-					\$0
UB B	Traffic Control for Borings (OPTIONAL)					_	^	10000		J. 1991			Maria.	\$0			192	\$0				\$0 \$0
E G	0% Salary Escalation Rate Subconsultant Markup - 0 %					\$						18888888		\$ U		186888888	0808080808	\$ U	88080808080) 18888888	(2000)200 888888888	\$0
i.e.	Project Total Reimbursable Expenses		\$0 \$0 \$0												80308030	19666666666666666666666666666666666666	\$0					
	Ploject Total Relinbulsable Expenses																					
€s.	Task 1.1: Project Management					\$3,						7000		\$0		THE		\$0				\$3,798
Tasl	Task 1.2: Planning and Project Development				\$0 \$0								\$0 \$0					\$0 \$0				\$0 \$0
<u> </u>	Task 1.3: Preliminary Design Engineering / Concept Plans	\$0										\$0					\$0					\$0
PHASE 1 with Optional Tasks & Expenses	Task 1.4: CEQA/NEPA Environmental Approvals															\$0					\$3,798	
₹0	Total Project Fee Per Consultant DBE PARTICIPATION PERCENTAGES		\$3,797.64 \$0 \$0													\$3,790						
all all	Task 2.1: Project Management	\$0								\$0					\$0					\$0 \$0		
e it	Task 2.2: Final Design Reports and Studies	\$0								\$0					\$0 \$28,970				130200000000000000000000000000000000000	\$43,426		
z w rash	Task 2.3: Final Plans, Specifications & Estimates														\$24,390							
SE :	Task 2.4: Environmental Permitting Task 2.5: Services During Bidding (OPTIONAL)					\$						\$10,734 \$0					\$9,315					\$0
PHASE 2 with Optional Tasks & Expenses	Task 6: Design Support During Construction		100		The same	\$			-					\$0				\$0				\$0
g o	Total Project Fee Per Consultant					\$18.						\$10,734					\$38,285					\$49,020
	[County Mandated Discount 20%+/-]					(\$4,								2,155				(\$7,6	_		monenesenië l	J.0,020
	OFF-SITE MITIGATION DESIGN SERVICES FEE - TOTAL					\$18,								8,579				\$30,6				\$57,265



Amendment Request #3:

Environmental Services for

Chiles Pope Road Bridge Replacement

Napa County, CA

I. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND UNDERSTANDING

The County of Napa (County) contracted with Biggs Cardosa Associates, Inc. (BCA) to provide design services for the Chiles Pope Road Bridge Replacement project. GPA was subcontracted to BCA to provide environmental services, including California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation and regulatory permit acquisition.

To date, GPA has completed preparation of technical studies, Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration required to comply with CEQA, and has completed the regulatory permitting process.

County's preference was to conduct all required mitigation onsite. However, as a result of the permitting efforts completed, it has become evident that compensatory mitigation will not be fully accomplished onsite and that an off-site location will be required. Until the regulatory permits were received and mitigation requirements known, it was unclear if all mitigation could be accomplished on site and/or what any special requirements would entail for an off-site location. Given the challenge in scoping for unknown requirements, inclusion of an off-site mitigation location was not included in GPA's contract. Based on this, GPA is requesting an amendment to the current contract, as described below.

II. SCOPE OF WORK: Regulatory Permitting

Task 1.1: Project Management and Meetings

GPA assumes up to two phone meetings with the Project Team and/or County will be required in support of the off-site mitigation. Phone meetings will be attended by the project manager and up to two biologists. Meetings are assumed to be up to two hours in length. Total efforts would include up to 2 principal hours, 8 project manager hours, and 16 biologist hours.

Deliverables: Up to two phone meetings

Task 2.4 Environmental Permitting

<u>CDFW Approved Riparian Revegetation Plan – Off-Site Mitigation Location</u>

A Revegetation Plan is required as a condition of the 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement. Following receipt of the Draft 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement, GPA understands that County is unable to accommodate the quantity of trees and/or permanent compensatory mitigation requirements at the onsite location; therefore, an off-site location will be required. County has identified Moore Creek Park as their preferred off-site location. Based on preliminary coordination with CDFW, GPA understands that CDFW is expected to accept locations of Moore Creek Park in proximity to the creek zone as a suitable



location. At County's request, the scope does not include a site visit; therefore, site baseline conditions and existing site functions and values will be presumed consistent with that of the project site.

GPA will incorporate Moore Creek Park into the Riparian Revegetation Plan as the County's off-site location for submittal to CDFW. GPA will coordinate with the Project Team to discuss plan implementation and to confirm County's off-site implementation preferences. The Revegetation Plan will include off-site revegetation goals and success criteria; timing of the off-site implementation; rationale for expecting success at the off-site location; methods for site preparation; a proposed plant palette; description of irrigation methods; and, proposed maintenance and monitoring methods and timing.

The level of internal coordination with the Project Team will not exceed 16 hours, which includes electronic communication and/or meetings with BCA, County, and/or Merril Morris. If coordination efforts exceed this amount, GPA will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.

Planting plan sets, typical details, irrigation plans, specifications, and/or similar, should they be requested, would be prepared by others. This task only includes coordination with CDFW and does not anticipate coordination with RWQCB and/or USACE. A copy of the revegetation plan would be provided to RWQCB for their files, and no comments from RWQCB are expected.

Deliverables: Inclusion of Moore Creek off-site Mitigation into the CDFW Approved Revegetation Plan

I. ASSUMPTIONS

This scope has been prepared based on the following assumptions:

- GPA assumes up to two phone meetings with the Project Team and/or County will be required in support of the off-site mitigation. Phone meetings will be attended by the project manager and up to two biologists.
- Per the outcome of the CDFW 1602, no additional CESA support and/or compensatory habitat mitigation for northern spotted owl is expected. If northern spotted owl habitat mitigation is requested, GPA will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.
- GPA assumes coordination with Caltrans and/or USFWS for northern spotted owl will not be required.
- At County's request, the scope does not include a site visit to the off-site location; therefore, site
 baseline conditions and existing site functions and values will be presumed consistent with that of the
 project site. No additional site visits to the on-site project location by GPA staff are expected to be
 required. If site visits (on or off-site) are requested, GPA will provide an additional scope of work and
 budget to support that effort.
- To support County's 1602 off-site mitigation required for this project, GPA will attend up to one coordination phone meeting with CDFW, if requested.
- GPA assumes up to one set of concurrent comments from BCA/County and up to one set of minor comments from CDFW before finalizing the Riparian Mitigation Plan.
- Based on County direction, GPA understands that Caltrans will not require additional NEPA clearances and/or documentation in support of the compensatory mitigation. If NEPA clearances are requested, GPA will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.

SCOPE



- Based on County direction, GPA assumes CDFW will not require additional CEQA clearances and/or documentation of the off-site location. If CEQA clearances are requested, GPA will provide an additional scope of work and budget to support this effort.
- Any planting plan sets, typical details, irrigation plans, specifications, and/or similar elements would be prepared by others (Merril Morris/BCA).
- County has identified Moore Creek Park as their preferred off-site location. GPA assumes no additional off-site locations will be required.
- This task only includes coordination with CDFW and GPA does not anticipate coordination with RWQCB and/or USACE. A copy of the revegetation plan would be provided to RWQCB for their files, and no comments from RWQCB are expected.

County mandated 20%+/- Discount = (\$2,155) TOTAL = \$8,579	andated 20%+/-	County ma							
\$ 10,734.26	10,734.26	78 \$	1,624.40	\$ 5,405.40 \$	801.76	\$ 2,402.40 \$	\$ 500.30	TOTAL COST	
			20	36	4	16	2	TOTAL HOURS	
\$ 6,630.36	6,630.36	52 \$	20	20	4	∞		2.4 CDFW Approved Riparian Reveg Plan - Off-Site	5
\$		\$						Task 2.4 Environmental Permitting	4
\$ 3,003.00	3,003.00	20 \$		16		4		1.1 Meetings	S
\$ 1,100.90	1,100.90	6 \$				4	2	1.1 Project Management	2
₩	•	₩						Task 1.1 Project Management and Meetings	_
				∽	200.44	\$ 150.15 \$.15	. Task Description	No.
Grand Total (Labor, Subs, ODCs)	Total GPA Labor Costs	Total Hours	Mario Mayo Biologist	Angela Scudiere Senior Biologist	Marieka Schrader Senior Associate Biologist	Laura Comstock Senior Environmental Planner	Richard Galvin Principal Environmental Planner		
				nent #3	placement, Amendment #3 Consulting	lge Replacement	Chiles Pope Bridge Re GPA (Chi	
					sal	e Proposal	Fee P		



Professional Services Authorization

To:

Ron Oen, PE, QSD

Date:

8/1/2023

Biggs Cardosa Associates, Inc.

Project:

Revised 08/27/23

Chiles Pope Bridge Replacement Project

22003

Contract:

Chiles Pope Valley Road

Bridge Over Chile Creek,

2015260A

Contract

March 29, 2022

Authorization PSA #1

Date:

Number:

This document establishes an additional services scope of work and associated fees.

Description of Additional Services Requested

The following tasks are additional services not included in the original proposal/contract:

- 1. Offsite Mitigation Checked Design Submittal (95% PS&E)
 - Site Visit to determine appropriate location for planting & collect soil samples
 - Estimate space required for replacement species based on quantity of plants needed at the mitigation site.
 - Provide 95% Landscape (design) Plans, Specifications and Estimate. This set will be separate from the on-site landscape plans.
 - o Tree protection, tree and plant revegetation plans and details, irrigation plans and details.
 - o Specifications
 - o Estimate of probable construction costs, if required.
 - Coordinate with the design team. Max: 10 hours + 5 meetings
- 2. Offsite Mitigation Final Design Submittal (100% PS&E)
 - Provide 100% Landscape (design) Plans, Specifications and Estimate. This set will be separate from the on-site landscape plans.
 - o Tree protection, tree and plant revegetation plans and details, irrigation plans and details.
 - o Specifications
 - o Estimate of probable construction costs, if required.
 - o Responses to comments.
 - Coordinate with the design team. Max: 5 hours + 3 meetings
- 3. Agency Coordination and Prepare Permit Applications
 - Review previous similar and successful projects in Napa County.
 - Coordinate with County and GPA regarding preferred methods (Agency & County) for:
 - Seed application



- o Soil stabilization and preparation
- o Watering truck logistics
- o Maintenance requirements and schedule
- o Monitoring schedule and staffing
- This information, required to prepare permit applications, will be incorporated in both the on-site Construction Documentation and the off-site Construction Documentation as appropriate for each.
- Coordinate with the design team. Max: 8 hours + 4 meetings

	Total	\$39,582
2.41	Agency Coordination & Preparation of Permit Applications	\$10,612
2.33	Final Design Submittal (100% PS&E)	\$10,074
2.32	Checked Design Submittal (95% PS&E)	\$18,896

County mandated discount 20%+/- = (\$7,665)

DISCOUNTED TOTAL = \$30,620

Compensation Basis

All terms and conditions surrounding payment of invoices, rates, and any additional reimbursable expenses as stated in the original contract and as dated above hold true to these additional services.

Authorization

This document authorizes Merrill Morris Partners to perform the above referenced services. This Professional Services Authorization is hereby made a part of as if attached to the original contract as dated above. All terms and conditions of the original contract will remain the same. Indicate your approval and acceptance of these terms and conditions by signing a copy of this Authorization, retain one copy for your records and return one to Merrill Morris Partners.

Submitted by	Approved and Accepted by
Merrill Morris Partners	

Cathy Merrill, Plesident Date Name, Title Date