FILED JEFFREY M. BRAX, COUNTY COUNSEL 1 2021 JUN -2 PM 2: 51 (State Bar No. 218601) JASON M. DOOLEY 2 CLERK OF THE HAPA SUFERIOR COURT (State Bar No. 258570) 3 COUNTY OF NAPA DEPUTY 1195 THIRD STREET, SUITE 301 4 NAPA, CA 94559 (707) 253-4521 5 Attorneys for Defendant 6 and Cross-Complainant Napa County 7 8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COU TY OF NAPA 10 11 TIMOTHY WHITE, HIDI SOBELMAN Case No. 19CV001689 12 Plaintiff, 13 V. IP-RGP EFAULT JUDGMENT 14 NAPA COUNTY, 15 Defendant, 16 17 18 NAPA COUNTY 19 Cross-Complainant, 20 V. 21 TIMOTHY WHITE, HIDI SOBELMAN 22 **Cross-Defendants** 23 24 25 It appearing to the Court that Defendants Timothy White and Hidi Sobelman (collectively, "Cross-26 Defendants"), having their Answer to the First Amended Cross-Complaint stricken by order of the Court 27 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2023.030(d)(4) for misuse of the discovery process, and RECEIVED 28 DocNo. 47011 , JUN O 1 2021 [] **DEFAULT JUDGMENT**

Napa Superior Court

28 DocNo. 47011

Defendants of the date and time of the inspection. The Property shall not be locked and shall be accessible to the County at the date and time set forth in the notice. Defendants need not be present on the Property during the inspection.

- 8. County Abatement. In the event Defendants do not comply with this Judgment and the nuisance conditions continue to exist on the Property after 60 days from the date the Judgment is entered, the County, or a private contractor employed by the County, is authorized to enter the Property, upon two business days' notice posted at the Property, to remove all violations and perform any work required to restore the Property to a lawful condition under the Napa County Code, including, without limitation, the performance of geotechnical testing and study and the construction of retaining structures to stabilize the hillside. The Napa County Sheriff may send such deputies as the County deems appropriate to protect and supervise the County's officers, agents, employees, contractors, and other persons duly authorized to act on its behalf.
- 9. Should the County incur any abatement costs of performing the work described above, those costs shall be sent to Defendants by written invoice. If the costs are not paid within 30 days of the mailing of the invoice then the costs may be added to the Judgment as costs of enforcement of the Judgment, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 685.040.
- 10. The injunctive provisions of this Judgment are applicable to Defendants, and each of them, and to their agents, servants, employees, representatives, partners, successors, and assigns, and to all persons, employees, and other entities who are acting in concert or participating with Defendants, with actual or constructive notice of this Judgment.
- 11. The Napa County Superior Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, and enforcement of the judgment may be sought if necessary by any of the Parties by ex parte application for appropriate relief consistent with the terms of this judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: <u>-:=fur</u>1e...; <u>| 1. 2.J)Z|</u>

